

Abdominal Hernia in Arar city, Northern Saudi Arabia; Prevalence, risk factors and character of the hernia

Abdulmajeed Ahmed Alenazi¹, Mahmoud Mohammed Alsharif¹, Malik Azhar Hussain², Naif Gharbi Alenazi¹, Abdulrhman Ahmed Alenazi¹, Shouq Amjad Almadani¹, Nour Homoud Alanazi¹, Jazaa Hammad Alshammari¹, Alwaleed Oqab Altimyat¹, Tariq Hulayyil Alanazi¹

¹ Faculty of medicine, northern border university.

² Assistant professor of surgery, northern border university.

Abstract: Background: Abdominal wall hernia is a very common surgical condition affecting all ages and both sexes. The main risk factors of hernia include pregnancy, weight lifting, constipation, and gaining weight. **Objective:** The aim of this study is to highlight the prevalence of abdominal hernia, its causes, treatment and complications among both sexes of Arar population. **Methods:** A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among 1567 adults living in Arar city population. Data was collected by personal interview via questionnaire translated into Arabic and general and local examination. **Results:** the overall prevalence of abdominal hernia was 11.7%, Hernia was more prevalent in females than males (63.4% Vs 36.6%), the most common sites was para-umbilical 33.9%, inguinal 27.3%, umbilical in 20.8%. of cases, 51.9% were obese, 53.6% had previous abdominal surgery, 19.1% had previous abdominal trauma, 28.4% had positive family history of hernia and 39.9% were grand multipara. Hernia was significantly affected by sex, obesity, previous abdominal surgery, previous abdominal trauma, positive family history of hernia and being grand multipara (P value < 0.05). Treatment of hernia was surgical in 47.5% and conservative in 47.0%, complications occurred in 20.2% and 25.1% was recurrent after treatment and of hernias. **Conclusion & Recommendations:** Abdominal wall hernias are common clinical presentation in Arar, KSA. Abdominal hernia is more common in women than men, there is an obvious relationship between obesity and hernia. Early diagnosis, easily accessible health facilities and health education are important to prevent complications. New modality of treatment should be adopted as the standard choice of care to prevent the recurrence. [Abdulmajeed Ahmed Alenazi, Mahmoud Mohammed Alsharif, Malik Azhar Hussain, Naif Gharbi Alenazi, Abdulrhman Ahmed Alenazi, Shouq Amjad Almadani, Nour Homoud Alanazi, Jazaa Hammad Alshammari, Alwaleed Oqab Altimyat, Tariq Hulayyil Alanazi. **Abdominal Hernia in Arar city, Northern Saudi Arabia; Prevalence, risk factors and character of the hernia.** *Life Sci J* 2026;23(5):15-21]. ISSN 1097-8135 (print); ISSN 2372-613X (online). <http://www.lifesciencesite.com>. 03. doi:[10.7537/marslsj230526.03](https://doi.org/10.7537/marslsj230526.03)

Keywords: Abdominal Hernia; Arar city; Northern Saudi Arabia; Prevalence; risk factor; hernia

Introduction :

Abdominal wall hernia is a very common surgical condition affecting all ages and both sexes and it's an abnormal protrusion of a peritoneal lined sac through the muscular covering of the abdomen [1]. The most common symptoms of a hernia include a swelling in the groin, heavy feeling in the abdomen, and discomfort in the abdomen regions, especially when coughing, lifting or bending over. However, symptoms may not appear on some people and only realize that they have this condition during medical checkups [2]. Also hernia is a rupture in smooth tissue through which an organ protrudes or pushes through. It is mainly common in the abdomen, groin regions, belly button and upper thigh. Common types include inguinal, hiatal and umbilical hernias. The most frequent hernia is the inguinal hernia (73% of cases) [1,2,4]. The time a hernia takes to develop depends on its causes, which relate to muscle weakness and strain. Common causes include chronic coughing, damage from an injury or surgery, and the inability of the wall of the abdomen to close properly [3]. The main risk factors of hernia include pregnancy, weight lifting, constipation, and gaining weight. The patient should seek medical attention if there is a painful or noticeable bulge on the abdomen, pubic bone or in the groin or if there is other symptoms of hernia. The patient can feel the bulge by touching the affected area or notice it when standing upright. It may be possible to push a hernia back into the abdomen or may be not according to the type of the hernia and the size of the hernia sac content. Abdominal wall hernias are common, classically taught to occur in at least 2% of men, [1] while statistics from the USA estimate 15 per 1000 population (1.5%).[2] More than 20 million hernias are estimated to be repaired every year around the world.[3] Treatment of abdominal hernia may be surgical or non-surgical according to the case and its severity. Some complications can occur after repairing the hernia if the patient didn't get the enough medical care, and it may come back in some cases. A hernia can develop quickly or over a long period of time, depending on its cause. More

than 750,000 hernias in USA and approximately 125,000 hernias in United Kingdom are operated per year [7]. The incidence of abdominal wall hernia in different countries varies from 100 - 300/100000 per year [2].

Objective:

The objective of this study is to highlight the prevalence of abdominal hernia, its causes, treatment and complications among both sexes of Arar population.

Participants and Methods:

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among 1567 adults living in Arar city (the capital of the Northern Province of KSA). The data was collected during January and February 2017.

Sampling design: Multistage random sampling was employed to select the study population. Most districts are divided into subdivisions. Each subdivision is again divided into clusters. From the selected 2 was randomly selected. Subjects were selected starting from the reference point (north east corner of selected cluster) employing simple random sampling technique until the minimum sample size was achieved. Final sample comprised of 1567 adults.

History taking: included patient demographics (gender, age, marital status), type of hernia repair undertaken, and whether a primary or recurrent hernia, family history of hernia, type of hernia and the type of treatment of every case and complications if present.

Clinical examination: The survey was conducted by the Department of General Surgery, Northern Border University, Arar. The study population originally included all male residents aged 20 or over residing in Arar. The investigation comprised an interview at the subject's home and a subsequent examination by a doctor at the department's community health center. Special consideration was paid to standardizing techniques, including the careful training and regulation of interviewers and examiners and the use of standardized questions, examination procedures, and diagnostic criteria. The home interview included a question on the occurrence of hernia past or present. If it was answered positively, the man was asked if he had ever had an operation for hernia. The examining physician was informed of the replies. The examination procedure was the one described by Bailey (1942). The examining physician reported whether, in each groin, there was a visible and clearly palpable hernia; a palpable impulse; or an operation scar. The examination was conducted with the subject standing in a good light. After inspection, any visible lump was palpated to determine whether it was possible to 'get above it' with the thumb and index finger. If not, and if its neck was continuous with the inguinal canal or directed backwards into the abdomen, it was diagnosed as a palpable hernia. If there was no visible lump, the scrotum was invigilated by the little finger to reach the external ring, and the subject was asked to cough, in order to determine whether there was a palpable impulse. An impulse at the scar site on coughing was taken as evidence of recurrence. No attempt was made to distinguish between indirect and direct hernias. 'Swellings' and repaired hernias will be referred to below as 'obvious' hernias.

Measuring Body weight (in Kg) and height for calculation of BMI. Finally 1567 questionnaires were filled, the filled questionnaires were reviewed for completeness and accuracy before data entry.

Statistical analysis: Data were compiled and analyzed using statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS, version 16) and results were analyzed with frequencies and Chi-squared test as appropriate Confidence level and p-value were set at 95% and 5%, respectively.

Ethical considerations: Our study was reviewed for seeking approval of the Research Ethics Committee of faculty of medicine, Northern Border University. The participation was completely voluntary and we informed our participants with that. No name was recorded on the questionnaires.

Results:

Table (1) illustrates the prevalence of hernia, socio-demographic characteristics and risk factors in the studied population. Only 183 (11.7%) cases from 1567 screened person have abdominal hernia, more than 54.2% of our participants were females, 86.9% were 18 - 50 years, 55.1% were married and 41.6% were single. As regards body weight, 45.4% had body weight 60-80Kg, 28.9% 80-120Kg and 1.6% >120Kg. Previous abdominal surgery was found in 23.9%, only 16% had family history of hernia, 7.7% had previous abdominal trauma and 2.2% had congenital anomalies.

Table (2) shows the relationship between presence of hernia and socio-demographic features and the risk factors in the participants. Hernia was more prevalent in females than males (63.4% Vs 36.6%) and 85.2% of cases were 18 to 50 years, 50.8% had body weight 60-80 Kg while 13.7% had less than 60 Kg, 51.9% were obese and 10.9% of cases do regular exercises. On the other hand (53.6% Vs 19.9%) had previous abdominal surgery, (19.1% Vs 6.1%) had previous abdominal trauma, 28.4% had positive family history of hernia and 39.9% were grand multipara (repeated

pregnancy more than 5 times). Hernia was significantly affected by sex, obesity, marital state, body weight (in Kg), previous abdominal surgery, previous abdominal trauma, positive family history of hernia and being grand multipara (P value < 0.05) and was non-significantly affected by age, regular exercise and presence of congenital anomalies (P value > 0.05).

Table (3) illustrate the character of hernia in the studied cases, we found that; the most common site of abdominal hernia was para-umbilical, as it was found in 33.9% of cases followed by inguinal 27.3% then umbilical in 20.8%. Hernia was non-reducible in 2.7% of cases. As regards treatment; surgical in 47.5% and conservative in 47.0% and 5.5% of cases was neglected without TTT. About quarter (25.1%) of hernias was recurrent after treatment and complications was found in 20.2% of hernias.

Table (1): Prevalence of hernia, socio-demographic characteristics and risk factors in the studied population, Arar, 2017

Parameter	Frequency (n=1567)	Percent (%)
Abdominal hernia		
Yes	183	11.7
No	1384	88.3
Sex		
Male	717	45.8
Female	850	54.2
Age (in year)		
< 18	126	8.0
18 - 50	1362	86.9
> 50 years	79	5.0
Weight (in Kg)		
< 60	378	24.1
60 – 80	711	45.4
80 – 120	453	28.9
>120	25	1.6
Marital state		
Married	863	55.1
Single	652	41.6
Divorced or Widowed	52	3.3
Obesity		
Yes	544	34.7
No	1023	65.3
Regular exercise		
Yes	202	12.9
No	1365	87.1
Congenital anomalies		
Yes	34	2.2
No	1533	97.8
Previous abdominal surgery		
Yes	374	23.9
No	1193	76.1
Previous abdominal trauma		
Yes	120	7.7
No	1447	92.3
Family history of hernia		
Yes	250	16.0
No	1317	84.0
Grand multipara (repeated pregnancy)		
Yes	346	22.1
No	378	24.1

Table (2): relationship between hernia and socio-demographic features and the risk factors in the studied population, Arar, 2017

Parameter	Abdominal hernias		Total (n=1567)	Chi-Square	P value		
	Yes (n=183)	No (n=1384)					
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No.(%)				
Sex							
Male	67	650	717	6.980	0.008		
	36.6%	47.0%	45.8%				
Female	116	734	850				
	63.4%	53.0%	54.2%				
Obesity							
Yes	95	449	544			27.035	0.00
	51.9%	32.4%	34.7%				
No	88	935	1023				
	48.1%	67.6%	65.3%				
Marital state							
Married	125	738	863	21.240	0.00		
	68.3%	53.3%	55.1%				
Single	48	604	652				
	26.2%	43.6%	41.6%				
Divorced or widowed	10	42	52				
	5.5%	3.0%	3.3%				
Body weight (in Kg)							
< 60	25	353	378	13.265	0.004		
	13.7%	25.5%	24.1%				
60 – 80	93	618	711				
	50.8%	44.7%	45.4%				
80 – 120	63	390	453				
	34.4%	28.2%	28.9%				
> 120	2	23	25				
	1.1%	1.7%	1.6%				
Age (in years)							
< 18	13	113	126	3.090	0.213		
	7.1%	8.2%	8.0%				
18 - 50	156	1206	1362				
	85.2%	87.1%	86.9%				
> 50	14	65	79				
	7.7%	4.7%	5.0%				
Regular exercise							
Yes	20	182	202	0.710	0.399		
	10.9%	13.2%	12.9%				
No	163	1202	1365				
	89.1%	86.8%	87.1%				
Congenital anomalies							
Yes	4	30	34			0.00	0.987
	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%				
No	179	1354	1533				
	97.8%	97.8%	97.8%				
Previous abdominal surgery							
Yes	98	276	374	1.005	0.00		
	53.6%	19.9%	23.9%				

No	85 46.4%	1108 80.1%	1193 76.1%		
Previous abdominal trauma					
Yes	35 19.1%	85 6.1%	120 7.7%	38.533	0.00
No	148 80.9%	1299 93.9%	1447 92.3%		
Family history of hernia					
Yes	52 28.4%	198 14.3%	250 16.0%	23.995	0.00
No	131 71.6%	1186 85.7%	1317 84.0%		
Grand multipara (repeated pregnancy more than 5 times)					
Yes	73 39.9%	273 19.7%	346 22.1%	38.523	0.000
No	37 20.2%	341 24.6%	378 24.1%		
Male or not married	73 39.9%	770 55.6%	843 53.8%		

Table (3): character of hernia in studied cases, Arar, 2017

Parameter	Frequency (n=183)	Percent (%)
Site of hernia		
Upper abdomen	24	13.1
Para-umbilical	62	33.9
Umbilical	38	20.8
Inguinal	50	27.3
Incisional	9	4.9
Size of hernia		
Small	133	72.7
Moderate or huge size	50	27.3
Reducibility of hernia during presentation		
Non-reducible (stable)	5	2.7
Reducible	178	97.3
Diagnoses		
By physician	125	68.3
Self-diagnosis	37	20.2
Others	21	11.5
Duration of diagnoses		
Within hours	56	30.6
Days	43	23.5
Weeks	84	45.9
Type of treatment		
Surgical	87	47.5
Conservative	86	47.0
Non treated	10	5.5
Recurrent after treatment		
Yes	46	25.1
No	127	69.4
Not treated	10	5.5
Complication		
Yes	37	20.2
No	146	79.8

Duration of treatment		
< 7 days	49	26.8
7 - 14 days	50	27.3
> 14 days	74	40.4
Not treated	10	5.5

Discussion:

Abdominal hernia is a common condition between both males and females specially umbilical and para-umbilical hernia [2]. Challenges in surgical practice in developing countries include delayed clinical presentation of patients, 10,14 and very inadequate privately-funded health care financing [16].

Our study highlighted the prevalence of hernia, its causes, treatment and complications in Arar city.

In the current study, the most common site of abdominal hernia was para-umbilical, as it was found in 33.9% of cases followed by inguinal 27.3% then umbilical in 20.8%. Natalie study in the U.K found that, the relative frequency of different hernia types is: inguinal, umbilical, epigastric, incisional, para-umbilical and femoral [8]. Various authors all quote the following order of hernias, in decreasing frequency: inguinal (70–75%), femoral (6–7%), umbilical (3–8.5%) followed by rarer forms (1–2%) [1,2,5]. In Indian study, [17] lingual hernia had highest prevalence (21.8%) followed by Incisional (15.7) and paraumbilical (13.7%).

The results of this study showed that, hernia was significantly more prevalent in females than males (63.4% Vs 36.6%). Bedewi et al., study, in King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, found the adult para-umbilical hernia positive cases among females was 24.9% and that among males was 23.3% [14]. Textbooks quote the rate of umbilical and para-umbilical hernia to be up to five times commoner in women [5,6,7] citing pregnancy as a significant etiological factor. Another study made by Natalie study in the U.K was in complete contrast with this, showing that men in fact underwent more than twice as many umbilical and para-umbilical hernias [8].

Our study also found that 51.9% were obese, 10.9% of cases do regular exercises and 39.9% were grand multiparas women. Hernia was significantly affected by sex, obesity, body weight (in Kg), and being grand multipara (P value < 0.05), but there is no significant relationship between doing regular exercise and hernia. Matar, (2007) study in King Khalid Hospital, Al Kharj, Saudi Arabia [12] found obesity was the most common predisposing factor of hernia (63%) of cases and recurrence was more common in the obese patients. These findings was supported by (Russell, 2000) who stated that obesity plays an important role as a risk factor for hernia. Increasing BMI and increasing age are associated with a higher prevalence and an increased risk of incarceration of noninguinal abdominal wall hernias [13].

Stretching of the abdominal musculature due to increase in its contents as in obesity, in addition, adipose tissue acts to separate muscle bundles and layers, weakens aponeuroses and favors the appearance of abdominal, direct inguinal and hiatus hernias [5]. Deposition of adipose tissue differs between genders [9,10] and perhaps this contributes to gender differences in hernia formation. Therefore obesity, physical strain and pregnancy are important etiological factors in the development of abdominal hernia.

In a study done by Natalie D. in U.K in 2011, the researchers found a relationship between the age in females and accumulation of adipose tissue in the abdomen as postmenopausal women accumulate more fat in the intra-abdominal depot than do premenopausal women there will be a resultant increase in the number of postmenopausal women who accumulate intra-abdominal adiposity thereby predisposing to hernia development. Unlike our study in which only 5% of participants were above 50 years, and only 7.7% of hernia was above 50 years.

More than fifth (23.9%) of our participants represented with previous abdominal surgery, (53.6% Vs 19.9%) of hernia cases had previous abdominal surgery, this relatively high percentage indicates significant relationship (P value < 0.05) and the previous abdominal surgery act as a significant risk factor for hernia. These findings are supported by findings from south-western Nigeria [11], stated that post obstetric and gynaecologic surgical interventions ranked highest as the cause of incisional hernia. This may be due to the weakness of abdominal wall after surgery.

Our study found also almost equal percentage (47.5% Vs 47.0%) of surgical and conservative treatment, unlike the study done in U.K, in which the most common way in treatment was the surgical way. This may be driven by increased healthcare spending, day-case operating becoming commonplace, and the greater feasibility of elective surgery in the elderly. Perhaps another factor affecting these results may be that doctors recommend surgery for earlier, even asymptomatic hernias, which in the past were left until they became symptomatic. This may be as a result of new surgical (e.g. laparoscopic) and anesthetic techniques perceived by referrers as 'safer', thereby allowing for repair in older and higher-risk surgical candidates. We have shown a general trend towards fewer operations being carried out on recurrent hernias. Matar, (2007) study in King Khalid Hospital, Al Kharj, Saudi

Arabia [12] concluded that, repair of incisional hernias still remains a challenge for the general surgeon and the surgical management has to be tailored to the individual patient.

No recurrence of hernia is common case in our study (69.4%), and this may be explained by looking to the means of development of hernia treatment. This results agree with the results of Natalie D. in U.K. [8]. In a follow-up study on recurrence after inguinal hernia repair in Saudi Arabia, all the patients were followed for 2 years after repair, with a total recurrence rate of 2.14 percent [15]. In Matar, (2007) study there was recurrence in 4.4% of cases during 3-year follow up [12]. In Langer et al., Recurrence is a common complication after repair of large abdominal incisional hernias. Recurrence rates of up to 33% after first repair and 44% after second repair have been reported [18].

Conclusion & Recommendations: Abdominal wall hernias are common clinical presentation in Arar, KSA. Abdominal hernia is more common in women than men, there is an obvious relationship between obesity and hernia. Early diagnosis, easily accessible health facilities and health education are important to prevent complications. New modality of treatment should be adopted as the standard choice of care to prevent the recurrence.

References

1. Rains AJH, Capper WM Bailey & Love's Short Practice of Surgery. 15th edn London: Lewis, 1971
2. Kingnorth, A.N. and Leblanc, K.A. Management of abdominal hernias. 3rd Edition, 2003 Edward Arnold, London.
3. Kingsworth A, LeBlanc K Hernias: inguinal and incisional. Lancet 2003;362:1561–71
4. Townsend C, Beauchamp D, Evers M, Mattox KL, Sabiston DC Sabiston Textbook of Surgery: The biological basis of modern surgical practice. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders, 2001
5. Russell RCG, Williams NS, Bulstrode CJK Bailey & Love's Short Practice of Surgery. 23rd Edition London: Hodder Arnold, 2000
6. Williams NS, Bulstrode CJK, O'Connell PR Bailey & Love's Short Practice of Surgery. 25th edn London: Hodder Arnold, 2008
7. Rutkow, I.M. Demographic and socioeconomic aspects of hernia repair in United States in 2003. Surgical Clinics of North America 2003;83:1045- 1051.
8. Natalie Dabbas, K Adams, K Pearson, GT Royle. Frequency of abdominal wall hernias: is classical teaching out of date?. JRSM Short Rep. 2011 Jan; 2(1): 5. Published online 2011 Jan 19.
9. Geer EB, Shen W Gender differences in insulin resistance, body composition, and energy balance. Gend Med 2009;1 Suppl. 6:60–75 [PMC free article] [PubMed]
10. Shi H, Clegg DJ Sex differences in the regulation of body weight. Physiol Behav 2009;97:199–204 [PMC free article] [PubMed]
11. Adesunkanmi AR, Faleyimu B. Incidence and aetiological factors of incisional hernia in postcaesarean operations in a Nigerian hospital. The Journal of the Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2003;23(3):258–260. [PubMed]
12. Z Matar. Open Surgical Management of Incisional Hernia. The Internet Journal of Surgery. 2007 Volume 15 Number 2.
13. Lau B1, Kim H, Haigh PI, Tejjirian T. Obesity increases the odds of acquiring and incarcerating non-inguinal abdominal wall hernias. Am Surg. 2012 Oct;78(10):1118-21.
14. Bedewi MA¹, El-Sharkawy MS, Al Boukai AA, Al-Nakshabandi N. Prevalence of adult paraumbilical hernia. Assessment by high-resolution sonography: a hospital-based study. Hernia. 2012 Feb;16(1):59-62. doi: 10.1007/s10029-011-0863-4. Epub 2011 Jul 28.
15. Al-Khuwaiter S. Inguinal hernia in Saudi Arabia. A 10 year experience. Am J Surg. 1985 May;149(5):691-4.
16. Sangwan M, Sangwan V, Garg M, Mahendirutta P, Garg U. Abdominal wall hernia in a rural population in India- is spectrum changing? Open Journal of Epidemiology. 2013;3:135–138.
17. Rao, Alka Rao, Nishant Pujara, Piyush Pujara, Shrikant Patel. Prevalence Of Hernia Among Fishermen Population In Kutch District. NJIRM 2015; Vol. 6(4) July – August
18. Langer S, Christianseen J. Long-term results after incisional hernia repair. Acta Chir Scand 1985; 151: 217