

PREVALENCE, ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY PROFILE AND PUBLIC HEALTH SIGNIFICANCE OF *ESCHERICHIA COLI* O157: H7 FROM RAW COW MILK IN AND AROUND ASSOSA TOWN, BENISHANBGUL GUMUZ, WESTERN, ETHIOPIA

Asmamaw Aki Jano

Assosa, Regional Veterinary Laboratory, P.O. Box 326, Assosa, Ethiopia; asmamawaki@gmail.com, phone: +251-902330029

ABSTRACT: Across-sectional study was conducted on Isolation, Identification and Antimicrobial susceptibility profile of *E.coli* O157:7 and its public health Impact in milk supply chain of Assosa District, Benishangul Gumuz, western Ethiopia from October 2024 to April 2025 in Dairy cows, with the objectives to isolate and identify pathogenic *E.coli* from raw cow milk supply chain, to assess the public health significance associated with risk factors and to determine antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolates. A total of 384 samples were collected from dairy cow and processed bacteriologically and the isolate were tested with a number of biochemical tests for confirmation and identification of *E. coli*. The study revealed that 5.72% of the collected raw milk was contaminated with *E. coli*. Higher (12.90%) *E.coli* contamination was reported in milkers' hand swab followed by (6.06%) milk samples, (5.2%) container swab and 1.85% udder swab. In this study, there was significant ($p < 0.05$) association between the udder washing practices, sample types, herd size, milking hygiene, and teat lesion of the cow with the isolates of pathogenic *E.coli*. In other way, previous udder infection, floor type, age, breed, stage of lactation, pregnancy status, and blind teat risk factors was not significant ($P > 0.05$). Majority (95%) of drug resistance prevalence was reported in penicillin G, followed by (82%) Oxacillin; ciprofloxacin (72%), 64% chloramphenicol; and 39% streptomycin and 32% of tetracycline. Whereas higher (86%) of drug sensitivity or susceptibility was recorded in Gentamycin, followed by 64% tetracycline, 50% streptomycin, and 36% chloramphenicol and 27% ciprofloxacin. In this study 45% MDR were recorded in three classes of drugs and four classes (4.5%) of antibiotic discs. The presence and consumption of raw milk may constitute a public health hazard and reduced milk quality due to pathogenic *E. coli*. Thus health professionals should create awareness about milk handling practice, storage and milking process to Dairy farmer, milk handlers', and milk collectors. And hence, regular resistance follow-up, using antimicrobials sensitivity tests helps to select effective antibiotics and to reduce the problems of drug resistance developments towards commonly used antimicrobials so as to reduce the problem encountered.

[Asmamaw Aki Jano. **PREVALENCE, ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY PROFILE AND PUBLIC HEALTH SIGNIFICANCE OF *ESCHERICHIA COLI* O157: H7 FROM RAW COW MILK IN AND AROUND ASSOSA TOWN, BENISHANBGUL GUMUZ, WESTERN, ETHIOPIA.** *Life Sci J* 2025;22(10):18-41]. ISSN 1097-8135 (print); ISSN 2372-613X (online). <http://www.lifesciencesite.com>. 02. doi:[10.7537/marslsj221025.02](https://doi.org/10.7537/marslsj221025.02)

Key words: Antimicrobial; Bovine; Dairy cows; *E.coli*; *E.coli*O157

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Milk and dairy products are important sources of vital nutrients for human beings (Berhe *et al.*, 2020). Raw milk has become a perfect medium for the growth of several types of microorganisms, which could lead to the deterioration of the milk due to its high water content, pH close to neutral, and diversity of nutrients. The presence and proliferation of bacteria induce changes in milk quality, decreasing its shelf life, and harming the economy as well as public health (Mesfine *et al.*, 2015). *Escherichia coli*, a harmful bacterium found in milk, has become a major public health concern, particularly among individuals who still consume raw milk (Lye *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, raw milk is a major source of bacteria that can be

dangerous to humans. Consuming contaminated raw milk and raw milk products has been linked to foodborne infection outbreaks in Indonesia (Effendi *et al.*, 2018).

Cow's milk has long been regarded as a highly nutritious and important human meal; millions of people eat it every day in a range of products (Faisal and Ahmed, 2018). When milk is secreted in the udder, it is free of infection, but it becomes infected with bacteria before being milked out. However, the bacteria present in milk at this time are insignificant and incapable of causing disease, except for mastitis. The majority of harmful milk infections occur during the milking process, due to improper storage, unhealthy handling techniques, and other activities performed before processing (Perveen, 2021).

Consumption of raw milk can result in zoonotic because milk is frequently contaminated with cattle feces during milking and serves as a good microorganism growth medium (Tiimub *et al.*, 2020). Because of its role as a transmission channel, milk can induce a condition known as milk borne disease. Humans and microorganisms both benefit from cow's milk. Bacteria that contaminate milk quickly proliferate to a great number, resulting in a significant number of instances of infection with milk intermediaries (cows); in addition, humans have limited resistance (Perwira *et al.*, 2019). Humans require milk and dairy products as a source of essential nutrients (Berhe *et al.*, 2020). It is a good source of glucose, proteins (all 10 amino acids), vital fatty acids (immunoglobulin), and other micronutrients (Friday *et al.*, 2021; Limbu *et al.*, 2020). Because of its high nutrient content, raw milk is thought to be an excellent medium for microorganism development (Elmonir *et al.*, 2017; Fathi *et al.*, 2019).

Milk and its derivatives, on the other hand, if not treated hygienically, serve as nutritious food sources for humans, but they also serve as excellent media for the multiplication of numerous microbes (Abebe *et al.*, 2018).

Escherichia coli are a broad and diversified bacterial genus; it is the type of *Escherichia* that contains predominantly motile Gram-negative bacilli belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family. It is the human colonic flora's most common facultative anaerobe. *E. coli* colonize the infant's gastrointestinal tract within hours of birth, and *E. coli* and the host benefit from each other for decades (Asime *et al.*, 2020). This organism is typically found in the lower intestine of warm-blooded organisms (Igbinosa and Chiadika, 2021). Raw milk is considered a high risk food, as it is highly nutritious and serves as an ideal medium for bacterial growth. Several factors are responsible for milk contamination such as poor hygienic milking conditions, contaminated equipment, milking utensils, and milk handlers with poor personal hygiene (Alam *et al.*, 2017), and (Igbinosa and Chiadika, 2021)

The most prevalent contamination in raw and pasteurized milk is *Escherichia coli*. It's a good sign of fecal contamination in water and foods like milk and dairy. Because of the possibility of Enteropathogenic and/or toxigenic strains, their presence in food may pose a public health risk. Milk contamination has been caused by udders with subclinical mastitis and a damp environment. Some *Escherichia coli* strains are known to be pathogenic bacteria, producing serious intestinal and extraintestinal illnesses in humans (Ahmida, 2020). Raw milk reaches consumers with a higher coliform content (Adzitey *et al.*, 2018).

Human health is exposed to pathogenic microbes, often identified in milk and milk products. *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella* spp., *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Brucella abortus*, *Mycobacterium* spp., *Campylobacter* spp., *Leptospira* spp., *Clostridium* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Pseudomonas* (Alam *et al.*, 2017; Pal *et al.*, 2016), Limiting contamination levels, cooling quickly after milking, and maintaining cold storage temperatures are all important steps in preventing the growth of contaminating bacteria in milk. Cleaning, sanitizing, and drying the teats and udders of cows before milking, as well as utilizing sanitized milking equipment, are the most effective ways to limit germs. To control psychotropic bacteria, remove any remaining solid milk from milk containers (Garedew *et al.*, 2012).

1.2. Statement of the Problem

Food-borne pathogens are the leading causes of food-borne human illness and death in the world (Agueria *et al.*, 2018). The severity of food-borne illness is higher among developing countries, including Ethiopia (Abdissa *et al.*, 2017; Bey *et al.*, 2017). Raw milk continues to be used by a significant number of farm families and workers. Besides, many people believe that raw milk is safe (healthy) and its health consequence may get impaired due to the application of heat/pasteurization (Zeinhom and Abdel-latef, 2014). It is estimated that 68% of the total milk produced in Ethiopia is used for human consumption in the form of raw milk, butter, cheese and yogurt while the rest is fed to calves and wasted during milk processing. Milk is nutritious food but, when contaminated; it can support the growth of spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms (Solomon and Ketema, 2011)

Risk of *E. coli* O157:H7 infection related to consumption of raw milk is high, indicating that there is risk of *E. coli* O157:H7 infection (Lye *et al.*, 2013). *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 is associated with outbreaks and sporadic cases of hemorrhagic colitis (HC) and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) and other enteric infections all over the world especially in children under 5 years of age (Fernandez and Padola, 2012). It is also responsible for 20 % of foodborne outbreaks globally (WHO, 2017). In comparison with other foodborne bacterial pathogens the severe consequence of the disease and their low infectious dose which is being fewer than 40 cells (Strachan *et al.*, 2005) and might be as few as 10 cells (Ateba and Bezuidenhout, 2008), makes *E. coli* O157:H7 an important emerging public health problem particularly for under-five children. There is an irrational drug use for farming and therapeutic purpose in animals and humans in

developing countries (Akbar *et al.*, 2014). The organism also shading through feces from animal reservoirs and has the ability to transfer antimicrobial resistance traits from animal to human (Newell *et al.*, 2010).

However, recently there is an increasing trend of reporting occurrence level of the organism in dairy products (Tassew *et al.*, 2010; Haile Selassie *et al.*, 2013; Taye, 2013; Abebe *et al.*, 2014. and Desta *et al.*, 2016). Despite the fact that numerous studies in various parts of Ethiopia isolated food-borne pathogens of public health significance from raw milk, people still consume raw milk claiming flavor, availability, price and perceived higher nutritional value benefits (Amenu *et al.*, 2019; Ayele *et al.*, 2017; Keba *et al.*, 2020).

In Ethiopia, milk from dairy farms is sold and distributed without being pasteurized or subjected to quality control. According to various reports in Ethiopia, between 71 and 97% of total milk output is consumed through an informal market without quality controls (Tsehay, 2002). Because raw milk is easily contaminated during milking and handling, it is an essential vehicle for the transfer of milk-borne diseases to people (Addo *et al.*, 2011). This study is expected to fill a knowledge gap regarding hygienic practices and risk factors associated with *E. coli* from raw cow milk, as well as outline measures that milk producers and regulators must take to ensure the safety and quality of milk in dairy farms.

1.3. Significance of the Study

Dairy farming was an important activity for the livelihood of the farming community in the study area. The farming community's life is primarily dependent on livestock and agricultural practices, with agricultural practices and their products taking precedence over other milk production in this research area. Nowadays, due to the increased human population in urban areas, the demand for cow milk and products is increasing rapidly. This suggests that the use of cow milk is increasing. Although those large numbers of people have a satisfying need for milk and milk products, the production of high-quality milk is important because production without quality is worthless and health is compromised. Raw milk contamination with *E. coli* is a major problem, mostly in poor hygienic milk practices. Therefore, the expected multiple benefits of this study were: Data on antibiotic resistance were used to characterize these opportunistic pathogens that may further limit the risks associated with the consumption of contaminated raw milk and its products.

Shortage of information on milking hygiene practices, and dairy worker awareness of *E. coli* results in public health risks and economic losses for dairy producers, which may expose the consumer to contracting *E. coli* and other pathogenic microbes from the milk. Promoting the hygienic quality of raw cow milk, therefore, involves maintaining strict compliance with sanitary measures on dairy farms, all stages of milk handling activities, and the equipment's and dairy workers' personal cleanliness. However, a limited study on this important product is currently being conducted to protect public health by isolating and identifying *E. coli* from raw cow milk and potential sources of contamination along Dairy farms in Nejo, in milking channels as well as milking equipment and handlers. Furthermore, the study will help the researchers as a baseline for conducting another study, and to recommend for the Nejo woreda agricultural and livestock health office to improve their efforts in dairy farms workers in milk handling practice, and environmental hygiene.

1.4. Objectives of the Study

1.4.1. General Objective

- The goal of this study is to isolate and identify *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from raw cow milk and determine likely sources of contamination in dairy farms of marketing channels and milking handlers and equipment..

1.4.2. Specific Objectives

- To isolate and identify *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from raw cow milk in a dairy farm.
- To determine antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates.
- To assess the source of raw cow milk contamination and the extent to which milking activities and milking equipment are a cause of contamination.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted in Assosa town, Benishangul Gumuz Regional State (BGRS), western Ethiopia. Currently, Assosa town has two administrative districts (district-1 and district-2). Each district as five "ketenas". According to Benishangul Gumuz Regional State Metrological Center report, (2020), the town is located at 10° 00' and 10° 03' north latitude and 34° 35' and 34° 39' east longitude. The total population of the town is 62,632 of which 32,100 are males and 30,532 are females (CSA, 2020). The total area of the town is 2361.34 hectares with an altitudinal difference that ranges between 1461- 1641 meters above sea level (BGRSEIB, 2020). The mean annual

temperature of Assosa town ranges from a minimum of 14-33°C. However, there is a slight variation of temperature by month. February to May is the hottest months while November to December is the cold months. The average annual rain falls recorded during the last nine months were 1,119 mm (BGRSMSC, 2020). The rainy season starts in March and extends to

November with the highest concentration in June, July, and August. The population size of different livestock species in Assosa town are cattle 569, goat 1545, sheep 739, poultry 17676 donkeys 122, and pig 8 total 20,659 livestock populations are found in the town (Assosa Town Administrative Office of Agriculture, 2020).



Figure 1: Administrative map of Assosa town (ATAO, 2021).

2.2. Study Population

The study animals were apparently healthy lactating cows. The milk samples were collected from different milking channels such as udder milk, bulk milk, and swab samples from milk handlers, milking environment and milk utensils of in and around Assosa Town.

2.3. Study Design and Sampling Method

A cross-sectional study was conducted from October 2024 to April 2025 to isolate and identify *E. coli O157:H7* from raw cow milk of dairy farms and milk distributing channels. Based on Assosa district agriculture office data, dairy farms and production channels were chosen purposively and a simple random sampling method was used to take each individual samples.

2.4 Sampling Size Determination

The total sample size for *E. coli O157: H7* isolation and identification was assigned according to statistical formula (Thrustfield, 2005). A 5% absolute precision at 95% confidence interval was used during determining the sample size. Since there is no previous work in the study area for *E. coli O157: H7* prevalence of raw cow milk, the expected prevalence of this study was taken as 50%. Therefore, the total sample size for this study was calculated as follows:

$$n = \frac{(1.96)^2 \times P \times (1-P)}{d^2}$$

Where: n = the total sample size

P = expected prevalence (50%)

d = desired absolute precision (0.05) at 95% CI

$$n = \frac{(1.96) \times (1.96) \times (0.5) \times (1-0.5)}{(0.05) \times (0.05)} = 384$$

Therefore, to isolate *E. coli O157: H7* a total of 384 samples was taken from three parts (udder milk =108, milk=99, 115= container swab and 62 hand swab samples from milk handlers, milking environment and milk utensils).

2.5. Sample Collection Procedure

Samples from the lactating dairy cows, as well as swab samples from the milk handlers, milking environment, and milk utensils were aseptically collected. Before sampling, the udders and teats were carefully cleansed, dried, and gently wiped with cotton swabs moistened with 70% ethyl alcohol. The first 3-4 streams of milk was discarded and about 10 ml of milk was collected from the udder aseptically and put in a sterile screw-top universal bottle. Swab samples were collected from milk handlers, the milking environment, and milking of the milk production channels. The swab samples were taken using sterile swabs and kept in sample bottles containing sterile physiological saline solution to prevent desiccation.

Then, the milk and swab samples were labeled and documented. Sample code, sampling date, and sample type are all assigned to all collected samples. The samples were transferred using an icebox to Assosa Regional Veterinary, microbiology laboratory. The samples were kept in the refrigerator for 24 hours after arrival at +4 °C until they were processed for isolation (Quinn *et al.*, 2004).

2.6. Questionnaire Survey

A structured questionnaire with closed-ended questions was used to collect data on lactating cows and potential risk factors thought to impact the possible source of *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination in dairy farms. Data on intrinsic factors such as age categorized as young (≤ 4), adult ($> 5-7$), and old (> 8), body condition categorized as poor, medium, and good (visual and fat deposited) lactation stage categorized as early (3 months), mid (3-6 months), and late (≥ 6 months), parity level categorized as few (1-2 calves), mid (3-4 calves), and many (≥ 4 calves) were collected for this purpose. Data on potential extrinsic risk factors were collected from the interviews of dairy workers and observations. In addition, observational checklists were used to rate the hygiene of milk and milk product utensils, milk handlers (Yes or No), and dairy farm hygiene (good or poor). The survey was written in English and then translated into the local language.

2.7. Laboratory Analysis

2.7.1. Isolation and Identification of *E. coli* O157:H7

Isolation and Identification of *E. coli* O157:H7 from swabs, bulk, and teat milk samples were done using routine culture and biochemical analysis. Approximately 1ml of milk was suspended in 9 ml of buffered peptone water. Samples were water spout-formed and incubated overnight at 41°C. After BPW, 50 μ l of product was streaked onto MacConkey agar for primary isolation of *E. coli* and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 hours. Plates were observed for the growth of *E. coli* (pink colony; lactose fermenter). The isolated strains were subjected to a series of different biochemical tests using the procedure of (ISO, 2003) to confirm *E. coli*. Catalase test, oxidase test, indole production test, Methyl red test, Voges-Proskauer test, TSI and Simmons's citrate test was done.

The Isolation of *E. coli* O157:H7 was carried out as earlier described (De-Boer *et al.*, 2000; Ivbade *et al.*, 2014) with some modifications. *E. coli* isolates earlier characterized by biochemical assays (one representative colony from each sample) was plated on Sorbitol MacConkey Agar (SMAC) with BCIG and selective supplements (Cefixime-Tellurite, SR0172, Oxoid®, UK) was used for the isolation. Cefixime-Tellurite Selective Supplement was used to knock off other gram-negative organisms present. These were later sub-cultured (twice) to obtain pure colonies. The Non-Sorbitol-Fermenting (NSF) *E. coli* (colorless or pale colonies) would be considered as *E. coli* O157:H7 strains whereas pinkish colored colonies (Sorbitol-Fermenters) would be considered as non O157:H7 *E. coli* strains (Ivbade *et al.*, 2014). NSF isolates would be again subjected to Latex *E. coli* O157:H7 agglutination test for confirmation using a latex agglutination test kit (*E. coli* O157:H7 latex test, Oxoid®, UK) as described by the manufacturer. The positive isolates were stored in 15% glycerol at -20 °C until further processed.

2.7.2 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

The agar disc diffusion method, as published by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, was used to determine antimicrobial susceptibility patterns (CLSI, 2015). Streptomycin (10 μ g), Gentamycin (10 μ g), Chloramphenicol (30 μ g), Tetracycline (30 μ g), Ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), and Penicillin (10 g) and Oxacillin-1 (1 μ g) are used to test the antibiotic susceptibility of *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates. In a nutshell, the bacteria was suspended in a 0.85 percent sterile normal saline solution in a 0.5 McFarland standardized suspension. A sterile cotton swab was dipped in the standardized bacteria suspension and then streaked uniformly across the Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hampshire, England) surface. The paper discs impregnated with a set concentration of antibiotics are then placed on the agar surface and inverted for 24 hours at 37 °C. The bacterial growth and diffusion of the antibiotics are going to produce obvious zones of inhibition after 24 hours of incubation and are measured in millimeters using a caliper and characterized as susceptible, intermediate, and resistant (CLSI, 2023).

3.8. Data Processing and Analysis

Data was processed and analyzed using computer softwares for statistical data analysis. The collected, questionnaire data of associated risk factors was stored into MS excel spread sheets to create a database and processed (data was checked for accuracy). The coded and processed data was entered and analyzed using STATA version 17. Differences were considered statistically significant at 5% level of significance. Means was said to be significantly different when $P \leq 0.05$.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Occurrence of pathogenic E.coli

Out of the total lactating cows examined, 58/384 (15.10%) E.coli prevalence was identified, which was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). Consistently, higher E.coli (20.96%) isolation rate was recorded in milkers' hand swab followed by 20.86%, 12.04% and 8.08% E.coli container swab, udder swab and milk samples, respectively (Table 2).

Besides this, higher (12.90%) E.coli O157:H7 infection was registered in milkers hand swab while 6.06%, 5.22%, and 1.85% of pathogenic E.coli was identified in milk samples, containers swab and udder swab respectively (Table 3).

In the present study, *E. coli* isolates were able to produce bright pink colored colonies on MacConkey agar; characteristic metallic sheen colonies on the EMB agar and pink colored, small rod-shaped Gram-negative bacilli on gram's staining. The results of catalase, Methyl red and Indole test of the *E. coli* isolates were positive but the Voges proskaur test and Simmon's citrate utilization were negative. The pattern of sugar fermentation reaction by the isolated *E. coli* with three sugars (triple sugar iron agar) was observed and produced acid and gas. The isolates were able to ferment glucose, lactose, and sucrose completely. Acid production was indicated by the color change from reddish to yellow and the gas production was noted by the appearance of gas bubbles in the test tubes. Accordingly, the non-sorbitol-Fermenting (NSF) *E. coli* (colorless or pale colonies) were considered as *E. coli* O157:H7 strains. NSF isolates were again subjected to Latex *E. coli* O157:H7 agglutination test, which result with agglutinating positive reaction (Table 1).

Table 1. Results of various biochemical tests performed on E.coli O157:H7 isolates

<i>Biochemical tests</i>	<i>Bacterial isolate</i>
	<i>E.coli O157:H7</i>
<i>Nutrient agar</i>	smooth, circular, white to grayish colonies
<i>MacConkey agar</i>	lactose fermenter, pink to dark pink or red colonies
<i>Sorbitol MacConkey agar</i>	pale colony(colorless colonies)-NSF
<i>Sorbitol</i>	Not ferment sorbitol(colorless colonies)
<i>Gram staining</i>	Gram negative, pink or reddish appearance
<i>Catalase</i>	Positive
<i>Oxidase</i>	Negative
<i>Triple sugar iron agar (TSI)</i>	Slant=yellow; butt= yellow; no H ₂ S production , gas production (Y/Y/H ₂ S-)
<i>Indole test</i>	Positive
<i>Methyl red</i>	Positive
<i>Voges-proskauer test</i>	Negative
<i>Simmon's Citrate utilization</i>	negative
<i>Oxidation-Fermentation test</i>	Fermentative
Glucose	positive
Lactose	positive (lactose fermenter)
Sucrose	positive

Table 2. Prevalence of *E.coli* with sample types

Sample Type	Examined sample	No. positive	Prevalence	CHI2	P-value
milk samples	99	8	8.08	9.39	0.024
Udder swab	108	13	12.04		
Hand swab	62	13	20.96		
Container swab	115	24	20.86		
Total	384	58	15.10		

Although the highest prevalence of *E.coli* was recorded in hand swab, there was statistically significant association of *E.coli* to the sample types. The overall prevalence of the *E.coli* in the present study was 15.10% .

Table 3. Prevalence of *E.coli* O157: H7 with sample types

Sample Type	Examined sample	No. positive	Prevalence	CHI2	P-value
milk samples	99	6	6.06	9.22	0.027
Udder swab	108	2	1.85		
Hand swab	62	8	12.90		
Container swab	115	6	5.21		
Total	384	22	5.72		

As described in Table 3, 22 isolates were non - sorbitol fermenting *E.coli* (*E.coli* O157:H7), and hence higher (12.90%) infection were recorded in hand swab followed by 6.06% (milk samples), 5.21% (containers swab), and 1.85% (udder swab).

3.3 Risk factor associated with prevalence of *E.coli* O157: H7 infection

Prevalence of *E.coli* O157 infection related to the specific risk factors were determined as the proportion of affected cows out of the total examined. As indicated in (Table 4), the questionnaire survey and observation data result shows association of sample type, udder washing, herd size, milking hygiene, teat lesion, are amongst the potential risk factors, which are associated with pathogenic *E.coli* infection in dairy cows. Accordingly, *E.coli* prevalence showed significant variation among different udder wash groups ($p = 0.002$), herd size (0.02); milking hygiene (0.002), teat lesion (0.0003). However, floor system, herd size, BCS, Drainage, age factors, breed factors, PS, and previous mastitis treatment history have no significant difference with pathogenic *E.coli* ($p > 0.05$).

Table 4: Multivariate Binary Logistic Regression of attribute risk factors with *E.coli*O157

Risk factors	Categories	No. examined	No (%) positives	OR	ChI2	P-value
Age(years)	≤3 (year)	23	0 (0%)	1.12	1.97	0.37
	4-7 years	253	14(5.53%)			
	> 7 years	108	8(7.40%)			
Breed	Cross	117	4(3.42%)	1.66	1.68	0.19
	Zebu	267	18(6.74%)			
Parity	1-3	210	12(5.71%)	1.29	4.74	0.09
	4-6	164	8(4.87%)			
	≥6	10	2(20%)			
Lactation Stage (m)	Early (≤3)	125	9(7.2%)	0.90	1.89	0.59
	Mid (4-6)	85	3(3.56)			
	Late (7-9)	50	4(8%)			
	Dry (>9)	124	6(4.83%)			
Pregnancy Status	Pregnant	60	2(3.33%)	0.63	0.76	0.38
	Non	323	20(6.19%)			
Previous udder infection	Infected	352	20(5.68%)	0.68	0.03	0.84
	Non	32	2(6.25%)			
Floor type	Concrete	158	5(3.16%)	1.90	3.29	0.07

	Muddy (soil)	226	17(7.52%)			
Milking hygiene	Good	240	7(2.92%)	0.41	9.49	0.002*
	Poor	144	15(10.42%)			
Blind teat	No	306	18(5.88%)	0.90	0.05	0.82
	Yes	78	4(5.12%)			
Teat lesion	No	356	17(4.77%)	3.36	8.75	0.003*
	Yes	28	5(17.85%)			
BCS	Good	196	9 (4.59%)	0.65	0.93	0.33
	Poor	188	13(6.91%)			
Udder shape	Pendulous	78	5(6.41)	0.70	0.10	0.75
	High up	307	17(5.54%)			
Drainage	Yes	170	7(4.11)	0.56	1.49	0.22
	No	213	15(7.04)			
Herd size	Small	178	13(7.30)	0.88	8.18	0.02*
	Medium	190	6(3.15)			
	Large	16	3(18.75)			
Udder washing	Yes	240	7(2.92)	0.25	9.49	0.002*
	No	144	15(10.42)			

*Chi²=chi-square; OR= odd ratio

3.4 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test

22 *E.coli* O157:H7 isolates were subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility tests. Penicillin (95.45%) followed by Oxacillin (81.81%), Ciprofloxacin (72.72%); chloramphenicol (63.63%); streptomycin (50%) and tetracycline (31.81%) were drugs to which a large proportion of pathogenic *E.coli* isolates' resistance. As it is indicated in Table 9, most isolates (95% and 82%) were resistance to penicillin and Oxacillin drugs respectively. All 22 testes species of pathogenic *E.coli* were highly susceptible to Gentamycin (86.36%) followed by Tetracycline (63.63%); Streptomycin (50%) ; Chloramphenicol (36.36%) and Ciprofloxacin (27.3%) (Table 5).

Table 5: Resistance and susceptible of *E. coli* O157 isolates to different antimicrobials (n = 22).

Antimicrobial agents	Disc content (µg)	No. of Isolates	Resistance	Intermediate	Susceptible
			No.(%)	No.(%)	No.(%)
Streptomycin	S10	22	9(39.13)	2(9.09)	11(50)
Gentamycin	CN10	22	3(13.63)	0(0)	19(86.36)
Penicillin G	P-10	22	21(95.45)	0(0)	1(4.54)
Chloramphenicol	C-30	22	14(63.63)	0(0)	8(36.36)
Tetracycline	TE 30	22	7(31.81)	1(4.54)	14(63.63)
Ciprofloxacin	CIP5	22	16(72.72)	0(0)	6(27.27)
Oxacillin	OX-1	22	18(81.81)	0(0)	4(18.18)

Key: %=percent, S=susceptible; I=intermediate; R=resistance

3.4.1 Multi drug resistance of E.coli

In this study, overall 21(95%) of the drugs were developing resistance. 10 isolates of E.coli showed resistance to two classes of antimicrobial drugs. From this isolates, (5) five oxacillin and penicillin, two (2) for chloramphenicol and penicillin and three (3) for ciprofloxacin and streptomycin. Four (4) isolates were resistance to three classes of antimicrobial (oxacillin, penicillin and ciprofloxacin); three isolates of each for (chloramphenicol, penicillin and oxacillin) and one for streptomycin, penicillin and oxacillin) and (penicillin- chloramphenicol, tetracycline). And one again for (oxacillin- ciprofloxacin- chloramphenicol) The maximum multiple drug resistance registered for one isolates were resistance to four classes of antimicrobials as indicated in Table 6.

Table 6. Multi drug resistance/MDR/ pattern among E.coli isolates

No. AMR	AMR patterns	No. isolates	No. of isolates (%)
Two	CHL, PG	2	2 (9.09)
	OX, PG	5	5 (22.72)
	S, CIP	3	3(13.63)
THREE	CHL, PG, OX	3	3(13.63)
	OX, CIP, PEN	4	4(18.2)
	S, PG, OX	1	1(4.54)
	PG, CHL,TE	1	1(4.54)
	OX,CIP, CHL,	1	1(4.54)
FOUR	CIP, CHL, OX, PG	1	1(4.54)

Key: no= number; %=percent; CIP= ciprofloxacin, OX=Oxacillin, S= Streptomycin; PG=penicillin G; CHL= chloramphenicol.

3.5 Questionnaire survey

The issues of public health significance arising from pathogenic *E.coli* and possible sources of contamination of milk, hand washing, utensil cleaning, milk storage, animal health status, waste disposal, disinfectant, udder hygiene, milkers' hand and milk container contamination with *E.coli* were assessed using semi- structured questionnaire survey from Assosa livestock owners. Besides this, public health significant risk factors associated with *E.coli* infection in lactating cows were assessed .

With respect to milk consumption habit, 40%, 16.66%, 20% and 23.33% form of milk consumption were boiled, Ayib, raw and ergo respectively. 70% of participants had milk consumption habit while 30% of respondent interviewers had no habit of consuming practices of milk. 76.66 % of respondents did not use refrigerator for milk while 23.33% of participants use refrigerator for milk storage. 90.90% of participant dairy farmers use of soap, detergent to clean milk container whereas 9.09% of lactating cow owners did not use detergents for cleaning milk containers.

Only (36.66%) of the dairy farmers were aware of the occurrence of food borne diseases due to raw milk consumption and (33.33%) of them have aware of E.coli food poisoning associated with consumption of raw milk and milk products. 76.66 % dairy farmers consuming milk and milk products, have the chance of acquiring illness whereas those dairy farmers consuming milk have the 23.33% of chance not to acquiring illness.

With regard to demographic information of study livestock owners, As Table 8 described, dominant livestock owners (60%) were male participated and indicated as E.coli was risk in lactating cows as compared to female domain. Higher (60%) participants of 30- 50 years age categories were interviewed followed by (23.33%) participants of greater than 50 years age level and (16.66%) of 15-30 years respondents respond as E.coli in milk was risk to consumers. Majority (63.33%) of participants was married and 36.66% were single. Regarding education level of dairy cow interviewers, The consumption of raw milk is relatively higher among primary education categories(71%) of respondents than uneducated (illiterate) dairy farmers (20%); followed by (6.66%) secondary education; (3.33%) college level respondents respond as pathogenic E.coli was public health risk in the lactating cows through production channels. In Nejo districts, concerning role in milk handling, 33.33% milker and 30% transporters were majority respondents followed by 26.66% seller and 10% processor(**Table 8**).

Table 8. Demographic Survey of Livestock owners associated with pathogenic E.coli (n=30)

Factors	Categories	Frequency	Percentage%
Sex	Female	12	40%
	male	18	60%
marital status	married	19	63.33%
	single	11	36.66%
Age	20-30 years	5	16.66%
	30-50 years	18	60%
	>50 years	7	23.33%
Education	Illiterate	6	20%
	Primary	21	71%
	Secondary	2	6.66%
	College	1	3.33%
Role in milk handling	Milker	9	30%
	Processor	3	10%
	Transporter	10	33.33%
	Seller	8	26.66%
Awareness on milk borne illness	Yes	11	36.66
	No	19	63.33
Awareness on E.coli food poisoning	yes	10	33.33
	no	20	66.66
Acquiring illness	Yes	23	76.66
	No	7	23.33
Form of milk consumption	Raw	6	20
	Ergo	7	23.33
	Ayib	5	16.66
	Boiled	12	40
Milk consumption habit	Yes	21	70
	No	9	30
Milk storage	Refrigerator (+40c)	7	23.33
	room temperature	23	76.66

With respect to knowledge of dairy cow owner, 80% participants agree as cleanliness of hands is important for safe milk handling; 86.6% of lactating cow owners agree utensils used for milk handling should be washed thoroughly after each use. 90% respondents agree as milk should be stored at a cool temperature to prevent spoilage. 25(83.33%) of respondents agree as pasteurization kills harmful bacteria in milk, 73.33% of respondents agree as Animals with visible signs of illness should not be milked for human consumption. 63.33% of participants agree to the statements of Flies and other insects can contaminate milk. 66.66% of respondents agree the use of clean water is crucial in all stages of milk handling; 90% of cow owners agree Proper disposal of waste milk and cleaning materials is important. 76.66% of respondents agree to Personal hygiene, such as wearing clean clothing, is important when handling milk. Consistently, 83.33% of participants agree to Hand washing with soap and water is sufficient, even without using sanitizers(Table 9).

Table 9. Knowledge of Dairy cow owners with regards to public health (n=30)

Factors	Agree%	Disagree%	Unsure%
Cleanliness of hands is important for safe milk handling.	24 (80%)	1 (3.33%)	5(16.6%)
Utensils used for milk handling should be washed thoroughly after each use	26(86.6%)	0(0%)	4(13.33%)
Milk should be stored at a cool temperature to prevent spoilage	27(90%)	1(3.33%)	2(6.66%)
Pasteurization kills harmful bacteria in milk	25(83.33%)	2(6.66%)	3(10%)
Animals with visible signs of illness should not be milked for human consumption	22(73.33%)	3(10%)	5 (16.66%)
Flies and other insects can contaminate milk.	19(63.33%)	6(20%)	5(16.66%)
The use of clean water is crucial in all stages of milk handling.	20(66.66%)	6(20%)	4(13.33%)
Proper disposal of waste milk and cleaning materials is important.	27(90%)	0(0)	3(10%)
Personal hygiene, such as wearing clean clothing, is important when handling milk.	23(76.66%)	3(10)	4(13.33)
Hand washing with soap and water is sufficient, even without using sanitizers.	25(83.33)	3(10)	2(6.66)

With regards to attitudes of Lactating cow respondents, 85% of participant respondents agree as hygienic milk handling practices are important for public health, 90% confident to handle milk hygienically; while 70% of respondents disagree to statement- adhering to hygienic practices is time-consuming and unnecessary. 90% of dairy cows' owners respondents agree as Training on hygienic milk handling practices is beneficial. Consistently, 95% of respondents agree as implementing hygienic practices increases the quality of milk (Table 10).

Table 10. Attitudes of the Dairy cattle owners in line with Public Health (N=20)

Statements	Agree %	Dis agree %	not sure %
Hygienic milk handling practices are important for public health.	17(85)	0(0)	3(15)
I am confident in my ability to handle milk hygienically.	18(90)	0(0)	2(10)
Adhering to hygienic practices is time-consuming and unnecessary.	5(25)	14(70)	1(5)
Training on hygienic milk handling practices is beneficial.	18(90)	0(0)	2(10)
Implementing hygienic practices increases the quality of milk.	19(95)	0(0)	1(5)

With regards to Dairy cow owners' handling and Hygienic practices, higher (55%) of the Dairy cow owners wash hand with soap and water before handling milk, followed by 40% respondents use hand sanitizers and 5% don't wash hands regularly before handling milk. 55% of participants wash utensils with soap and water after each use, while (35%) Use a disinfectant on utensils and (10%) Rinse utensils with water only. Majority of (55%) store milk in a refrigerator (+4°C; followed by 40% milk at room temperature and (5%) Use other cooling methods. With respect to animal health, 35% of participant respondents, only milk healthy animals whereas 55% Isolate sick animals before milking followed by (10%) milk all animals regardless of their health status, Dominant (65%) participant livestock owners dispose of waste milk properly, and 35% dispose of waste milk with other garbage. Similarly, 100% of lactating cow owners, wear clean clothes when handling milk (Table 11).

Table 11: Practices of Dairy cattle owners with respect to Public health (n=20)

Factor	Categories	Freq.	response rate%
Hand Hygiene	Wash hand with soap and water before handling milk	11	55
	Use hand sanitizer.	8	40
	Don't wash my hands regularly before handling milk.	1	5
Utensil Cleaning	Wash utensils with soap and water after each use	11	55
	Use a disinfectant on utensils.	7	35
	Rinse utensils with water only.	2	10
Milk Storage	Store milk in a refrigerator(+4 ⁰ c	11	55
	Store milk at room temperature.	8	40
	Use other cooling methods	1	5
Animal Health	Only milk healthy animals	7	35
	Milk all animals regardless of their health status	2	10
	Isolate sick animals before milking.	11	55
Waste Disposal	Dispose of waste milk properly	13	65
	Dispose of waste milk with other garbage	7	35
Clothing	Wear clean clothes when handling milk.	18	100
	Wear the same clothes I wear for other activities	0	0

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Prevalence of *E.coli*O157

In the present study, out of (N=384) lactating cows' raw milk samples collected and processed by bacteriologically method, 58/384(15.10%) and 22/384 (5.72%) *E.coli* and *E.coli O157:H7* prevalence were identified respectively, which was significant ($P<0.05$). Higher pathogenic *E.coli* (12.90%) was recorded in milkers' hand swab followed by milk sample (6.06%), milk container swab (5.2%) and udder swab (1.85%). The present findings were agreed with the previous findings of Solomon *et al.*, (2020) in Sebta town (9.9%). As compared to the present findings, higher report were reported by Gebremedhin, (2018) in mekelle (25%); Edilu *et al.*, (2022) in West Shewa (33.8%); Melaku *et al.*, (2013) in Haramaya (30.97%); Rundasa *et al.*, (2019) in Bishoftu 42%;

Melese *et al.*, (2016) in Jigjiga (58%); Adem *et al.*, (2016) in Haramaya (43.2%).

All the *E. coli* isolates were able to produce bright pink colored colonies on MacConkey agar; characteristic metallic sheen colonies on the EMB agar and pink colored, small rod-shaped Gram-negative bacilli on Gram's staining. The results of catalase, Methyl red and Indole test of the *E. coli* isolates were positive but the Voges-Proskauer test and Simmon's citrate utilization were negative which are in agreement with the reports of (Zinnah *et al.*, 2007). The pattern of sugar fermentation reaction by the isolated *E. coli* with three sugars (triple sugar iron agar) was observed and produced acid and gas. The isolates were able to ferment glucose, lactose, and sucrose completely. Acid production was indicated by the color change from reddish to yellow and the gas production was noted by the appearance of gas bubbles in the test tubes.

This result was in agreement with the findings (Asmelash, 2015; Bedassa, 2018; Zinnash, 2007; Giwida and Gohary, 2013). This result was partially in agreement with the findings of (Beutin *et al.*, 1993 and Sandhu *et al.*, 1996). They reported that although *E. coli* ferments all three basic sugars but it partially ferments sucrose and glucose. Variation of the results may be due to genetic factors and the nature of the inhabitant of the organisms.

4.2 *E.coli* O157:H7 infection Associated Risk factors

With regards to *E. coli*O157:H7 associated risk factors, 5.72% of the samples were contaminated with pathogenic *E.coli* O157:H7. In this findings, the prevalence of *E.coli* O157 infection was significantly influenced by age categories ($P > 0.05$). Higher (7.40%) pathogenic *E.coli* infection with age categories was recorded with greater than 7 years age; followed by (5.53%) in 4-7 years age and in 3 years 0(0%) which was non- significant ($P > 0.05$). Similar result was reported by Shimelis (2014) in Selale /Fitcha). Adult followed by old cows in this study were more susceptible to *E.coli* infection than young cows. The increasing occurrence of infection with increasing age were agreed with the findings by Kerro and Tareke, (2003) who found that, the risk of infection with the advancing age of the cow. This might be due to the increased opportunity of infection with time and the prolonged duration of infection, especially in a herd without mastitis control program (Radostits *et al.*, 2007).

Significant (6.74%) pathogenic *E.coli* infection was recorded in cross breeds followed by 3.42% in local zebu breeds ($p > 0.05$). Comparable findings were reported by with Bitew *et al.* (2010) who reported in Bahir Dar, between Cross and Fogera breed, Lakew *et al.* (2009) in cross and local Arsi breed. Increased milk yield from genetic selection may be accompanied in genetic susceptibility to lactating cows infections (Schutz, 1994). Besides this, the low occurrence of mastitis in local breeds in addition to genetic factors could also be one indication for higher occurrence of mastitis prevalence in areas where exotic breeds and their hybrids well adapted. Therefore, the lower prevalence in local zebu breeds in this study could be associated with difference in genetically controlled physical barrier like streak canal sphincter muscles, keratin in the teat canal or shape of teat end where pointed teat ends are prone to lesion (Seykora and Mcdaniel, 1985). In addition to physical barriers, the difference in occurrence of mastitis in these breeds could arise from differences in cellular immunity (Erskine, 2001).

The observed higher occurrence of *E.coli* during late lactation 8% as compared to early 7.2% ; mid 4.87% and mid lactation stage 3.52% was non- significant ($p > 0.05$). The finding of higher infection in cows in late lactation stage followed by early, dry, and mid lactation stages in the study concurs with previous reports. In cows most new infections occur during the late dry period and in the first two months of lactation (Radostits *et al.*, 2007). This may be due to an absence of dry period therapy and birth related influences. During a dry period, due to low bactericidal and bacteriostatic qualities of milk, the pathogens can easily penetrate into the teat canal and multiply (Aylate *et al.*, 2013). Radostits *et al.* (2000) suggested that, the mammary gland is more susceptible to new infection during the early and late dry period, which may be due to the absence of udder washing and teat dipping, which in turn may have increased the presence of potential pathogens on the skin of the teat. Moreover, during a dry period due to the low bactericidal and bacteriostatic qualities of milk, the pathogens can easily penetrate into the teat canal and multiply; this can be carried over into the post parturient period and ultimately develop into infection.

Multivariable binary logistic regression analysis revealed that the prevalence of pathogenic *E.coli* isolates were significantly different among parity groups. Early birth of cows with 1-3 parity has 5.71% of infection followed by cow with 4-6 parity or frequency of birth which was (4.87%) of infection and highest in greater than 6 birth rate (20%) which was significant ($P < 0.05$). This might be due to the increased opportunity and contamination of *E.coli* infection and the prolonged duration of infection (Markos *et al.*, 2023). The higher early occurrence of infection with parity in the current study is comparable with the previous reports of Mulugeta and Wassie, (2013) in Wolaita Sodo town, Mekibib *et al.*, (2010) in Holota town and Haftu *et al.*, (2012) in northern Ethiopia. The association might be due to the increased opportunity of infection with time and the prolonged duration of infection, especially in a herd without mastitis control program (Radostits *et al.*, 2007). The increased occurrence of infection with parity was reported by Mekibib *et al.* (2010) in Holeta town and Haftu *et al.* (2012) in northern Ethiopia.

In this study, E.coli occurrence in muddy floor system was 7.52% prevalent while 3.16% was registered in concrete floor which has non-significant ($p>0.07$). In agreement with Abera *et al.* (2013) in Adama town and Fekadu *et al.* (2005) in southern Ethiopia, Lakew *et al.* (2009) and Sori *et al.* (2005). The findings of a high prevalence of infection in farms with muddy (soil) floors (48.36%) when compared with concrete floor types (35.22%) shows the occurrence of mastitis is significantly associated with the housing (bedding) type or condition of the farm. This is due to association with poor sanitation and cows which were maintained in dirty and muddy common barns with bedding materials that favor the proliferation and transmission of mastitis pathogens. The main sources of infection are udder of infected cows transferred via milker's hand, towels and environment (Radostitis *et al.*, 2007). This study revealed that dairy cows' house with poor drainage was 0.56 times more likely to be harbor pathogenic E.coli than well drainage housing systems. The association can be attributed to poor sanitation practices and the housing of cows in dirty and muddy common barns with bedding materials that promote the survival and transmission of pathogens (Bizunesh *et al.*, 2022).

Occurrence of E.coli infection was significantly associated with milking hygiene practice ($p=0.002$). Cows at farms with poor milking hygiene standard are severely affected (10.42%) than those with good milking hygiene practices (2.92%). This findings were comparable with the previous findings of (Mulugeta and Wassie, 2013; Lakew *et al.*, 2009; Sori *et al.*, 2005). This might be due to absence of udder washing, milking of cows with common milkers' and using of common udder cloths, which could be vectors of spread especially for contagious mastitis (Radostitis *et al.*, 2007).

The consumption of raw milk and its different forms of product is common in Ethiopia, which is not safe from consumers' health point of view as it may lead to transmission of various diseases. It may be contaminated at the site of production and during processing, the cow itself, unclean milk containers and the milk handlers. The hygienic condition or quality of milk has serious implication on public health safety. The questionnaire results mainly gave broad understanding of the milking and hygienic practice. In this study among the farmers, 20.45 % had a habit of drinking raw milk and 79.54 % of them didn't have awareness about food born disease associated with consumption of raw milk. This result is agree to a study done by Tsige, (2018) around Arsi Negelle town, which is 21.7% of the raw milk consumption and 62% of them have no awareness about milk borne disease among farmers. Though the results showed relatively a lower percentage of raw milk consumption, still these individuals are at a greater risk of contracting food born intoxication infection than those who do not consume raw milk.

In addition, three factors on the farm level were assessed as probable variables related to the higher frequency of samples positive for *E.coli*. There was a statistically non-significant ($P > 0.05$) association between body condition, age and breeds of the animals with positive isolates. This finding was comparable with the finding of Iqbal *et al.* 2004 (40.7%). However, it is much higher than the finding of (Biruke and Shimeles, 2015) (18.6%). This prevalence of *Escherichia coli* is presumably due to the fact that *E. coli* is the commonest environmental contaminants, which is closely associated with hygiene condition of the animals as well as the environment. It becomes pathogenic whenever the hygienic conditions of the animal or environment become poor. Moreover, the existence of high concentration of *E. coli* in milk also indicates the relatively poor quality of milk, related with substandard hygiene of the farm management, milk collection and processing system. The isolation of *E. coli* is of public health significance as this bacterium is known to cause serious gastrointestinal disorders in both young and adult humans (FAO and WHO, 2004).

Concerning the type of examined milk samples, the high prevalence of *E. coli* in raw milk may be attributed in Bishoftu dairy farms is since milk is mainly transported directly to the dairy plant for processing meanwhile market milk is usually collected from small farms or farmers therefore it will be liable to cross contamination by different ways as mixed fresh clean milk with mastitis milk, unclean hands of workers, unclean utensils and unhygienic water supply for washing the utensils could be the source for

accelerating the bacterial contamination. This idea agreed with conditions for contamination of raw milk at different critical points due to less hygienic practices (Reta *et al.*, 2016; Gwida and EL-Gohary, 2013).

4.3 Antimicrobial Sensitivity test result

The present study showed that the resistance of *E.coli* to Penicillin G (95%), Oxacillin (82%), ciprofloxacin(72%), chloramphenicol (63.63%), (39.13%) streptomycin; and (31.81%) tetracycline observed in milk samples. Comparably *E.coli* isolate resistance result was reported by Igbiosa *et al.*, (2021) in Benin city, Nigeria, which revealed 100% penicillin G and ampicillin; 94.5% chloramphenicol, 89.5 % erythromycin, 78.9% Oxytetracycline and sulfamethoxazole.

In the present findings, 86.36% of Gentamycin was sensitive to *E.coli* infection followed by Tetracycline (63.63%), streptomycin (50%), (27.3%) ciprofloxacin, 36.36% chloramphenicol and (18.2%) Oxacillin. This finding was in line with the findings of Igbiosa *et al.*, (2021) in Benin city, Nigeria, reporting *E.coli* isolates were 100% sensitive to Gentamycin and Ofloxacin.

Comparable with the present findings, Frehiwot M *et al.*,(2023) in Adami Tulu Jida, komobolcha District, reported that, 100% resistance was observed for ampicillin, cephalothin and rifampin and on the other hand 100% susceptibility was observed for chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, kanamycin and tetracycline.

In the present observation, of the 21 (95.45%) isolates of *E.coli*, 10 isolates (35.71%) were resistance to three classes of antimicrobials: four isolates= (oxacillin, ciprofloxacin, penicillin), three isolates for (chloramphenicol, penicillin, and oxacillin) and one isolates for : (chloramphenicol, penicillin, tetracycline) ; (streptomycin, penicillin, oxacillin) and also (oxacillin, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol) and one isolates four classes of drugs, frequent multidrug resistance pattern were exhibited. Antibiotic resistance pathogenic *E.coli* isolates has been a challenge to both animal and public health.

In this study, 50% Multi drug resistance was observed in three and above classes of drugs. The test isolates were resistant to chloramphenicol, penicillin, streptomycin, oxacillin and ciprofloxacin. This is in agreement with the report of Bekele *et al.* (2014) and Atinafe (2017). Multidrug resistance occurred due to the misuse of antimicrobial agents or due to genetic mutation (Mendelson., 2011). On contrary, all isolates were susceptible to the most commonly used antimicrobials including chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin (Kibert *et al.*, 2011) and tetracycline. However, Hiko *et al.* (2008), Bekele *et al.*

(2014) and Haile *et al.* (2022) were reported resistance to tetracycline which is the most commonly used antimicrobials in Ethiopia, which is contrary to the present study. But, Mohammed *et al.* (2014) reported susceptibility to tetracycline which is in line with the present study.

The antibiotic resistance rates in this study is slightly different from an earlier report by Msolo *et al.*, (2016) which indicated a resistant rate of 85% for penicillin G, 45% for chloramphenicol, 70% for erythromycin, and 74% for sulfamethoxazole. The 100% resistance to penicillin observed in our study agrees with the study of Alam *et al.*, (2017) who reported high rate (100%) of resistance to penicillin among *E. coli* O157 isolates cultured from raw milk marketed in Chittagong, Bangladesh. The high susceptibility rate (100%) to Gentamicin and Ofloxacin for genotypically confirmed *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates obtained in this study is different from a report by Alam *et al.*, (2017) where 50% rate to gentamicin and ofloxacin was reported. High resistance rates to penicillin and tetracyclines in our study agrees with the antibiotic susceptibility test study by Reuben and Owuna (2013) on *E. coli* O157 isolates recovered from milk samples.

This result was higher than the finding of Igbiosa *et al.*, (2021), who reported 2.6 % of multiple drug resistance to pathogenic *E. coli* isolated from cow milk in Benin city. This might be due to the variation in the type and frequency of use of these antibiotics for the treatment and prevention of prevailing bacterial diseases. Multiple antibiotic- resistance pathogenic *E. coli* strains have been isolated from milk obtained from dairy animals in many part of the world (Lemma *et al.*, 2021).

In a similar study by Tadesse *et al.*, (2017), *E. coli* showed high resistance rates to ampicillin (70%), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (60%), clindamycin (80%), erythromycin (60%), chloramphenicol (50%), and kanamycin (50%), which is slightly different from the findings of our study. Antibiotic resistance could be due to abuse of antibiotics in both human medicine and for agricultural purposes, predominantly in disease suppression and advancement of growth in animal production. The high susceptibility of *E. coli* to kanamycin in our study is different from the study of Tadesse *et al.*, (2017) which reported 50% resistance rate, although the study reported high susceptibility rates to some antibiotics such as gentamicin (100%), ofloxacin (100%), and ciprofloxacin (90%), which is similar to the findings in our study.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The findings of our present study clearly indicated that safety and quality of fresh and fermented milk in Nejo district were unsatisfactory. Higher 16.39% pathogenic *E. coli* contamination rate was detected in milkers' hand swab followed by 7.5% (milk samples); (5.92%) container swab; and 3.70% in udder swab, of milk marketing channels, which was significantly associated ($P < 0.05$). The overall prevalence of pathogenic *E. coli* from the milk production channels were 7.29%. This indicates that pathogenic *E. coli* is one of the major problems of dairy cows in milk production that contaminated and reduced the quality of milk. Besides, all pathogenic *E. coli* isolates exhibited bright pink color with lactose fermentation on MacConkey agar plates, metallic sheen on Eosin Methylene Blue agar plate and gram-negative, pink-colored, small rod-shaped organisms arranged in single with pairs or short chains on Gram's staining and Indole -positive, methyl red -positive, voges-proskauer- negative and simmon's citrate- negative and sorbitol mac conkey (non-sorbitol fermenting *E. coli*=colorless=pale colony). Consistently, sample source, udder washing, parity, milking hygiene, teat lesion, and drainage system were *E. coli* associated risk factor in the milk production channels were significant ($P < 0.05$) whereas previous udder infection, body condition, breed, age, floor type, and herd size were not significantly associated ($P > 0.05$).

Besides, 96% of penicillin G, followed by 82% Oxacillin; 71% chloramphenicol and ciprofloxacin; and 42.5% streptomycin were resistance whereas 82% of Gentamycin, 64% Tetracycline, 46% streptomycin, and 28.6% chloramphenicol and ciprofloxacin were sensitive to pathogenic *E. coli* isolates. Similarly, 35.71% of the tested isolates were revealed multi drug resistance to three classes of antibiotic discs, 3.57% of isolates for four classes of drugs. The disease has public health importance and it also harm the health and wellbeing of human being. Therefore, the results of the present study provided that pathogenic *E. coli* quality and safety of raw milk was unsatisfactory. These findings stress the need for an integrated control of pathogenic *E. coli* from farm production on to consumption of food of animal origin.

In light of the above conclusive remarks, the following recommendations are forwarded:-

- Awareness should be created on milk handling practice, storage and milking process to Dairy farmer and milk collectors.
- Proper raw milk storage, milk pasteurization, and hygiene and sanitary of milk handling across milk production channels.
- Increasing knowledge and awareness of Dairy cow owners on milk consumption

cultures, handling, and processing across marketing channels.

- The professionals should inform the public about the relevance of milk pasteurization before consumption to avoid food born infection
- Regular resistance follow-up, using antimicrobials sensitivity tests helps to select effective antibiotics and to reduce the problems of drug resistance developments towards commonly used antimicrobials.

7. REFERENCES

- Abebe, E., Gugsu, G., Ahmed, M., Awol, N., Tefera, Y., Abegaz, S. and Sisay, T., 2023. Occurrence and antimicrobial resistance pattern of *E. coli* O157: H7 isolated from foods of Bovine origin in Dessie and Kombolcha towns, Ethiopia. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, **17**(1), p.e0010706.
- Abebe, M., Hailelule, A., Abrha B., Nigus A., Birhanu M, Adane H, Genene T, Daniel H, Getachew G, Merga, G and Haftay, A. 2014. Antibiogram of *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from food of bovine origin in selected Woredas of Tigray, Ethiopia. *Journal of Bacteria Research*, **6**(3), 17-22..
- Abegegi, U.A., Esemu, S.N., Ndip, R.N. and Ndip, L.M., 2022. Prevalence and risk factors of coliform-associated mastitis and antibiotic resistance of coliforms from lactating dairy cows in North West Cameroon. *PloS one*, **17**(7), p.e0268247.
- Abreham, S., Teklu, A., Cox, E., & Sisay, T, 2019. *Escherichia coli* O157: H7: distribution, molecular characterization, antimicrobial resistance patterns and source of contamination of sheep and goat carcasses at an export abattoir, Mojdo, Ethiopia. *BMC microbiology*, **19**(1), 1-14.
- Addo, K.K., Mensah, G.I., Aning, K.G., Nartey, N., Nipah, G.K., Bonsu, C., Akyeh, M.L. and Smits, H.L., 2011. Microbiological quality and antibiotic residues in informally marketed raw cow milk within the coastal savannah zone of Ghana. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, **16**(2), 227-232.
- Adem, H., Mezene, W., Abdela, M., & Yimer, M. 2019. Hygiene Survey From Farm To Milk Supply Stage Using *E. coli* Isolation and antimicrobial Resistance Test. *Bull. Animal Health Production in Africa*, **64**(2). 215-224.
- Adzitey, F., Amposah, C.A. and Teye, G.A., 2018. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance patterns of *E. coli* isolates from cow milk, milk products and handlers in the tamale metropolis of Ghana. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal*, **39**(4), 338-345
- Adzitey, F., Yussif, S., Ayamga, R., Zuberu, S., Addy, F., Adu-Bonsu, G., Huda, N. and Kobun, R., 2022. Antimicrobial susceptibility and molecular characterization of *Escherichia coli* recovered from milk and related samples. *Microorganisms*, **10**(7), p.1335.
- Ahmedsham, M., Hamza, N. and Tamiru, M., 2018. Review on milk and milk product safety, quality assurance and control. *International Journal of Livestock Production*, **9**(4), 67-78. doi:10.5897/IJLP2017.0403.
- Ahmida, M.R., 2020. Molecular Identification of Certain Virulence Genes of Some Food Poisoning Bacteria Contaminating Raw Milk. Damanhour. *Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, **4**(2), 20-24.
- Akinjogunla, O.J., Akaka, B.C. and Inyang, C.U., 2020. Epidemiological Investigation, Serotypes and Distribution of Verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) in Raw Milk and Milk Products in Uyo, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Biotechnology*, **37**(1), 10-20.
- Alam, M.K.U., Akther, S., Sarwar, N., Morshed, S. and Debnath, G.K., 2017. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* O157 isolated from raw milk marketed in Chittagong, Bangladesh. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology*, **5**(3), 214-220.
- Alemu, S. and Abraha, A., 2017. Prevalence of bacteria associated with subclinical mastitis in haramaya university dairy cattle, goat and sheep farms. *East African Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, **1**(2), .61-66.
- Aliyo, A. And Teklemariam, Z., 2022. Assessment of Milk Contamination, Associated Risk Factors, and Drug Sensitivity Patterns among Isolated Bacteria from Raw Milk of Borena Zone, Ethiopia. *Journal of Tropical Medicine*, 2022.
- Aliyo, A., Seyoum, A. And Teklemariam, Z., 2022. Bacteriological quality and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns among raw milk producers and vendors in Gomole district, Borena zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, 2589-2602.
- Almeida, P.V.D., Cunha Neto, A.D., Anjos, T.R.D., Dias, N.D.S., Andrade, K.R.N.C.D., Nascimento, J.G.O., Figueiredo, E.E.D.S. and Carvalho, R.C.T., 2022. Isolation of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in raw milk from refrigeration tanks: 47 identification and antimicrobial resistance profiles. *Acta Vet. Brasilica*, 242-250.
- Alqeer, A., & Zelalem, T. 2022. Assessment of Milk Contamination, Associated Risk Factors,

- and Drug Sensitivity Patterns among Isolated Bacteria from Raw Milk of Borena Zone, Ethiopia. *Journal of Tropical Medicine*, 2022, 3577715.
25. Amanuel, B., and Ulfina, G. 2018. Review on Hygienic Milk Products Practice and Occurrence of Mastitis in Cow's Milk Agricultural Research & Technology, **18** (2), 1-11.
 26. Amenu, K., Grace, D., Nemo, S. And Wieland, B., 2019. Bacteriological quality and safety of ready-to-consume milk and naturally fermented milk in Borana pastoral area, southern Ethiopia. *Tropical animal health and production*, 51, 2079-2084.
 27. Angulo, F.J., LeJeune, J.T. and Rajala-Schultz, P.J., 2009. Unpasteurized milk: a continued public health threat. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 48(1), 93-100.
 28. Ansharieta, R., Ramandinianto, S.C., Effendi, M.H. and Plumeriastuti, H., 2021. Molecular identification of blaCTX-M and blaTEM genes encoding extended-spectrum β lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from raw cow's milk in East Java, Indonesia. *Bio Diversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, **22**(4)..
 29. Ashenafi A, Dereje E, & Haben, F. 2020. Isolation and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from Raw Milk of Dairy Cattle in Holeta District, Central Ethiopia. *International Journal of Microbiology*, 1-8.
 30. Asime, L.J., Egbe, J.G. and Cecilia, E., 2020. Isolation of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 from selected food samples sold in local markets in Nigeria. *African Journal of Food Science*, **14**(2), 32-37.
 31. Ayalew, A. 2019. Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in foods of animal origin in Ethiopia: A meta-analysis. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, **5**(1), 1642981.
 32. Babege, K., Eshetu, M., & Kassa, F. 2020. Hygienic Production Practices and Microbial Quality of Cow Milk in Cheha District of Gurage Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Open Journal of Animal Sciences*, **10**(03), 592.
 33. Bakhshi, B., Najibi, S and Sepehri-Seresht, S. 2014. Molecular Characterization of Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Cattle. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine Science*, **76**(9), 1043-1050.
 34. Bedasa, S., Shiferaw, D., Abraha, A. And Moges, T., 2018. RETRACTED ARTICLE: Occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 from food of animal origin in Bishoftu town, Central Ethiopia. *International Journal of Food Contamination*, **5**(1), 1-8.
 35. Bekele, T., Zewde, G., Tefera, G., Feleke, A. and Zerom, K., 2014. *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 in raw meat in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: prevalence at an abattoir and retailers and antimicrobial susceptibility. *International Journal of Food Contamination*, **1**(1), 1-8.
 36. Bereda, A., Yilma, Z., Eshetu, M. and Yousuf, M.K., 2018. Hygienic practices, microbial quality and safety of raw cow's milk and traditional fermented milk (Irgo) in selected areas of Ethiopian Central Highlands. *East African Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, **2**(1), 17-26.
 37. Berge, A.C. and Baars, T., 2020. Raw milk producers with high levels of hygiene and safety. *Epidemiology & Infection*, 148, 14.
 38. Berhe, G., Wasihun, A.G., Kassaye, E. And Gebreselasie, K., 2020. Milk-borne bacterial health hazards in milk produced for commercial purpose in Tigray, northern Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health*, **20**(1), 894.
 39. Bihon, A., Syoum, A., & Assefa, A. 2018. Assessment of risk factors and isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* from bovine subclinical mastitic milk in and around Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia. *Tropical Animal Health Production*, 51, 939-948. doi:10.1007/s11250-018-1777-2.
 40. Caine, L., Pekana, A., Lukanji, Z., Idamokoro, M. and Green, E., 2013. Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains in raw milk obtained from two farms of the Eastern Cape 49 Province, South 68kgAfrica. *Mendel Net*, 13, 184-187.
 41. Callaway, T. R., Carr, M. A., Edrington, T. S., Anderson, R. C and Nisbet, D. J. 2009. Diet, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, and Cattle: A Review After 10 Years. *Current. Issues Molecular Biology*, **11**(2), 67-80.
 42. Caprioli, A., Morabito, S, Brugere, H and Oswald, E. 2005. Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*: emerging issues on virulence and modes of transmission. *Veterinary Research*, **36**(3), 289-311.
 43. Cheesbrough, M, 2006. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, USA,
 44. Chege, P. and Ndungu, Z., 2016. Analysis of contamination points of milk through the whole value chain process and the quality of milk products in the dairy industry. *Avid Science*.
 45. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). 2014: Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Twenty-fourth

- Informational Supplements. Wayne, PA: *Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute*. 34:50-75.
46. CLSI, (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute). 2015. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Twenty-fifth informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S25. Wayne, PA. Vol. 35(3): 32-194.
 47. Condoleo, R., Giangolini, G., Chiaverini, A., Patriarca, D., Scaramozzino, P., & Mezher, Z. 2020. Occurrence of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* in Raw Sheep's Milk from Farm Bulk Tanks in Central Italy. *Journal of Food Protection*, **83**(11), 1929-1933.
 48. Dadi, S., Lakew, M., Seid, M., Koran, T., Olani, A., Letebrihan, Y., Mekdes, T., & Eyob, E. 2020. Isolation of *Salmonella* and *E. coli* (*E. coli* O157:H7) and its Antimicrobial Resistance Pattern from Bulk Tank Raw Milk in Sebeta Town, Ethiopia. *Journal of Animal Research Veterinary Science*. **4**(1), 1-7.
 49. Dawit, H., & Tolessa, E. 2019. Study on prevalence of bovine mastitis and its associated risk factors with isolation and identification of *staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* in and around Asossa town. Report and Opinion, **11**(9), 39-46.
 50. Dehkordi, M. K., Halaji, M and Nouri, S. 2020. Prevalence of class 1 integron in *Escherichia coli* isolated from animal sources in Iran: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Tropical Medicine and Health*, 48. doi: 10.1186/s41182-020-00202-1.
 51. Dejene, H., Abunna, F., Tuffa, A.C. and Gebresenbet, G., 2022. Epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *E. coli* O157: H7 along dairy milk supply chain in Central Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine: Research and Reports*, 131-142.
 52. Disassa, N., Sibhat, B., Mengistu, S., Muktar, Y., & Belina, D. 2017. Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of *E. coli* O157:H7 Isolated from Traditionally Marketed Raw Cow Milk in and around Asossa Town, Western Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine International*, 2017.
 53. Edget, A., Minale, G., Wasihun, S., & Ephram, T. 2020. Knowledge; Hygienic Practice among Milk and Cottage Cheese Handlers in Districts of Gamo and Gofa Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Acta Scientific Veterinary Sciences* (ISSN: 2582-3183), **2**(7).
 54. Effendi, M.H., Harijani, N., Yanestria, S.M. and Hastutiek, P., 2018. Identification of Shiga Toxin-Producing *Escherichia coli* in Raw Milk Samples from Dairy Cows in Surabaya, Indonesia. *Journal International the Philippine Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 55: 109-114.
 55. El-Gamal, A.M. and EL-Bahi, E.F., 2016. Molecular Characterization of Rectal Carriage of *E. coli* O157: H7 and *Salmonella* Spp. In Feedlot Animals and Its Effects on Carcasses Contamination. *Alexandria Journal for Veterinary Sciences*, **48**(1).
 56. Elmonir, W., Abo-Remela, E. and Sobeih, A., 2018. Public health risks of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in raw bovine milk sold in informal markets in Egypt. *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries*, **12**(07): 533-541.
 57. Engdaw, T.A. and Temesgen, W., 2016. O157: H7 Serotype of *Escherichia coli* as an Important Emerging Zoonosis. *International Journal of Microbiological Research*, 7(1): 09-17.
 58. Engidaw, A., Getachew, G., Meselu, A., Nesibu, A., Yalew, T., Shimelis, A., & Tesfaye, S. 2022. Occurrence and Antimicrobial Resistance Pattern of *E. coli* O157:H7 Isolated from Foods of Bovine Origin in Dessie and Kombolcha Towns, Ethiopia. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, **17**(1): p.e0010706.
 59. Faisal, S. M., & Ahmed, A. F. 2018. Bacteriological Quality Assessment of Milk in College of Veterinary Medicine (Cvm) Dairy Farm and Kalamino Dairy Farm in Mekelle, Tigray, Ethiopia. *Dairy and Vet. Sci. J*, **8**(2): 1-8.
 60. Faridah, H.D., Dewi, E.K., Effendi, M.H. and Plumeriastuti, H., 2020. A review of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) of *Escherichia coli* on livestock and animal products: Public health importance. *Sys. Rev. Pharm*, **11**(11): 1210-1218.
 61. Fathi, S.S., Mohamed, A.S. and El-Sayed, M.S., 2019. Coliforms Contamination in Raw Milk and Some Dairy Products with Special Reference to Comparative Identification of *Enterobacter* spp. *Zagazig Veterinary Journal*, **47**(4): 388-397.
 62. Frehiwot, M., Samson, L, Kebede, A, and Fufa, A., 2023. Occurrence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in lactating cows and dairy farm environment and the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern at Adami Tulu Jido Kombolcha District, Ethiopia. *BMC Veterinary Research*, **19**(1): 6.
 63. Friday, A., Enemadukwu, A., Apameio, J., Edisha, G. and Abimbola, E., 2021. Bacteriological Quality of Raw Cow's Milk Sold in Minna Central Market, Niger State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Pathogen Research*, **6**(1), 29-35.
 64. Fufa, A., Hable W, Fikru G, Fikru R, Dinka A, Kebede A, Reta D, & Girma, G. 2018.

- Assessment of Post-Harvest Handling Practices, Quality and Safety of Milk and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Isolated From Milk in and around Asella Town, Oromia, Ethiopia. *Annals of Public Health and Research*, **5**(1): 1072-52
65. Fufa, A., Nigus, T., Fikru, R., Dinka, A., & Kebede, A. 2019. Handling Practices, Quality and Safety of Milk along the Dairy Value Chains in Selected Sub Cites of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Biomed J Sci & Tech Res*, **13**(1): 9652-9665.
 66. Garbaj, A.M., Awad, E.M., Azwai, S.M., Abolghait, S.K., Naas, H.T., Moawad, A.A., Gammoudi, F.T., Barbieri, I. and Eldaghayes, I.M., 2016. Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 in milk and dairy products from Libya: Isolation and molecular identification by partial sequencing of 16S rDNA. *Veterinary world*, **9**(11): 1184.
 67. Garcia, A., Fox, J.G. and Besser, T.E., 2010. Zoonotic enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*: a One Health perspective. *Iilar Journal*, **51**(3): 221-232.
 68. Garedeew, L., Berhanu, A., Mengesha, D. and Tsegay, G., 2012. Identification of gramnegative bacteria from critical control points of raw and pasteurized cow milk consumed at Gondar town and its suburbs, Ethiopia. *BMC public health*, **12**: 1-7.
 69. Gebisa, E.S., Gerasu, M.A. and Leggese, D.T., 2019. A Review on Virulence Factors of *Escherichia Coli*. *Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, **7**(3): 83-93.
 70. Gebremedhin, Y. 2018. Isolation, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Selected Dairy Farms in and Around Mekelle, Tigray, Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary Science & Technology*, **9**(2).
 71. Geletu, U.S., Usmael, M.A. and Ibrahim, A.M., 2022. Isolation, Identification, and Susceptibility Profile of *E. Coli*, *Salmonella*, and *S. Aureus* in Dairy Farm and Their Public Health Implication in Central Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine International*, 2022:.
 72. Gemechu, T. 2016. Microbial Quality and Associated Public Health Hazards of Raw Cow's Milk Produced and Marketed in Ethiopia: A review. *Biomedicine and Nursing*, **2**(1).
 73. Gezehagn, K., Betelihem, T., & Belege, T. 2020. Isolation and Identification of Major Pathogenic Bacteria from Clinical Mastitic Cows in Asella Town, Ethiopia. 53 *Veterinary Medicine International*. doi:10.1155/2020/6656755.
 74. Ghallache, L., Mohamed-Cherif, A., China, B., Mebkhou, F., Boilattabi, N., Bouchemal, A., Rebia, A., Ayachi, A., Khelef, D., Miroud, K. And Ait-Oudhia, K., 2021. Antibiotic Resistance Profile of *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Bovine Subclinical Mastitis of Dairy Farms in Algeria from 2017 to 2019. *World's Veterinary Journal*, **11**(3): 402-415.
 75. Ghougal, K., Moreno Roldán, E. And Espigares Rodríguez, E., 2021, July. Risk factors related to bacterial contamination by Enterobacteriaceae and fecal coliforms and the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. In Algerian farms, slaughterhouses and butcheries: a two-year follow-up study. *AIMS Agriculture and food*. **6**(3): 768-785.
 76. Girma, K., Tilahun, Z. and Haimanot, D., 2014. Review on milk safety with emphasis on its public health. *World Journal of Dairy Food Science*, **9**(2), 166-83.
 77. Gugsu, G., Weldeselassie, M., Tsegaye, Y., Awol, N., Kumar, A., Ahmed, M., Abebe, N., Taddele, H. and Bsrat, A., 2022. Isolation, characterization, and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 from foods of bovine origin in Mekelle, Tigray, Ethiopia. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, **9**.
 78. Hadifar, S., Moghoofei, M., Nematollahi, S., Ramazanzadeh, R., Sedighi, M., SalehiAbargouei, A. and Miri, A., 2016. Epidemiology of multidrug resistant uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Japanese journal of infectious diseases*, **70**(1), 19-25.
 79. Haileyesus, D., Fufa, A., Ashenafi, C. T., & Girma, G. 2022. Epidemiology and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of *E. coli* O157:H7 Along Dairy Milk Supply Chain in Central Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine: Research and Reports*, 131-142.
 80. Hassan, G. M. O., & Farag, H. E. M. 2019. Molecular Detection of *Salmonella* and *E. coli* Microorganisms among Dairy Farms With Detection of Virulence I and Antibiotics 54 Resistance Genes. *Assiut Veterinary Medicine Journal*, **65**(161), 225-246.
 81. Hassani, S., Moosavy, M.H., Gharajalar, S.N., Khatibi, S.A., Hajibemani, A. And Barabadi, Z., 2022. High prevalence of antibiotic resistance in pathogenic foodborne bacteria isolated from bovine milk. *Scientific Reports*, **12**(1), 3878.
 82. Heredia, N. and Garcia, S., 2018. Animals as sources of food-borne pathogens: A review. *Animal nutrition*, **4**(3), 250-255.

83. Hiwot, D., Savoinni, G., Donata, C., Gabriella, S. And Martino, P., 2016. Bacteriological quality of milk in raw bovine bulk milk in the selected milk collection centers: smallholder dairy processing Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry*, **4**(2), 201.
84. Hussein, F. 2018. Molecular Identification for Six Virulent Genes of Escherichia Coli Isolation from Diarrheic calves and they're by Resistance Profile to Antimicrobials in Selected Towns of South Wollo Administrative Zone Amhara, Ethiopia. (Doctoral dissertation, Addis Ababa University)
85. Igbinoso, I.H. and Chiadika, C., 2021. Prevalence, characteristics and antibiogram profile of Escherichia coli O157: H7 isolated from raw and fermented (nono) milk in Benin City, Nigeria. *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology*, **22**(2), pp.223-233:
86. Jang, J., Hur, H.G., Sadowsky, M.J., Byappanahalli, M.N., Yan, T. and Ishii, S., 2017. Environmental Escherichia coli: ecology and public health implications—a review. *Journal of applied microbiology*, **123**(3), 570-581.
87. Jean, P.M.M., Lilly, C.B., George, C.G., Victor, A.M., Blaise, I. And Benjamin, S., 2019. Assessment of bacterial contamination and milk handling practices along the raw milk market chain in the north-western region of Rwanda. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, **13**(29), 640-648.
88. Khaton, R., Hasnat, M.A., Rahman, S. and Rahman, M.M., 2014. Public Health Safety in Relation to Microbiological Quality of Freshly Drawn Cow s Milk in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh journal of veterinary medicine*, **12**(2), 231-236.
89. Kiambi, S., Fèvre, E.M., Alarcon, P., Gitahi, N., Masinde, J., Kang'ethe, E., Aboge, G., Rushton, J. And Onono, J.O., 2022. Assessment of milk quality and food safety challenges in the complex nairobi dairy value Chain. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, **9**, 616.
90. Kiranmayi, C. B., Krishnaiah, N. and Mallika, E. N. 2010. Escherichia coli O157:H7 - An Emerging Pathogen in foods of Animal Origin. *Veterinary World*, **3**(8), Publication site Lencho, G. K., and Seblewongel, A.M. 2018. Assessment of dairy farmers' hygienic milking practices and awareness of cattle milk-borne zoonoses in Bishoftu, Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health*, **10**(2), 45-54.
91. Limbu, D.S., Bantawa, K., Devkota, M. and Ghimire, M., 2020. Microbiological quality and adulteration of pasteurized and raw milk marketed in Dharan, Nepal. *Himalayan Journal of Science and Technology*, p. 37-44.
92. Lubote, R., Shahada, F., & Matemu, A. 2014. Prevalence of Salmonella spp. and Escherichia coli in raw milk value chain in Arusha, Tanzania. *American Journal of Research Communication*, **2**(9), 1-13.
93. Lupindua, A.M., 2018. Epidemiology of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O157: H7 in Africa in review. *South Africa Journal of Infectious Diseases*, **33**(1), pp.24-30.
94. Lye, Y. L, Afsah-Hejri, L., Chang, W. S., Loo, Y. Y, Puspanadan, S. Kuan, C. H. Goh, S. G., Shahril, N., Rukayadi, Y. Khatib, A. John, Y.H.T. Nishibuchi, M., Y., Nakaguchi, Y and Son, R. 2013. Risk of Escherichia coli O157:H7 transmission linked to the consumption of raw milk. *International Journal of Food Research*, **20**(2), 1001-1005.
95. Manyazewal, A., Mulata B G, Endrias Z G, & Lencho, M. M. 2022. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in raw cow's milk in Gojo 56 and Shukute towns, central Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Veterinary Journal*, **26**(1), 122-135.
96. Megawer, A., Hassan, G., Meshref, A. and Elnewery, H., 2020. Prevalence of Escherichia coli in milk and some dairy products in Beni-Suef governorate, Egypt. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Research*, **27**(2): 161-167.
97. Melaku, T, Tamiru, B, Yenehiwot, B, Firaol, T and Dechassa, T. 2013. Study on Carcass Contaminating Escherichia coli in Apparently Healthy Slaughtered Cattle in Haramaya University Slaughter House with Special Emphasis on Escherichia coli O157:H7, Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinar Science Technol* **4**: 132.
98. Melese, A, R, Tesfaye, W, B, and Ayalew, N, A, 2016. Bacterial contaminations of raw cow's milk consumed at Jigjiga City of Somali Regional State, Eastern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Food Contamination*, **3**(1), 1-9.
99. Merhawit, R., Habtamu, T., Berihun, A., & Abrha, B. 2014. Bacteriological Quality Assessment of Milk in Dairy Farms, Cafeterias and Wholesalers in Adigrat, Tigray, Ethiopia. *European Journal of Biological Science*, **6**(4), 88-94.
100. Mesfine, S., Feyera, T. and Mohammed, O., 2015. Microbiological quality of raw cow's milk from four dairy farms in Dire Dawa City, Eastern Ethiopia. *World Journal of Dairy & Food Sciences*, **10**(1), 09-14. doi: 10.5829/idosi.wjdfs.2015.10.1.9196.
101. Minda, A. G., and Shimelis, R. 2021. Escherichia coli O157:H7 from Food of Animal Origin in

- Arsi: Occurrence at Catering Establishments and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile. *The Scientific World Journal*. doi.org/10.1155/2021/6631860
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8021470/>
102. Mitiku, E., Mekdes, S., & Yesihak, Y. M. 2019. Milk production, marketing practices and qualities along milk supply chains of Haramaya District, Ethiopia. *Africa Journal of Agricultural and Research*, **14**(35), 1990-2005.
 103. Mogotu, M.W., Abong, G.O., Mburu, J. And Ndambi, O.A., 2022. Assessment of hygiene practices and microbial safety of milk supplied by smallholder farmers to processors in selected counties in Kenya. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, **54**(4), 220.
 104. Mohamed, F.A., Ramli, A.N. and Ahmad, N., 2020. Survival study and haemolysin activity of *Escherichia coli* in raw and pasteurized milk produced in Negeri Sembilan: *In Production Stage. Journal of Academia*, **8**(1): 66-77.
 105. Msolo, L., Igbinosa, E.O. and Okoh, A.I., 2016. Prevalence and antibiogram profiles of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 isolates recovered from three selected dairy farms in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease*, **6**(12), 990-995.
 106. Munsu, M.N., Sarker, N.R., Khatun, R. And Alam, M.K., 2015. Identification and antibiogram study of bacterial species isolated from milk samples of different locations in Bangladesh. *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, **1**(3), 457-462. doi:10.3329/ajmbr.v1i3.26462
<https://www.banglajol.info/index.php/AJMBR/article/view/26462>
 107. Naing, Y.W., Wai, S.S., Lin, T.N., Thu, W.P., Htun, L.L., Bawm, S. And Myaing, T.T., 2019. Bacterial content and associated risk factors influencing the quality of bulk tank milk collected from dairy cattle farms in Mandalay Region. *Food Science & Nutrition*, **7**(3), 1063-1071.
 108. Negesse, W., Fufa, A., & Bihonegn, W. 2020. Isolation, Identification And Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles Of *E. Coli* O157: H7 From Raw Cow Milk In And Around Modjo Town, Ethiopia. *Journal of American Science*, **16**(6), 62-79.
 109. Nema, P., Singh, R.V., Tayde, R.S., Goyal, G., Sharma, V. and Gupta, B., 2015. Study on antibiogram pattern of *Escherichia coli* from raw and pasteurized milk. *Veterinary Practitioner*, **16**(1), 11-13.
 110. Nguyen, Y. and Sperandio, V., 2012. Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) pathogenesis. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology*, **2**, 90.
 111. Nigatu, D., Berhanu, S., Shimelis, M., Yimer, M., & Dinao, B. 2017. Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of *E. coli* O157:H7 Isolated from Traditionally Marketed Raw Cow Milk in and around Asosa Town, Western Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine International*, 1-7.
 112. Ogunleye, A.O., Okunlade, A.O., Jeminlehin, F.O. and Ajuwape, A.T.P., 2013. Antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolated from healthy cattle at a major cattle market in Ibadan, Oyo State, South Western, Nigeria. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, **7**(37), 4572-4575.
 113. Olunrebi, B.A., Onaolapo, J.A., Bolaji, R.O. and Otaru, S., 2021. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Enteric Bacteria from Fresh cow milk and handlers in Zaria Metropolis, Kaduna State Nigeria. *AROC in Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology*, **1**(2), 35-42.
 114. Ombarak, R.A., Hinenoya, A., Awasthi, S.P., Iguchi, A., Shima, A., Elbagory, A.R.M. and Yamasaki, S., 2016. Prevalence and pathogenic potential of *Escherichia coli* isolates from raw milk and raw milk cheese in Egypt. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **221**, 69-76..
 115. Pal, M., Mulu, S., Tekle, M., Pintoo, S.V. and Prajapati, J., 2016. Bacterial contamination of dairy products. *Beverage and food world*, **43**(9), 40-43. Publication site Google Scholar Panigrahi, S., Devi, B., Swain, K. and Priyadarshini, P., 2018. Microbiology of milk: Public health aspect. *Pharma Innovation. Journal*, **7**(1): 260-264.
 116. Pathot, Y.D., 2019. Hygienic practices and bacteriological quality of milk: A review. *Int. J. Res. Granthaalayah*, **7**(5), 341-356. doi: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i5.2019.856
 117. Perveen, B., Junejo, Y, Safdar, M, and Ozaslan, M. 2021. Molecular characterization of *Escherichia coli* isolated from raw cow milk samples collected from district Bahawalpur, Pakistan. *Zeugma Biological Science*, **2**(2), pp.1-13.
 118. Perwira, H...A, P, Suryani, D and Wibowo, F. 2019. Relationship between Milker's Personal Hygiene and Sanitation with Contamination of *Escherichia coli* in The Fresh Cow Milk in People's Livestock in Umbulharjo, Cangkringan, Sleman. *Aloha International Journal of Health Advancement*,
 119. Quinn, P. Carter, M., Markey, B., and Carter, G. 2004. *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology*. London,

- UK: Wildlife Publisher. 101pp. Rahman, M.A., Rahman, A.K.M.A., Islam, M.A. and Alam, M.M., 2017. Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated from milk, beef and chicken meat in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, **15**(2). 141-146.
120. Rai, S., Karki, B., Humagain, S., Rimal, S., Adhikari, S., Adhikari, S. and Thapa, S., 2020. Antibioqram of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from milk sold in Kathmandu district. *Nepal Journal of Biotechnology*, **8**(3), 82-86.
121. Rundasa, M., Mesfin, M., & Haben, F. 2019. Isolation and Identification of *Escherichia coli* from Dairy Cow Raw Milk in Bishoftu Town, Central Ethiopia. 1(1).
122. Publication site Google Scholar Shah, M. K., Aziz, SA, Zakaria, Z, Lin LC, and Goni, MD. 2018. A Review on Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in Malaysia. *Advance in Animals and Veterinary Science*, **6**(2), 95.
123. Shubisa, A., Sintayehu, S., & Mekonnen, A. 2022. Isolation and Antibioqram of *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Selected Dairy Farm at Sebeta, Oromia, Ethiopia. *Austin Journal of Vet Sci & Anim Husb*, **9**(3), 1098.
124. Sisay, M., Teka, F., & Ousman, M. 2015. Microbiological Quality of Raw Cow's Milk from Four Dairy Farms in Dire Dawa City, Eastern Ethiopia. *World Journal of Dairy & Food Sciences* **10**(1), 09-14.
125. Skočková, A., Cupáková, Š. Karpíšková, R. and Janštová, B., 2021. Detection of tetracycline resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* from raw cow's milk. *Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences*, 2021, pp.777-784.
126. Smith, D.R., 2015. Vaccination of Cattle against *Escherichia coli* O157: H7. Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* and Other Shiga Toxin-Producing *E. coli*, 487- 501.
127. Solomon, D, Matios, L, Mohamed, S, Tafesse, K, Abebe. O, Letebrihan, Y, Mekdes, T, and Eyob, E. 2020. Isolation of *Salmonella* and *E. coli* (*E. coli* O157:H7) and its Antimicrobial Resistance Pattern from Bulk Tank Raw Milk in Sebeta Town, Ethiopia. *Journal of Animal Research Veterinary Science* **4**: 021.
128. Sudda, M. M., Mtenga, A. B., Kusiluka, L. J., & Kassim, N. 2016. Prevalence and Antibiotic Susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. isolated from milk of zero grazed cows in Arusha City. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, **10**(46), 1944-1951.
129. Tadesse, H.A., Gidey, N.B., Workelule, K., Hailu, H., Gidey, S., Bsrat, A. and Taddele, H., 2018. Antimicrobial resistance profile of *E. coli* isolated from raw cow milk and fresh fruit juice in Mekelle, Tigray, Ethiopia. *Veterinary medicine international*, 2018.
130. Tesfaheywet, Z and Gerema, A, 2017. Prevalence and Bacterial Isolates of Mastitis in Dairy Farms in Selected Districts of Eastern Hararghe Zone, Eastern Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine*. doi:10.1155/2017/6498618.
131. Tesfaye, B. and Abera, A., 2018. Prevalence of mastitis and associated risk factors in Jimma town dairy farms, Western Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary Science Animal Husbandry*, **6**(3), 307.
132. Tesfaye, T., D. 2019. Assessment of Hygienic Practices in Dairy Farm and Isolation of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in Milk and Dairy Products in Selected Towns of Central Ethiopia. (Doctoral dissertation, Haramaya University). <http://ir.haramaya.edu.et/hru/handle/123456789/2688>
133. Teshome, G., Fekadu, B., & Mitiku, E. 2014. Handling Practices and Microbial Quality of Raw Cow's Milk Produced and Marketed in Shashemene Town, Southern Ethiopia. *Int. J. Agric. Soil Sci.*, **2**(9), pp. 153-162.
134. Tewodros, A., Mebrate, G and Etagegnehu B, 2020. Review on Milk and Milk product Handling Practices, Utilization and Microbial quality in Ethiopia. *International Journal of Dairy Science and Technology*, **4**(1), pp. 218-224.
135. Thaker, H.C., Brahmabhatt, M.N. and Nayak, J.B., 2012. Study on occurrence and antibiogram pattern of *Escherichia coli* from raw milk samples in Anand, Gujarat, India. *Veterinary World*, **5**(9), 556.
136. Tiimub, B., M, Ackah, P, A, Tiimob, R, W, Gyan, E, Tiimob, G, L, Gyimah, J, A, Tiimob, E and Isaac, B, 2020.
137. Public Health Risk of Cow Milk Microbial Contamination versus Hygiene Habits Impact Analyses of Cow Milkers. *East Africa Science Journal of Parasitology and Infections Disease*. doi: 10.36349/EASJPID.2020.v02i05.01
138. Tsehay, R. 2002 Small-scale milk marketing, and processing in Ethiopia. In smallholder dairy production and market opportunity and constraints. Proceeding of a South-South workshop held at National Dairy Development Board and India, 13 – 16 March 2001. India, and International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya. 352-367.

139. Tyasningsih, W., Ramandinianto, S.C., Ansharieta, R., Witaningrum, A.M., Permatasari, D.A., Wardhana, D.K., Effendi, M.H. and Ugbo, E.N., 2022. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* isolated from raw milk in East Java, Indonesia. *Veterinary World*, **15**(8), 2021-2028.
140. Publication site Google Scholar Vanitha, H. D., Sethulekshmi, C., Latha, C., Prejit, Geetha, R. and Mercey, K.A. 2018a. Molecular Detection of Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in Raw milk Sample of Thrissur. *Journal of Veterinary Animal Science*, **1**, pp.44-47.
141. Vanitha, H.D., Sethulekshmi, C. and Latha, C., 2018b. An epidemiological investigation on occurrence of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in raw milk. *Veterinary World*, **11**(8), 1164.
142. Widodo, A., Lamid, M., Effendi, M.H., Khailrullah, A.R., Riwu, K.H.P., Yustinasari, L.R., Kurniawan, S.C., Ansori, A.N.M., Silaen, O.S.M. and Dameanti, F.N.A.E.P., 2022. Antibiotic sensitivity profile of multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* isolated from dairy cow's milk in Probolinggo, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, **23**(10).
143. Yeserah, B., Tassew, T., and Mazengia, H. 2020. Handling practices of raw cow's milk and major constraints of clean milk production in and around Bahir Dar city, Ethiopia. *Journal of Advance Dairy Res*, **8**, p.234.
144. Yilma, Z., Faye, B. and Loiseau, G., 2007. Occurrence and distribution of species of Enterobacteriaceae in selected Ethiopian traditional dairy products: a contribution to epidemiology. *Food Control*, **18**(11), pp.1397-1404.
145. Zelalem, A., Moti, Y., and Zelalem, A. 2015. Food-Borne Bacterial Diseases in Ethiopia. *Academia Journal of Nutrition*, **4**(1), 62-76.
146. Zewdu, M., 2015. Hygienic practices, bacteriological quality of cow milk and its public health importance along the dairy value chain in Sidama High Lands of southern Ethiopia (Doctoral dissertation, Addis Ababa University). <https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/77364>
147. West Wollega Livestock Resource Office, Annual Report (2021).
148. E. De-Boer, A.E. 2000. Heuvelink, Methods for detection and isolation of Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli*, *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **88**, 133–143.
- A. Ivbade, O.E. Ojo, M.A. 2014. Dipeolu, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in milk and milk products in Ogun State, Nigeria, *Vet. Ital.* **50** (3); 185–191.

9/12/2025