Bioremediation of Petroleum Contaminants in Aquatic Environments (Review Article)

Mona S. Zaki, Mohammad M.N. Authman and Hossam H.H. Abbas

Hydrobiology Department, National Research Centre, 33 EL Bohouth St. (Former EL Tahrir St.), Dokki, Giza, Egypt, P.O. 12622.

dr mona zaki@yahoo.co.uk

Abstract: Oil spill incidents are of particular concern in the environment. Petroleum and its components are a considerable threat to the environment and to human health. Mechanical and chemical methods generally used to remove oil contaminants from contaminated sites have limited effectiveness and can be expensive. Bioremediation is a potentially important option for dealing with the oil spills in the ecosystem. Bioremediation is the promising technology for the treatment of these contaminated sites since it is cost-effective, eco-friendly method and will lead to complete mineralization of organic contaminants into carbon dioxide, water, inorganic compounds, etc... and transformation of complex organic contaminants to other simpler organic compounds by biological agents like microorganisms. Many microorganisms in water are capable of degrading oil contaminants including hydrocarbons. However, these microorganisms have been found to thrive under certain environmental/nutritional conditions which influence their behavior towards spilled petroleum. Some of these factors include: the physical and chemical nature of the spilled petroleum; availability of nutrients; water temperature; concentration of oxygen; etc... This review paper presents an overview of petroleum degradation by microorganisms and some other recent techniques in the aquatic environments.

[Mona S. Zaki, Mohammad M.N. Authman and Hossam H.H. Abbas. **Bioremediation of Petroleum Contaminants in Aquatic Environments (Review Article).** *Life Sci J* 2015;12(5):109-121]. (ISSN:1097-8135). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 13

Keywords: Spilled petroleum, bioremediation, biodegradation, microorganisms, environmental factors, aquatic environments.

Introduction

Water contaminated with petroleum oil and its components especially hydrocarbons is common in the oil-producing and industrialized countries of the world and is a considerable threat to the environment and to human health (Al-Baldawi et al., 2015).

Petroleum and its components drive the present civilization and are the major energy sources for industry and daily life. But, where there is use there is a chance for abuse too. Hence, being the prime source of energy, petroleum is also a major environment pollutant (Phulia et al., 2013; Zaki et al., 2013).

Every year, an average of about 35 million barrels of petroleum is transported across the seas around the world and this renders the marine ecosystem vulnerable to pollution (Anisuddin et al., 2005; Macaulay, 2015). The oil spill accidents on water are often massive and devastating (Freedman, 1989). During the period 1990–2001, more than 200 ship accidents were occurred and some of those resulted in major spills into the marine environment (Zaki et al., 2014). This is probably as a result of the greater difficulty in controlling the oil dispersion and spread (Macaulay, 2015). However, accidents are not the sole cause of petroleum contamination scenarios. Exploration, transportation, refining, storage and consumption of petroleum and petroleum products

cause a continuous release of hydrocarbons into previously pristine areas through improper disposal or leakage in storage systems (Head and Swannell, 1999; Phulia *et al.*, 2013; Montagnolli *et al.*, 2015).

Oil spill incidents are not only caused by pipeline vandalisation and accidents such as collision or explosion of supertankers or oil well blowout. There have been cases of oil spills initiated by natural disasters as Hurricanes and due to wars. However, the largest and most damaging pollution events usually involve a spill of petroleum from a disabled tanker or drill platform at sea or to a lesser extent from a blowout or a broken pipeline on land (Freedman, 1989; Macaulay, 2015). The amount of natural crude oil seepage was estimated to be 600,000 metric tons per year with a range of uncertainty of 200,000 metric tons per year (Kvenvolden and Cooper, 2003; Das and Chandran, 2011). Since 1992, there have been 21 major oil spills causing huge economic and immeasurable non-economic losses (Zaki et al., 2013).

The sudden input of large amounts of petroleum hydrocarbons, as occurs with spillages, stresses the environment in a way not imposed by natural hydrocarbon inputs. The ecologic effects of oil spillages generally depend upon the location of the spill, the type of oil spilled, and the amount of oil spilled. Catastrophic oil spillages often occur in

nearshore regions, affecting both marine and coastal ecosystems. Such spillages often involve a relatively large amount of oil within a small region. The eventual fate and ecologic effects of oil spills, large or small, depend upon many factors (Bartha and Atlas, 1977).

Petroleum contamination is quite harmful for the higher organisms (Lyons et al., 1999; Janjua et al., 2006; Cheong et al., 2011) but it is fortunate that microorganisms can thrive on it and assimilate (Atlas, 1995; de Oliveira et al., 2012). Soon after major oil spill incident is reported, the efforts are concentrated at physical collection of the spilled oil with skimmers is the first response but this is rarely completely successful (Prince, 1993). As per Office of Technology Assessment (OTA; USA), such mechanical methods are efficient at removing no more than 10-15 per cent of oil after a major spill (Phulia et al., 2013).

Crude oil is perhaps the most complex mixture of organic compounds that occurs on Earth. Recent advances in ultra-high-resolution mass spectrometry have allowed the identification of more than 17,000 distinct chemical components, and the term petroleomics has been coined to express this complexity (Marshall newly uncovered Rodgers, 2004; Head et al., 2006). Crude oil is a mixture of many compounds such as alkanes. aromatics, resins and asphaltenes (Plaza et al., 2008). In general, lighter fractions of petroleum are more soluble in water than heavier ones; aromatics are much heavier than alkanes. Benzene, the lightest monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (MAHs), has a solubility of 1780g/m³ whilst naphthalene, the lightest polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), has a solubility 31g/m³ (Parker et al., 1971; Clark and MacLoed, 1977) and toxic to aquatic life.

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have been known to affect a variety of biological processes and may exhibit a wide range of hazardous effects to aquatic organisms including acute toxicity, developmental and reproductive toxicity, photoinduced toxicity, and can be potent cell mutagens and carcinogens (Gaspare et al., 2009; Karacık et al., 2009), in addition to well documented sublethal effects which include morphological histopathological damage (Brown et al., 1996; Carls et al., 1999; Heintz et al., 1999), physiological and stress effects, endocrine disruption (Kennedy and Farrell, 2006), and ecological effects (Reddy et al., 2002). Sediment-associated PAHs are known to exhibit narcotic effects in benthic organisms (Di Toro and McGrath, 2000) but also have been implicated in the development of tumors in bottom feeding fish (Myers et al., 1991; Balch et al., 1995) and in the induction malformation, loss of fertility or immune deficiency in aquatic vertebrates (Reynaud et al., 2004) and invertebrates (Gagnaire et al., 2006) including oysters (Jeong and Cho, 2005). Due to their lipophilicity, PAHs are known to accumulate in sediments as well as in fish and shell fish especially in mussels and other aquatic invertebrates (Gewurtz et al., 2000). By accumulating in invertebrates, PAHs also enter the aquatic food webs and also pose a risk to human health via consumption of seafood (Okay et al., 2003; Karacık et al., 2009). It has been reported that consumption of shellfish polluted by PAHs may cause lung cancer in humans (Law and Klungsøyr, 2000; Gaspare et al., 2009; Zaki et al., 2014).

Although alkanes are the most biodegradable petroleum hydrocarbons, those with 5-10 carbon atoms are toxic to most microorganisms by disrupting their lipid membranes (Bartha, 1986). Furthermore, petroleum hydrocarbons with 20-40 carbon atoms are hydrophobic at room temperature, which probably explains their slow biodegradation (Bartha and Atlas, 1977). According to Van Hamme et al. (2003) the susceptibility of crude oil components to microbial degradation are in the following order: alkanes >light aromatics (MAHs such as benzene) >cycloalkanes > heavy aromatics (PAHs such as Phenanthrene)> asphalthenes (Macaulay, 2015). Resins are easily degraded naturally because they are light polar molecules (Spiecker et al., 2003).

Many microorganisms possess the enzymatic capability to degrade petroleum hydrocarbons. Some microorganisms degrade alkanes (normal, branched and cyclic paraffins), others aromatics, and others both paraffinic and aromatic hydrocarbons (Atlas, 1981; Leahy and Colwell, 1990; Atlas and Bartha, 1992; Atlas, 1995). In such cases, bioremediation has a major role to play in neutralizing the harmful effects of oil in the open environment. The basic principle is to use organisms that can use petroleum as carbon source and hence, break them down to harmless end products (Phulia et al., 2013).

Bioremediation of Petroleum Contaminants

Mechanical removal of contaminants (e.g. hydrocarbons) from the environment relies on expensive, slow, and inefficient methodologies (Mandri and Lin 2007). Biological treatment, or bioremediation, is an environmental-friendly strategy gaining increasing prominence for its potential to clean up oil contaminated marine ecosystem (Head and Swannell, 1999; Head et al., 2006; Beolchini et al., 2010; Dell'Anno et al., 2012). In addition, bioremediation technology is a desirable alternative and believed to be noninvasive, relatively cost-effective and important method for the treatment of oil-contaminated sites (April et al., 2000; Zhu et al., 2004; Karhu et al., 2009; Montagnolli et al., 2015). Bioremediation can be defined as an engineered

process that uses microorganisms to destroy, degrade, detoxify or remove environmental hazardous pollutants owing to their diverse metabolic capabilities is an evolving method for the removal and degradation of many environmental pollutants including the products of petroleum industry (Medina-Bellver et al., 2005) and transform them into less complex and harmless end products such as carbon dioxide and water (Coulon et al., 2010; Malik and Ahmed, 2012; Alwan et al., 2013; Gomez and Sartaj, 2014).

For bioremediation to be successful, the bioremediation methods depend on having the right microbes in the right place with the right environmental factors for degradation to occur. The right microbes are bacteria or fungi, which have the physiological and metabolic capabilities to degrade the pollutants (Boopathy, 2000). Like any other technology that uses biological agents, success of bioremediation of petroleum contamination depends on establishing and maintaining conditions that favor proliferation of petroleum scavenging microorganisms (Das and Chandran, 2011). Numerous scientific review articles have covered various factors that influence the rate of oil biodegradation (Zobell, 1946; Atlas, 1981; Foght and Westlake, 1987; Leahy and Colwell, 1990; Atlas, 1992; Atlas and Bartha, 1992; Das and Chandran, 2011).

Over the years, scientists have manipulated environmental indices in order to understand the factors that encourage microbial metabolism and hydrocarbon degradation, a knowledge which could help restore the environment to a pre-pollution state as early as possible (Macaulay, 2015).

Requirements of a Successful Bioremediation Process of Petroleum Contaminants

1. Availability of microorganisms

The very first requirement is the availability of microorganisms, with the appropriate metabolic capabilities, that can utilize oil as a metabolic substrate. Finding and transplanting such an organism to the site of contamination would be the first approach (Zaki et al., 2013). Jones et al. (1983) reported for the first time biodegraded petroleum byproducts in marine sediments (Das and Chandran, 2011).

If these microorganisms are present, then optimal rates of growth and hydrocarbon biodegradation can be sustained by ensuring that adequate concentrations of nutrients and oxygen are present and that the pH is between 6 and 9. The physical and chemical characteristics of the oil and oil surface area are also important determinants of bioremediation success (Das and Chandran, 2011). Bioaugmentation and Biostimulation are the two main approaches followed in this regard. Bioaugmentation refers to inoculating the affected area with degrading

microorganisms (laboratory-grown bacteria that have appropriate degradative abilities) while biostimulation would require favoring growth of such microorganism through addition of nutrients or by providing other growth-limiting substrates (e.g. oxygen, surf washing, electron acceptors, or electron donors etc.) (Inoue et al., 2005; Venosa and Zhu, 2005). As petroleum is hydrophobic in nature, its bioavailability becomes a major constraint in the process of bioremediation. Use of biosurfactants is a common approach to increase the bioavailability (Phulia et al., 2013).

Different organisms have varied degradation capabilities and act on different substrates. As petroleum is an assortment of different components, it is advisable to use a cocktail of organisms to effect remediation (Zaki et al., 2013; Phulia et al., 2013). Enzymatic degradation of petroleum can be achieved by bacteria, algae or fungi. Floodgate (1984) mentioned 25 genera of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria and 25 genera of hydrocarbon degrading fungi which were isolated from marine environment.

Bacteria are the most efficient of all organisms that can degrade hydrocarbons (Rahman et al., 2003; Brooijmans et al., 2009). Biostimulation strategies applied to accelerate the abatement of hydrocarbon contamination are expected to be associated with changes in bacterial biodiversity and assemblage composition (Widdel and Rabus, 2001; Head et al., 2006; Dell'Anno et al., 2012).

Several bacteria are even known to feed exclusively on hydrocarbons (Yakimov et al., 2007) in aquatic environments. They tolerate high concentrations of the hydrocarbons and have a high capability for their degradation. Most of them belong Acinetobacter spp., Acinetobacter Iwoffi, Alcaligenes spp., Arthobacter, Bacillus spp., Bacillus subtilis. Brevibacterium. Burkholderia. Corvnebacterium Flavobacterium spp., spp., Alcanivorax Micrococcus spp., roseus, Pseudomonas Mycobacterium. aeruginosa, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Rhodococcus, Sphingomonas, Achromobacter, Nocardia, Vibrio, Brevibacterium, Curynebacterium, and Xantomonas species (Bartha and Atlas, 1977; Jones et al., 1983; Adebusove et al., 2007; Nadarajah et al., 2002; Okoh and Trejo-Hernandez, 2006; Jacques et al., **2008**; Onbasili et al., **2011**). In the past 10 years, several interesting marine bacteria that are specialists adapted to hydrocarbon degradation have been isolated (Head et al., 2006). These bacteria use hydrocarbons almost exclusively as a carbon source, and they include Alcanivorax spp. (Yakimov et al., 1998), Cycloclasticus spp. (Dyksterhouse et al., 1995), Oleiphilus spp. (Golyshin et al., 2002), Oleispira spp. (Yakimov et al., 2003), Thalassolituus spp. (Yakimov et al., 2004) and some members of the genus *Planomicrobium* (previously known as *Planococcus*) (Engelhardt *et al.*, 2001). *Alcanivorax* spp., *Oleiphilus* spp., *Oleispira* spp. And *Thalassolituus* spp. use a variety of branched- and/ or straight-chain saturated hydrocarbons, as does *Planomicrobium alkanoclasticum* MAE2 (previously known as *Planococcus alkanoclasticus* MAE2). By contrast, *Cycloclasticus* spp. have evolved to use a range of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Head *et al.*, 2006). Some bacteria, remarkably, produce waxes after degrading crude oil (Ishige *et al.*, 2003).

Some fungal and yeast genera utilized for this purpose are Amorphoteca, Neosartorya, Talaromyces, Graphium, Candida lipolytica, Yarrowia, Pichia, Aspergillus, Cephalosporium, Spurobolomyces, Cladosporium resinae, Rhodotorula mucilaginosa, Geotrichum spp., Trichosporon mucoides and Pencillium (Bartha and Atlas, 1977; Bogusławska-Wąs and Dąbrowski, 2001; Chaillan et al., 2004; Singh, 2006). After the potential scavengers have been identified, the conditions for their survival and proliferation have to be ascertained.

Though algae and protozoa are the important members of the microbial community in aquatic ecosystems, reports are scanty regarding their involvement in hydrocarbon biodegradation. Walker et al. (1975) isolated an alga, Prototheca zopfi which was capable of utilizing crude oil and a mixed hydrocarbon substrate and exhibited extensive degradation of n-alkanes and isoalkanes as well as aromatic hydrocarbons. The achlorophyllous algae Prototheca hydrocarbonea was reported to degrade hydrocarbons (Bartha and Atlas, 1977). Scenedesmus strains utilized n-heptadecane mixotrophically, but were unable to grow on this substrate in the dark (Masters and Zajic, 1971). Cerniglia et al. (1980) observed that, nine cyanobacteria, five green algae, one red alga, one brown alga, and two diatoms could oxidize naphthalene. Protozoa, by contrast, had not been shown to utilize hydrocarbons (Das and Chandran, 2011). In addition, many scientists reported that, in the case of algae and protozoa, the evidence suggests there is no ecologically significant role played by these groups in the degradation of hydrocarbons (Bossert and Bartha, 1984; O'Brien and Dixon, 1976; Saadoun and Al-Ghzawi, 2005).

2. Factors influencing the microbial bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon

A number of limiting factors have been recognized to affect the biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons (Das and Chandran, 2011). The main environmental/nutritional factors include the physical nature of the crude oil, the chemical nature of the crude oil, availability of nutrients, concentration of oxygen, pH and water temperature (Boopathy, 2000;

Fountoulakis *et al.*, 2009; The American Academy of Microbiology, 2011; Macaulay, 2015).

A. The physical nature of the spilled petroleum

The physical nature of the spilled petroleum is a factor that affects marine oil-spill bioremediation. Crude oil in aquatic systems, usually does not mix with seawater, and therefore, floats on the surface, allowing the volatilization of the 12 carbons or less components. The rate of dispersion of the floating oil will depend on the action of waves which in turn is dependent on the weather (Saadoun and Al-Ghzawi, 2005). A single large oil slick has a lesser surface area for oil-eating microbes to access compared to numerous small-sized oil slicks. As a result, the physical nature of the hydrocarbon pollutant can either slow down or hasten biodegradation. Also, heavy and viscous hydrocarbon compounds may prove to be recalcitrant as lighter hydrocarbons are quite easier for microbes to digest due to the higher rate of diffusion through the oil-water interface (The American Academy of Microbiology, 2011; Macaulay, 2015).

B. The chemical nature of the spilled petroleum

The chemical nature of the spilled petroleum is determined by the particular hydrocarbon components of the liquid petroleum. Not all hydrocarbon components are biodegradable; some are degradable; some are degraded quickly while others are degraded slowly or not at all. In marine environments, the unbranched alkanes can be degraded within weeks but the branched alkanes and the multiple-ringed aromatic hydrocarbons are resistant to microbial degradation. Singer and Finnerty (1984) mentioned that alkanes are usually the easiest hydrocarbons to be degraded by their conversion to alcohol via mixed function oxygenase activity. Asphalthenes, on the other hand, are considered to be the most recalcitrant, thus, could accumulate in the environment (The American Academy of Microbiology, 2011; Macaulay, 2015). In addition, the simpler aliphatics and monocyclic aromatics are readily degradable, but more complex compounds such as PAHs are not easily degraded and may persist for some time (Saadoun and Al-Ghzawi, 2005).

C. Availability of nutrients

Similar to the nutritional needs of other organisms, oil-eating microbes also require nutrients for optimal growth and development. These nutrients are available in the natural environment but occur in low quantities (Macaulay, 2015). When there is an oil spill, the petroleum hydrocarbon provides carbon nutrients for the oil-eating bacteria to utilize, but the rate of degradation depends on the availability of other nutrients. Biodegradation in aquatic environment is limited by the availability of nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorous, and in some cases iron which

are necessary for initial microbial cell growth (Cooney, 1984; Prince, 1997; McKew et al., 2007; Calvo et al., 2009; Thavasi et al., 2011) and stimulate hydrocarbon metabolism. Other nutrients include sulphur and potassium (Evans et al., 2004). It is well known that nitrogen and phosphorus are the major nutrients for productivity in an aquatic habitat (Phulia et al., 2013). At the time of oil spills, there is a sudden increase in the carbon and hence, nitrogen and phosphorus would become major rate limiting factors (Cooney et al., 1985). A sudden increase in the carbon:nitrogeh ratio or carbon:phosphorus ratio at the time of oil spill or contamination would restrict the growth of microbes (Leahy and Colwell, 1990) hence, it would be vital to restore the balance by adding oleophilic fertilizers. On the other hand, excessive nutrient concentrations can also inhibit the biodegradation activity (Chaillan et al., 2006). Several authors have reported the negative effects of high nutrient levels on the biodegradation of hydrocarbons (Oudot et al., 1998; Chaîneau et al., 2005) especially on aromatics (Carmichael and Pfaender, 1997). The optimum nutrient balance required for hydrocarbon remediation is Carbon: Nitrogen: Phosphorus equals 100:10:4 (Thana et al., 2012). However, in the regions where there is high nitrogen background, nutrition addition has no or rather negative effect on bioremediation rates and hence, fertilization is recommended only for those regions that are deficient in nutrients (Oudot et al., 1998; Phulia et al., 2013).

D. Water temperature

Among the physical factors temperature is most important one determining the survival of microorganisms and composition of the hydrocarbons (Das and Chandran, 2011). In addition, temperature plays a significant role in controlling the nature and the extent of microbial hydrocarbon metabolism, which is of special significance for in situ bioremediation (Margesin and Schinner, 2001). The temperature of the surrounding water in which the oil is spilled determines the rate of hydrocarbon degradation whether it is freshwater or marine. Crude oil degradation is faster in warm water because the heat generated within the water body will further encourage the breakdown of the spilled petroleum through natural processes such as evaporation. This leaves the oil-degrading microbes with a smaller size of hydrocarbon pollutant to clean up (The American Academy of Microbiology, 2011). On the other hand, in cold environments such as the Arctic, oil degradation via natural processes is very slow and puts the microbes under more pressure to clean up the spilled petroleum (Macaulay, 2015). The sub-zero temperature of water in this region causes the transport channels within the microbial cells to shut down or may even freeze the entire cytoplasm, thus, rendering most oleophilic microbes metabolically-inactive (Yang et al., 2009; Macaulay, 2015). However, some oleophilic microbes are cold-tolerant but are faced with the problem of freeze-thaw seasonal cycle thereby fluctuating between winter and summer, which limits the bioavailability of the spilled petroleum (Yang et al., 2009; Macaulay, 2015).

For freshwater bioremediation process 20-30 °C is the ideal temperature while for marine 15-20 °C is recommended. For high molecular weight polycyclic hydrocarbons, which are otherwise difficult to degrade, higher temperatures may be required (Bartha and Bossert, 1984; Cooney, 1984; Phulia et al., 2013). At low temperatures, the rate of biodegradation of oil is reduced as a result of the decreased rate of enzymatic activities, or the "O₁₀" (the change in enzyme activity caused by a 10 °C rise) effect (Atlas and Bartha, 1972; Gibbs et al., 1975), hence. higher temperature would favor bioremediation. It was reported that the rate of hydrocarbon remediation was maximum in the range of 30-40 °C in general and above this, the membrane toxicity effect of hydrocarbons was found to inhibit the survival of microorganisms (Bartha and Bossert. 1984). As there is a close relationship between temperature and oil bioremediation, it is easy to understand why an oil leak disaster would be dangerous in Polar Regions (Phulia et al., 2013; Zaki et al., 2013). Temperate zone lakes have in general more extreme water temperature variations than the oceans in the same climatic region (Bartha and Atlas, 1977). Ward and Brock (1976) studying limiting factors of oil biodegradation in temperate lakes of Wisconsin found water temperature to be the predominant limiting factor throughout the fall, winter, and spring, and only during the summer did nutrient limitation take precedence.

E. The concentration of oxygen

Oxygen is a gaseous requirement for most living organisms. In most petroleum-contaminated sediments and water, oxygen usually is the limiting requirement for hydrocarbon biodegradation (Hinchee and Ong, 1992; Miller et al., 1991) because the bioremediation methods for reclamation of these contaminated sites is mainly based on aerobic processes (Saadoun and Al-Ghzawi, 2005). Similarly, most oleophilic microbes are aerobes (such as Pseudomonas and Proteus) and a few others are anaerobes (such as Geobacter). As a result, environments with low oxygen concentration such as groundwater and ocean sediments, have limited rates of hydrocarbon biodegradation compared to the open surfaces where oxygen is readily available for numerous oil-degrading aerobes to digest the pollutants. The presence of oxygen generally

accelerates hydrocarbon metabolism (The American Academy of Microbiology, 2011; Macaulay, 2015).

The first step in degrading hydrocarbons is action of oxygenase which requires molecular oxygen (Das and Chandran, 2011). Bacteria and fungi in their breaking down of aliphatic, cyclic and aromatic hydrocarbons involve oxygenase enzymes (Singer and Finnerty, 1984; Perry, 1984; Cerniglia, 1984), for which molecular oxygen is required (Atlas, 1984). By the action of monooxygenases (on aliphatic and certain aromatic hydrocarbons) or dioxygenases (on aromatic hydrocarbons), one or two oxygen atoms, respectively, are directly incorporated from O₂ leading to hydroxylated products (Widdel and Rabus, 2001). As hydrocarbons are less denser than water, they would form a layer over water and oxygen limitation would rarely be encountered. But, under the condition of large spill and extensive bacterial colonies thriving on it, oxygen may get depleted soon leading to anaerobic conditions (Thapa et al., 2012). Oxygen though usually not a rate determining step may become limiting when aquatic sediments are deeper or water movement through small pores of sediment is restricted so that oxygen is not replenished (Salleh et al., 2003). Though contribution of anaerobic bacteria to bioremediation is still considered negligible (Phulia et al., 2013). Widdel and Rabus (2001) report that some anaerobic bacteria may still have some role to play while it is a matter of time till they are discovered. Some anaerobic bacteria that may have role to play in hydrocarbon degradation are Syntrophus spp., Methanosaeta spp., Metanospirillum Desulfotomaculum spp., Geobacter (Watanabe, 2001; Phulia et al., 2013). F. pH

pH had an implication on biodegradation rates. The rates were found to be highest at neutral pH (Leahy and Colwell, 1990). Lower pH at around 5.0 (Patrick Jr and DeLaune, 1977) as seen in salt marshes reduces oil mineralization but the rates were satisfactory at pH above 6.5 (Hambrick III et al., 1980). Octadecane mineralization improved further at pH 8.0 (Leahy and Colwell, 1990). The overall biodegradation rate of hydrocarbons is generally higher under slightly alkaline conditions (Saadoun and Al-Ghzawi, 2005).

G. Salinity

Microbial communities have salinity tolerance and this aspect is important for aquatic ecosystems as salinity is very much relevant when bioremediation of water comes into discussion. While freshwater and estuarine communities can survive in sea water, the inverse is not successful (Salleh *et al.*, 2003). As sea water is buffered and salinity is constant in deeper regions maintenance is required only in expanses where freshwater dilutions may vary with

time. Salinity maintenance is required for the inland regions where salinities may change with surface runoff. In sea water, the autochthonous communities degrade hydrocarbons efficiently and the degradation can be significant till the salinities are in the range of 0.1 to 2.0 M NaCl, 0.4 M NaCl being the salinity level of natural sea water (Salleh et al., 2003). Ward and Brock (1978) established a negative relation between salinity and biodegradation of petroleum contaminants owing to less survival of microbial communities in very high salinities (33-284 g/L). Also, Díaz et al. (2000) found that the biodegradation of crude oil was greatest at lower salinities and decreased at salinities more than twice that of normal seawater. However, Shiaris (1989) reported a general positive correlation between salinity and rates of mineralization of phenanthrene and naphthalene in estuarine sediments. In another study, Mille et al. (1991) noted that the amount of oil degraded initially increased as the salt concentration increased to a level of 0.4 mol/L (23.3 g/L) of NaCl and thereafter decreased with increasing salt concentration. However, some bacteria like Streptomyces albaxialis (Kuznetsov et al., 1992) for crude oil degradation and Halobacterium spp. (Kulichevskava et al., 1992) for degradation of nalkanes (C10-C30) have been identified. Kapley et al. (1999) cloned E. coli pro U operon, which is responsible for osmoregulation, into some bacterial consortium which can attack various fractions of crude oil making them salinity tolerant upto 6 per cent NaCl (Zaki et al., 2013).

H. Pressure

The importance of pressure is confined to the deep-ocean environment where the oil that reaches there will be degraded very slowly by microbial populations (Saadoun and Al-Ghzawi, 2005). Thus, certain recalcitrant fractions of the oil could persist for decades (Colwell and Walker, 1977). Schwarz et al. (1974a,b and 1975) monitored the degradation of hydrocarbons by a mixed culture of deep-sea sediment bacteria under 1 atm and 495 or 500 atm at 4 °C. After 40-week high pressure incubation, 94% of the hexadecane was degraded, the same amount that occurred after 8 weeks at 1 atm (Schwarz et al., 1975).

3. Biosurfactants

Bioavailability of petroleum is a major problem that limits the rate of biodegradation. In order to enhance bioavailability, it is must that solubilization be increased. Such a task is accomplished by certain microorganisms that secrete biosurfactants which are a group of surface active chemicals that increase the bioavailability of petroleum floating on the water column by increasing their solubilisation (Das and Chandran, 2011). Biosurfactants are structurally diverse amphipathic surface-active compounds

produced by a wide array of microorganism genera. As compared to their synthetic counterparts, biosurfactants are biodegradable, environmentally safe, stable under extreme conditions, and they can be produced in situ from inexpensive renewable substrates (**Desai and Banat, 1997; Benincasa** *et al.*, **2010**). Low toxicity and the high biodegradability make biosurfactants advantageous when compared to synthetic compounds. These are desirable properties to bioremediation strategies and processes (**Montagnolli** *et al.*, **2015**).

Biosurfactants increase the oil surface area and hence, the amount of oil that is actually available for degradation to the bacteria. Due to this property of enhancing biodegradation of oil such surfactant producing bacteria have potential to be used in bioremediation (Cameotra and Singh, 2008). A consortium of bacteria was used for evaluation of surfactants and their composition by Camoetra and Singh (2008). The surfactant was found to be a conglomerate of 11 rhamnolipid family members and found that crude biosurfactant addition to the oil contamination was very effective in degradation process. Genus Pseudomonas is widely known for efficient surfactant production properties (Beal and Betts, 2000; Rahman et al., 2007; Cameotra and Singh, 2008; Pornsunthorntawee et al., 2008; Phulia et al., 2013).

4. Phytoremediation

Phytoremediation is a broad term that has been in use since 1991 that uses plants and their associated microorganisms to recover water and to manage a wide variety of environmental pollution problems, including the cleanup and reduce the volume, mobility, or toxicity of contaminants in effected media contaminated with hydrocarbons and other hazardous substances (Das and Chandran, 2011; Alwan et al., 2013). It is more environmentally friendly than the conventional mechanical clean-up methods (Barrutia et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011; Al-Baldawi et al., 2015).

It is an emerging green technology that can be a promising solution to remediate hydrocarbon-polluted areas, not only in developed countries but also in developing countries, in which uncontrolled disposal of oil industry wastes has polluted different resources over the past decades (Njoku et al., 2009). Advantages of using phytoremediation include cost-effectiveness, aesthetic advantages, and long-term applicability (Das and Chandran, 2011).

Aquatic and wetland plants and algae can be used for the phytoremediation process under specific cases and conditions of hydrocarbon contamination (Nedunuri et al., 2000; Radwan et al., 2000; Siciliano and Greer, 2000; Saadoun and Al-Ghzawi, 2005). The main mechanisms in the

phytoremediation of organic contaminants are the direct uptake of contaminants and their subsequent metabolism in plant tissues, the transpiration of volatile organic hydrocarbons through the leaves, the release of exudates that stimulate microbial activity, and the enhancement of mineralization at the root–soil interface, which is attributed to the microorganisms associated with the root surface (Schnoor et al., 1995; Huesemann et al., 2009).

Many studies have been concentrating on the plant-microbe interaction in phytoremediation, where the presence of indigenous microorganisms can enhance the remediation efficiency of plants (Seeger et al., 2011; Ahmad et al., 2012; Ribeiro et al., 2013). Regarding the interaction between plants and rhizobacteria, Moreira et al. (2011) found that the association of plants (Rizophora mangle L.) with the community of microorganisms in the rhizosphere enhanced the degradation of TPH (total petroleum hydrocarbons) in the sediment, with 87% efficiency, and fostered increased growth of these plants. Vieira et al. (2007) showed that the bacteria were able to reduce 6% diesel oil- and gasoline fuel-contaminated effluent from an initial TPH concentration of 11,000 mg L⁻¹ by 90 \pm 2% over a process period of 49 days. Other studies have shown an association between plant and root biomass and the degradation of TPH (Hou et al., 2001; Kaimi et al., 2006). In addition, plant associated microorganisms can effectively decrease contaminant concentrations and can convert end-products into non-hazardous, inoffensive and stable substances, e.g., carbon dioxide, water and nitrogen (Alexander, 1999; Wu et al., 2012). Recently, Alwan et al. (2013) in experimental study used the plant (Ceratophyllum) on pools contained contaminated by hydrocarbon, biodegradation by adding 5ml of coliform bacteria (Bacillus spp.) and concluded that the Ceratophyllum plant is efficient absorption of waste hydrocarbon and decrease the oil content in the water contaminated by waste hydrocarbon. Al-Baldawi et al. (2015) demonstrated that the Scirpus grossus plant has the ability to enhance diesel removal with the help of rhizobacteria and the adsorption of diesel, representing an environmentally friendly, alternative technology for the remediation of water contaminated with diesel.

Conclusion

Petroleum contamination is an environmental worry that damages aquatic ecosystems. Bioremediation is an important option for dealing with oil spills and can be used as a cleanup method for this contamination by utilizing the activities of microorganisms that occur naturally and can degrade these contaminants. This process depends on several physical and chemical factors that need to be finely

controlled to optimize the environmental conditions for the microorganisms and successfully remediate the contaminated sites. Among the used technologies for cleaning up petroleum contamination those include phytoremediation. Finally, it may be concluded that bioremediation can be considered as a key component in the cleanup technology for petroleum contaminants treatment in aquatic environments.

References

- Adebusoye, S.A.; Ilori, M.O.; Amund, O.O.; Teniola, O.D. and Olatope, S.O. (2007): Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons in a polluted tropical stream. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 23(8): 1149– 1159.
- Ahmad, F.; Iqbal, S.; Anwar, S.; Afzal, M.; Islam, E.; Mustafa, T. and Khan, Q.M. (2012): Enhanced remediation of chlorpyrifos from soil using ryegrass (*Lollium multiflorum*) and chlorpyrifos-degrading bacterium *Bacillus pumilus* C2A1. J. Hazard. Mater., (237-238):110–115.
- Al-Baldawi, I.A.; Sheikh Abdullah, S.R.; Anuar, N.; Suja, F. and Mushrifah, I. (2015): Phytodegradation of total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) in dieselcontaminated water using *Scirpus grossus*. Ecol. Eng., 74: 463–473.
- Alexander, M. (1999): Biodegradation and Bioremediation. 2nd ed., Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA, 453p.
- Alwan, A.H.; Fadil, S.M.; Khadair, S.H.; Haloub, A.A.; Mohammed, D.B.; Salah, M.F.; Sabbar, S.S.; Mousa, N.K. and Salah, Z.A. (2013): Bioremediation of the water contaminated by waste of hydrocarbon by use Ceratophyllaceae and Potamogetonaceae plants. J. Genet. Environ. Resour. Conserv., 1(2):106–110.
- Anisuddin, S.; Al-Hashar, N. and Tasheen, S. (2005): Prevention of oil spill pollution in seawater using locally available materials. Arab. J. Sci. Eng., 30(2B): 143–152.
- April, T.M.; Foght, J.M. and Currah, R.S. (2000): Hydrocarbon- degrading filamentous fungi isolated from flare pit soils in northern and western Canada. Can. J. Microbiol., 46(1): 38–49.
- 8. Atlas, R.M. (1981): Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons: an environmental perspective. Microbiol. Rev., 45(1): 180–209.
- Atlas, R.M. (1992): Petroleum microbiology. pp. 363–369. In: Alexander, M.; Hopwood, D.A.; Iglewski, B.H.; Laskin, A.I. and Lederberg, J. (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Microbiology. Academic Press, Inc., Baltimore, Md, USA.
- Atlas, R.M. (1995): Petroleum biodegradation and oil spill bioremediation. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 31(4-12): 178–182.
- Atlas, R.M. (Ed.) (1984): Petroleum Microbiology. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York, NY, USA, 692p.
- Atlas, R.M. and Bartha, R. (1972): Degradation and mineralization of petroleum in sea water: limitation by nitrogen and phosphorus. Biotechnol. Bioeng., 14(3): 309–318.

- Atlas, R.M. and Bartha, R. (1992): Hydrocarbon biodegradation and oil spill bioremediation. Adv. Microb. Ecol., 12: 287–338.
- Balch, G.C.; Metcalfe, C.D. and Huestis, S.Y. (1995): Identification of potential fish carcinogens in sediment from Hamilton Harbour, Ontario, Canada. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 14(1): 79–91.
- Barrutia, O.; Garbisu, C.; Epelde, L.; Sampedro, M.C.; Goicolea, M.A. and Becerril, J.M. (2011): Plant tolerance to diesel minimizes its impact on soil microbial characteristics during rhizoremediation of diesel-contaminated soils. Sci. Total Environ., 409(19): 4087–4093.
- Bartha, R. (1986): Biotechnology of petroleum pollutant biodegradation. Microb. Ecol., 12(1): 155– 172
- Bartha, R. and Atlas, R.M. (1977): The microbiology of aquatic oil spills. Adv. Appl. Microbiol., 22: 225– 266
- Bartha, R. and Bossert, I. (1984): The treatment and disposal of petroleum refinery wastes. pp. 553–578.
 In: Atlas, R.M. (Ed.), Petroleum Microbiology. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York, NY, USA, 692p.
- Beal, R. and Betts, W.B. (2000): Role of rhamnolipid biosurfactants in the uptake and mineralization of hexadecane in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Appl. Microbiol., 89(1): 158–168.
- Benincasa, M.; Marqués, A.; Pinazo, A. and Manresa, A. (2010): Rhamnolipid surfactants: alternative substrates, new strategies. pp. 170–184. In: Sen, R. (Ed.), Biosurfactants. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, Vol. 672. Springer, New York, USA, 331p.
- Beolchini, F.; Rocchetti, L.; Regoli, F. and Dell'Anno, A. (2010): Bioremediation of marine sediments contaminated by hydrocarbons: Experimental analysis and kinetic modeling. J. Hazard. Mater., 182(1-3): 403–407.
- 22. Bogusławska-Wąs, E. and Dąbrowski, W. (2001): The seasonal variability of yeasts and yeast-like organisms in water and bottom sediment of the Szczecin Lagoon. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health, 203(5-6): 451-458.
- 23. Boopathy, R. (2000): Factors limiting bioremediation technologies. Bioresour. Technol., 74(1): 63–67.
- Bossert, I.D. and Bartha, R. (1984): The fate of petroleum in soil ecosystems. pp. 435–474. In: Atlas, R.M. (Ed.), Petroleum Microbiology. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York, NY, USA, 692p.
- Brooijmans, R.J.W.; Pastink, M.I. and Siezen, R.J. (2009): Hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria: the oil-spill clean-up crew. Microb. Biotechnol., 2(6): 587–594.
- Brown, E.D.; Baker, T.T.; Hose, J.E.; Kocan, R.M.; Marty, G.D.; McGurk, M.D.; Norcross, B.L. and Short, J.W. (1996): Injury to the early life history stages of Pacific herring in Prince William Sound after the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Amer. Fish. Soc. Symp., 18: 448–462.
- Calvo, C.; Manzanera, M.; Silva-Castro, G.A.; Uad, I. and González-López, J. (2009): Application of bioemulsifiers in soil oil bioremediation processes: Future prospects. Sci. Total Environ., 407(12): 3634–

- 3640.
- 28. Cameotra, S.S. and Singh, P. (2008): Bioremediation of oil sludge using crude biosurfactants. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation, 62(3): 274–280.
- Carls, M.G.; Rice, S.D. and Hose, J.E. (1999): Sensitivity of fish embryos to weathered crude oil: Part I: Low-level exposure during incubation causes malformations, genetic damage, and mortality in larval Pacific herring (*Clupea pallasi*). Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 18(3): 481–493.
- Carmichael, L.M. and Pfaender, F.K. (1997): The effect of inorganic and organic supplements on the microbial degradation of phenanthrene and pyrene in soils. Biodegradation, 8(1): 1–13.
- Cerniglia, C.E. (1984): Microbial metabolism of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Adv. Appl. Microbiol., 30: 31–71.
- Cerniglia, C.E.; Gibson, D.T. and Van Baalen, C. (1980): Oxidation of naphthalene by cyanobacteria and microalgae. J. Gen. Microbiol., 116(2): 495–500.
- Chaillan, F.; Chaîneau, C.H.; Point, V.; Saliot, A. and Oudot, J. (2006): Factors inhibiting bioremediation of soil contaminated with weathered oils and drill cuttings. Environ. Pollut., 144(1): 255–265.
- Chaillan, F.; Le Flèche, A.; Bury, E.; Phantavong, Y.H.; Grimont, P.; Saliot, A. and Oudot, J. (2004): Identification and biodegradation potential of tropical aerobic hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms. Res. Microbiol., 155(7):587–595.
- Chaîneau, C.H.; Rougeux, G.; Yéprémian, C. and Oudot, J. (2005): Effects of nutrient concentration on the biodegradation of crude oil and associated microbial populations in the soil. Soil Biol. Biochem., 37(8): 1490–1497.
- 36. Cheong, H.K.; Ha, M.; Lee, J.S.; Kwon, H.; Ha, E.H.; Hong, Y.C.; Choi, Y.; Jeong, W.C.; Hur, J.; Lee, S.M.; Kim, E.J. and Im, H. (2011): Hebei Spirit oil spill exposure and subjective symptoms in residents participating in clean-up activities. Environ. Health Toxicol., 26: 9p.
- Clark, R.C. and MacLoed, W.D. (1977): Inputs, transport mechanisms, and observed concentrations of petroleum in the marine environment. pp. 91–223. In: Malins, D.C. (Ed.), Effects of petroleum on Arctic and Subarctic marine environments and organisms: Nature and fate of petroleum, Volume 1. Academic Press, New York, USA, 321p.
- Colwell, R.R. and J.D. Walker (1977): Ecological aspects of microbial degradation of petroleum in the marine environment. Crit. Rev. Microbiol., 5(4): 423– 445.
- Cooney, J.J. (1984): The fate of petroleum pollutants in freshwater ecosystems. pp. 399–434. In: Atlas, R.M. (Ed.), Petroleum Microbiology. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York, NY, USA, 692p.
- 40. Cooney, J.J.; Silver, S.A. and Beck, E.A. (1985): Factors influencing hydrocarbon degradation in three freshwater lakes. Microb. Ecol. 11(2): 127–137.
- Coulon, F.; Whelan, M.J.; Paton, G.I.; Semple, K.T.;
 Villa, R. and Pollard, S.J.T. (2010): Multimedia fate of petroleum hydrocarbons in the soil: Oil matrix of constructed biopiles. Chemosphere, 81(11): 1454–

- 1462.
- Das, N. and Chandran, P. (2011): Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminants: An overview. Biotechnol. Res. Int., vol. 2011, Article ID 941810, 13 pages, 2011. doi:10.4061/2011/941810.
- de Oliveira, N.C.; Rodrigues, A.A.; Alves, M.I.R.; Antoniosi Filho, N.R.; Sadoyama, G. and Vieira, J.D.G. (2012): Endophytic bacteria with potential for bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbons and derivatives. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 11(12): 2977–2984.
- Dell'Anno, A.; Beolchini, F.; Rocchetti, L.; Luna, G. M. and Danovaro, R. (2012): High bacterial biodiversity increases degradation performance of hydrocarbons during bioremediation of contaminated harbor marine sediments. Environ. Pollut., 167: 85–92.
- 45. Desai, J.D. and Banat, I.M. (1997): Microbial production of surfactants and their commercial potential. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 61(1): 47–64.
- Di Toro, D.M. and McGrath, J.A. (2000): Technical basis for narcotic chemicals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon criteria. II. Mixtures and sediments. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 19(8): 1971–1982.
- Díaz, M.P.; Grigson, S.J.W.; Peppiatt, C.J. and Burgess, J.G. (2000): Isolation and characterization of novel hydrocarbon-degrading euryhaline consortia from crude oil and mangrove sediments. Mar. Biotechnol., 2(6): 522–532.
- Dyksterhouse, S.E.; Gray, J.P.; Herwig, R.P.; Lara, J.C. and Staley, J.T. (1995): Cycloclasticus pugetii gen. nov., sp. nov., an aromatic hydrocarbondegrading bacterium from marine sediments. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol., 45(1): 116–123.
- Engelhardt, M.A.; Daly, K.; Swannell, R.P.J. and Head, I.M. (2001): Isolation and characterization of a novel hydrocarbon-degrading, Gram-positive bacterium, isolated from intertidal beach sediment, and description of *Planococcus alkanoclasticus* sp. nov. J. Appl. Microbiol., 90(2): 237–247.
- Evans, F.F.; Rosado, A.S.; Sebastián, G.V.; Casella, R.; Machado, P.L.O.A.; Holmström, C.; Kjelleberg, S.; van Elsas, J.D. and Seldin, L. (2004): Impact of oil contamination and biostimulation on the diversity of indigenous bacterial communities in soil microcosms. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 49(2): 295–305.
- Floodgate, G. (1984): The fate of petroleum in marine ecosystems. pp. 355–398. In: Atlas, R.M. (Ed.), Petroleum Microbiology. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York, NY, USA, 692p.
- 52. Foght, J.M. and Westlake, D.W.S. (1987): Biodegradation of hydrocarbons in freshwater. pp. 217–230. In: Vandermeulen, J.H. and Hrudey, S.E. (Eds.), Oil in Freshwater: Chemistry, Biology, Countermeasure Technology, Proceedings of the Symposium of Oil Pollution in Freshwater, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, 1st ed. Pergamon Press, New York, NY, USA, 512p.
- Fountoulakis, M.S.; Terzakis, S.; Kalogerakis, N. and Manios, T. (2009): Removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and linear alkylbenzene sulfonates from domestic wastewater in pilot constructed wetlands and a gravel filter. Ecol. Eng., 35(12): 1702–1709.
- 54. Freedman, B. (Ed.) (1989): Oil pollution. pp. 138–158.

- In: Environmental Ecology: The Impacts of Pollution and other Stresses on Ecosystem Structure and Function. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, California, USA, 424p.
- Gagnaire, B.; Thomas-Guyon, H.; Burgeot, Th. and Renault, T. (2006): Pollutant effects on Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg), hemocytes: Screening of 23 molecules using flow cytometry. Cell Biol. Toxicol., 22(1): 1–14.
- Gaspare, L.; Machiwa, J.F.; Mdachi, S.J.M.; Streck, G. and Brack, W. (2009): Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) contamination of surface sediments and oysters from the inter-tidal areas of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Environ. Pollut., 157(1):24–34.
- 57. Gewurtz, S.B.; Lazar, R. and Haffner, G.D. (2000): Comparison of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon and polychlorinated biphenyl dynamics in benthic invertebrates of Lake Erie, USA. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 19(12): 2943–2950.
- Gibbs, C.F.; Pugh, K.B. and Andrews, A.R. (1975): Quantitative studies on marine biodegradation of oil. II. Effect of temperature. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, 188(1090): 83–94.
- Golyshin, P.N.; Chernikova, T.N.; Abraham, W.-R.; Lünsdorf, H.; Timmis, K.N. and Yakimov, M.M. (2002): *Oleiphilaceae* fam. nov., to include *Oleiphilus messinensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel marine bacterium that obligately utilizes hydrocarbons. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol., 52(3): 901–911.
- 60. Gomez, F. and Sartaj, M. (2014): Optimization of field scale biopiles for bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soil at low temperature conditions by response surface methodology (RSM). Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation, 89:103–109.
- 61. Hambrick III, G.A.; DeLaune, R.D. and Patrick, Jr., W.H. (1980): Effect of Estuarine Sediment pH and Oxidation-reduction Potential on Microbial Hydrocarbon Degradation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 40(2): 365–369.
- 62. Head, I.M. and Swannell, R.P.J. (1999): Bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminants in marine habitats. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 10(3): 234–239.
- 63. Head, I.M.; Jones, D.M. and Röling, W.F.M. (2006): Marine microorganisms make a meal of oil. Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 4: 173–182.
- 64. Heintz, R.A.; Short, J.W. and Rice, S.D. (1999): Sensitivity of fish embryos to weathered crude oil: Part II. Increased mortality of pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) embryos incubating downstream from weathered *Exxon Valdez* crude oil. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 18(3): 494–503.
- 65. Hinchee, R.E. and Ong, S.K. (1992): A rapid *in Situ* respiration test for measuring aerobic biodegradation rates of hydrocarbons in soil. J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 42(10): 1305–1312.
- Hou, F.S.L.; Milke, M.W.; Leung, D.W.M. and MacPherson, D.J. (2001): Variations in phytoremediation performance with dieselcontaminated soil. Environ. Technol., 22(2):215–222.
- 67. Huesemann, M.H.; Hausmann, T.S.; Fortman, T.J.; Thom, R.M. and Cullinan, V. (2009): *In situ*

- phytoremediation of PAH- and PCB-contaminated marine sediments with eelgrass (*Zostera marina*). Ecol. Eng., 35(10): 1395–1404.
- Inoue, K.; Widada, J.; Omori, T. and Nojiri, H. (2005): Molecular techniques of xenobiotic-degrading bacteria and their catabolic genes in bioremediation. pp. 1–30.
 In: Fingerman, M. and Nagabhushanam, R. (Eds.), Bioremediation of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystem. Science Publishers, Inc., NH, USA, 400p.
- 69. Ishige, T.; Tani, A.; Sakai, Y. and Kato, N. (2003): Wax ester production by bacteria. Curr. Opin. Microbiol., 6(3): 244–250.
- Jacques, R.J.S.; Okeke, B.C.; Bento, F.M.; Teixeira, A.S.; Peralba, M.C.R. and Camargo, F.A.O. (2008): Microbial consortium bioaugmentation of a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons contaminated soil. Bioresour. Technol., 99(7): 2637–2643.
- Janjua, N.Z.; Kasi, P.M.; Nawaz, H.; Farooqui, S.Z.; Khuwaja, U.B.; Hassan, N.-u; Jafri, S.N.; Lutfi, S.A.; Kadir, M.M. and Sathiakumar, N. (2006): Acute health effects of the Tasman Spirit oil spill on residents of Karachi, Pakistan. BMC Public Health, 6(1): 84, 11p.
- Jeong, W.G. and Cho, S.M. (2005): The effects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon exposure on the fertilization and larval development of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*. J. Shellfish Res., 24(1): 209–213.
- Jones, D.M.; Douglas, A.G.; Parkes, R.J.; Taylor, J.; Giger, W. and Schaffner, C. (1983): The recognition of biodegraded petroleum-derived aromatic hydrocarbons in recent marine sediments. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 14(3): 103–108.
- Kaimi, E.; Mukaidani, T.; Miyoshi, S. and Tamaki, M. (2006): Ryegrass enhancement of biodegradation in diesel-contaminated soil. Environ. Exp. Bot., 55(1-2): 110–119.
- Kapley, A.; Purohit, H.J.; Chhatre, S.; Shanker, R.; Chakrabarti, T. and Khanna, P. (1999): Osmotolerance and hydrocarbon degradation by a genetically engineered microbial consortium. Bioresour. Technol., 67(3): 241–245.
- Karacık, B.; Okay, O.S.; Henkelmann, B.; Bernhöft, S. and Schramm, K.-W. (2009): Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and effects on marine organisms in the Istanbul Strait. Environ. Int., 35(3): 599–606.
- Karhu, M.; Kaakinen, J.; Kuokkanen, T. and Rämö, J. (2009): Biodegradation of light fuel oils in water and soil as determined by the manometric respirometric method. Water Air Soil Pollut., 197(1-4): 3–14.
- Kennedy, C.J. and Farrell, A. P. (2006): Effects of exposure to the water-soluble fraction of crude oil on the swimming performance and the metabolic and ionic recovery postexercise in Pacific herring (*Clupea* pallasi). Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 25(10): 2715–2724.
- Kulichevskaya, I.S.; Milekhina, E.I.; Borzenkov, I.A.;
 Zvyagintseva, I.S. and Belyaev, S.S. (1992): Oxidation of petroleum hydrocarbons by extremely halophilic archaebacteria. Microbiology, 60(5): 596–601.
- Kuznetsov, V.D.; Zaitseva, T.A.; Vakulenko, L.V. and Filippova, S.N. (1992): Streptomyces albiaxialis sp. nov. - A new petroleum hydrocarbon degrading species of thermo-and halotolerant Streptomyces.

- Microbiology, 61(1): 62-67.
- Kvenvolden, K.A. and Cooper, C.K. (2003): Natural seepage of crude oil into the marine environment. Geo-Mar. Lett., 23(3-4): 140–146.
- Law, R.J. and Klungsøyr, J. (2000): The analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in marine samples. Int. J. Environ. Pollut., 13(1-6): 262–283.
- 83. Leahy, J.G. and Colwell, R.R. (1990): Microbial degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment. Microbiol. Rev., 54(3): 305–315.
- Liu, X.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, X.; Wang, J.; Xu, G.; Cao, Z.; Zhong, C. and Su, P. (2011): Degradation of diesel-originated pollutants in wetlands by *Scirpus triqueter* and microorganisms. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf., 74(7): 1967–1972.
- 85. Lyons, R.A.; Temple, J.M.F.; Evans, D.; Fone, D.L. and Palmer, S.R. (1999): Acute health effects of the Sea Empress oil spill. J. Epidemiol. Community Health, 53(5): 306–310.
- Macaulay, B.M. (2015): Understanding the behaviour of oil-degrading micro-organisms to enhance the microbial remediation of spilled petroleum. Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res., 13(1):247–262.
- Malik, Z.A. and Ahmed, S. (2012): Degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons by oil field isolated bacterial consortium. African J. Biotechnol., 11(3): 650–658.
- 88. Mandri, T. and Lin, J. (2007): Isolation and characterization of engine oil degrading indigenous microrganisms in Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa. African J. Biotechnol., 6(1): 023–026.
- 89. Margesin, R. and Schinner, F. (2001): Biodegradation and bioremediation of hydrocarbons in extreme environments. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 56(5-6): 650–663.
- 90. Marshall, A.G. and Rodgers, R.P. (2004): Petroleomics: the next grand challenge for chemical analysis. Acc. Chem. Res., 37(1): 53–59.
- Masters, M.J. and Zajic, J.E. (1971): Myxotrophic growth of algae on hydrocarbon substrates. Dev. Ind. Microbiol., 12: 77–86.
- 92. McKew, B.A.; Coulon, F.; Osborn, A.M.; Timmis, K.N. and McGenity, T.J. (2007): Determining the identity and roles of oil-metabolizing marine bacteria from the Thames Estuary, UK. Environ. Microbiol., 9(1): 165–176.
- 93. Medina-Bellver, J.I.; Marín, P.; Delgado, A.; Rodríguez-Sánchez, A.; Reyes, E.; Ramos, J.L. and Marqués, S. (2005): Evidence for *in situ* crude oil biodegradation after the *Prestige* oil spill. Environ. Microbiol., 7(6): 773–779.
- 94. Mille, G.; Almallah, M.; Bianchi, M.; van Wambeke, F. and Bertrand, J.C. (1991): Effect of salinity on petroleum biodegradation. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem., 339(10): 788–791.
- 95. Montagnolli, R.N.; Matos Lopes, P.R. and Bidoia, E.D. (2015): Assessing *Bacillus subtilis* biosurfactant effects on the biodegradation of petroleum products. Environ. Monit. Assess., 187:4116, 17p.
- 96. Moreira, I.T.A.; Oliveira, O.M.C.; Triguis, J.A.; dos Santos, A.M.P.; Queiroz, A.F.S.; Martins, C.M.S.; Silva, C.S. and Jesus, R.S. (2011): Phytoremediation using *Rhizophora mangle* L. in mangrove sediments

- contaminated by persistent total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH's). Microchem. J., 99(2): 376–382.
- Myers, M.S.; Landahl, J.T.; Krahn, M.M. and McCain, B.B. (1991): Relationships between hepatic neoplasms and related lesions and exposure to toxic chemicals in marine fish from the U.S. west coast. Environ. Health Perspect., 90: 7–15.
- Nadarajah, N.; Singh, A. and Ward, O.P. (2002): Deemulsification of petroleum oil emulsion by a mixed bacterial culture. Process Biochem., 37(10): 1135– 1141
- Nedunuri, K.V.; Govindaraju, R.S.; Banks, M.K.; Schwab, A.P. and Chen, Z. (2000): Evaluation of phytoremediation for field-scale degradation of total petroleum hydrocarbons. J. Environ. Eng., 126(6): 483–490.
- 100. Njoku, K.L.; Akinola, M.O. and Oboh, B.O. (2009): Phytoremediation of crude oil contaminated soil: The effect of growth of *glycine max* on the physicochemistry and crude oil contents of soil. Nat. Sci., 7(10): 79–87.
- O'Brien, P.Y. and Dixon, P.S. (1976): The effects of oils and oil components on algae: A review. Br. Phycol. J., 11(2): 115–142.
- 102. Okay, O.S.; Tolun, L.; Telli-Karakoç, F.; Tüfekçi, V.; Tüfekçi, H.; Olgun, A. and Morkoç, E. (2003): The changes of T-PAH levels and health status of mussels in İzmit bay (Turkey) after Marmara earthquake and subsequent refinery fire. Environ. Int., 28(8): 671–675.
- 103. Okoh, A.I. and Trejo-Hernandez, M.R. (2006): Remediation of petroleum hydrocarbon polluted systems: Exploiting the bioremediation strategies. African J. Biotechnol., 5(25): 2520–2525.
- 104. Onbasili, D.; Aslim, B. and Yuvali Celik, G. (2011): Investigation of metabolite productions and degradation of hazardous organic pollutants by *Pseudomonas* spp. J. Appl. Biol. Sci., 5(2): 9–14.
- 105. Oudot, J.; Merlin, F.X. and Pinvidic, P. (1998): Weathering rates of oil components in a bioremediation experiment in estuarine sediments. Mar. Environ. Res., 45(2): 113–125.
- 106. Parker, C.A.; Freegrade, M. and Hatchard, C.G. (1971): The effect of some chemical and biological factors on the degradation of crude oil at sea. pp. 237-244. In: Hepple, P. (Ed.), Water pollution by oil, Institute of Petroleum, London, United Kingdom.
- 107. Patrick, Jr., W.H. and DeLaune, R.D. (1977): Chemical and biological redox systems affecting nutrient availability in the coastal wetlands. Geosci. Man, 18(13): 131–137.
- 108. Perry, J.J. (1984): Microbial metabolism of cyclic alkanes. pp. 61-98. In: Atlas, R.M. (Ed.), Petroleum Microbiology. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York, NY, USA, 692p.
- 109. Phulia, V.; Jamwal, A.; Saxena, N.; Chadha, N.K.; Muralidhar, A.P. and Prusty, A.K. (2013): Technologies in aquatic bioremediation. pp. 65-91. In: Kumar, P. 'Bharti'; Zaki, M.S.A. and Chauhan, A. (Eds.), Freshwater ecosystem and xenobiotics. Discovery Publishing House PVT. Ltd., New Delhi-110 002, India, 202p.
- 110. Płaza, G.A.; Łukasik, K.; Wypych, J.; Nałęcz-Jawecki,

- G.; Berry, C. and Brigmon, R.L. (2008): Biodegradation of crude oil and distillation Products by biosurfactant-producing bacteria. Polish J. of Environ. Stud., 17(1): 87–94.
- 111. Pornsunthorntawee, O.; Wongpanit, P.; Chavadej, S.; Abe, M. and Rujiravanit, R. (2008): Structural and physicochemical characterization of crude biosurfactant produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* SP4 isolated from petroleum-contaminated soil. Bioresour. Technol., 99(6): 1589–1595.
- 112. Prince, R.C. (1993): Petroleum spill bioremediation in marine environments. Crit. Rev. Microbiol., 19(4): 217–240.
- 113. Prince, R.C. (1997): Bioremediation of marine oil spills. Trends Biotechnol., 15(5): 158–160.
- 114. Radwan, S.S.; Al-Awadhi, H. and El-Nemr, I.M. (2000): Cropping as a phytoremediation practice for oily desert soil with reference to crop safety as food. Int. J. Phytoremed., 2(4): 383–396.
- 115. Rahman, K.S.M.; Rahman, T.J.; Banat, I.M.; Lord, R. and Street, G. (2007): Bioremediation of petroleum sludge using bacterial consortium with biosurfactant. pp. 391–408. In: Singh, S.N. and Tripathi, R.D. (Eds.), Environmental Bioremediation Technologies. Springer, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 518p.
- 116. Rahman, K.S.M.; Rahman, T.J.; Kourkoutas, Y.; Petsas, I.; Marchant, R. and Banat, I.M. (2003): Enhanced bioremediation of *n*-alkane in petroleum sludge using bacterial consortium amended with rhamnolipid and micronutrients. Bioresour. Technol., 90(2): 159–168.
- 117. Reddy, C.M.; Eglinton, T.I.; Hounshell, A.; White, H.K.; Xu, L.; Gaines, R.B. and Frysinger, G.S. (2002): The West Falmouth oil spill after thirty years: The persistence of petroleum hydrocarbons in marsh sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol., 36(22): 4754–4760.
- 118. Reynaud, S.; Duchiron, C. and Deschaux, P. (2004): 3-Methylcholanthrene induces lymphocyte and phagocyte apoptosis in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) in vitro. Aquat. Toxicol., 66(3):307–318.
- 119. Ribeiro, H.; Almeida, C.M.R.; Mucha, A.P.; Teixeira, C. and Bordalo, A.A. (2013): Influence of natural rhizosediments characteristics on hydrocarbons degradation potential of microorganisms associated to *Juncus maritimus* roots. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad., 84: 86–96.
- Saadoun, I.M.K. and Al-Ghzawi, Z.D. (2005): Bioremediation of Petroleum Contamination. pp. 173–212. In: Fingerman, M. and Nagabhushanam, R. (Eds.), Bioremediation of Aquatic and Terrestrial Ecosystems. Science Publishers, Inc., Enfield (NH), USA, 400p.
- 121. Salleh, A.B.; Ghazali, F.M.; Abd Rahman, R.N.Z. and Basri, M. (2003): Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbon Pollution. Indian J. Biotechnol., 2(3):
- 122. Schnoor, J.L.; Licht, L.A.; McCutcheon, S.C.; Wolfe, N.L. and Carreira, L.H. (1995): Phytoremediation of organic and nutrient contaminants: Pilot and full-scale studies are demonstrating the promise and limitations of using vegetation for remediating hazardous wastes

- in soils and sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol., 29(7): 318A-323A.
- Schwarz, J.R.; Walker, J.D. and Colwell, R.R. (1974a): Deep-sea bacteria: growth and utilization of hydrocarbons at ambient and *in situ* pressure. Appl. Microbiol., 28(6): 982–986.
- 124. Schwarz, J.R.; Walker, J.D. and Colwell, R.R. (1974b): Growth of deep-sea bacteria on hydrocarbons at ambient and *in situ* pressure. Dev. Ind. Microbiol., 15: 239–249.
- 125. Schwarz, J.R.; Walker, J.D. and Colwell, R.R. (1975): Deep-sea bacteria: growth and utilization of *n*-hexadecane at *in situ* temperature and pressure. Can. J. Microbiol., 21(5): 682–687.
- 126. Seeger, E.M.; Kuschk, P.; Fazekas, H.; Grathwohl, P. and Kaestner, M. (2011): Bioremediation of benzene-, MTBE- and ammonia-contaminated groundwater with pilot-scale constructed wetlands. Environ. Pollut., 159(12): 3769–3776.
- 127. Shiaris, M.P. (1989): Seasonal biotransformation of naphthalene, phenanthrene, and benzo[a]pyrene in surficial estuarine sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 55(6): 1391–1399.
- 128. Siciliano, S.D. and Greer, C.W. (2000): Plant-bacterial combinations to phytoremediate soil contaminated with high concentrations of 2,4,6- Trinitrotolene. J. Environ. Quality, 29(1): 311–316.
- 129. Singer, M. and Finnerty, W. (1984): Microbial metabolism of straight-chain and branched alkanes. pp. 1–61. In: Atlas, R.M. (Ed.), Petroleum Microbiology. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York, NY, USA, 692p.
- 130. Singh, H. (2006): Mycoremediation: Fungal Bioremediation. Wiley-Interscience, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, USA, 592p.
- 131. Spiecker, P.M.; Gawrys, K.L.; Trail, C.B. and Kilpatrick, P.K. (2003): Effects of petroleum resins on asphaltene aggregation and water-in-oil emulsion formation. Colloids and surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng. Asp., 220(1-3): 9–27.
- 132. Thapa, B.; KC, A.K. and Ghimire, A. (2012): A review on bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminants in soil. Kathmandu University J. Sci. Eng. Technol., 8(1): 164–170.
- 133. Thavasi, R.; Jayalakshmi, S. and Banat, I.M. (2011): Application of biosurfactant produced from peanut oil cake by *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* in biodegradation of crude oil. Bioresour. Technol., 102(3): 3366–3372.
- 134. The American Academy of Microbiology (2011): Microbes and oil spills. FAQ series. http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/science/publications/microbes/pdf/microbes-eng.pdf, 13p.
- Van Hamme, J.D.; Singh, A. and Ward, O.P. (2003):
 Recent advances in petroleum microbiology.
 Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 67(4): 503–549.
- 136. Venosa, A.D. and Zhu, X. (2005): Guidance for the Bioremediation of Oil-Contaminated Wetlands, Marshes, and Marine Shorelines. pp. 141–172. In: Fingerman, M. and Nagabhushanam, R. (Eds.), Bioremediation of Aquatic and Terrestrial Ecosystems. Science Publishers, Inc., Enfield (NH), USA, 400p.

- 137. Vieira, P.A.; Vieira, R.B.; de França, F.P. and Cardoso, V.L. (2007): Biodegradation of effluent contaminated with diesel fuel and gasoline. J. Hazard. Mater., 140(1-2): 52–59.
- 138. Walker, J.D.; Colwell, R.R.; Vaituzis, Z. and Meyer, S.A. (1975): Petroleum-degrading achlorophyllous alga *Prototheca zopfii*. Nature, 254: 423–424.
- 139. Ward, D.M. and Brock, T.D. (1976): Environmental factors influencing the rate of hydrocarbon oxidation in temperate lakes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 31(5):764–772.
- Ward, D.M. and Brock, T.D. (1978): Hydrocarbon biodegradation in hypersaline environments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 35(2): 353–359.
- Watanabe, K. (2001): Microorganisms relevant to bioremediation. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 12(3): 237– 241.
- 142. Widdel, F. and Rabus, R. (2001): Anaerobic biodegradation of saturated and aromatic hydrocarbons. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 12(3):259– 276.
- 143. Wu, Y.; Li, T. and Yang, L. (2012): Mechanisms of removing pollutants from aqueous solutions by microorganisms and their aggregates: A review. Bioresour. Technol., 107: 10–18.
- 144. Yakimov, M.M.; Giuliano, L.; Denaro, R.; Crisafi, E.; Chernikova, T.N.; Abraham, W.-R.; Luensdorf, H.; Timmis, K.N. and Golyshin, P.N. (2004): *Thalassolituus oleivorans* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel marine bacterium that obligately utilizes hydrocarbons. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol., 54(1): 141–148.
- 145. Yakimov, M.M.; Giuliano, L.; Gentile, G.; Crisafi, E.; Chernikova, T.N.; Abraham, W.-R.; Lünsdorf, H.; Timmis, K.N. and Golyshin, P.N. (2003): Oleispira

- antarctica gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel hydrocarbonoclastic marine bacterium isolated from Antarctic coastal sea water. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol., 53(3): 779–785.
- 146. Yakimov, M.M.; Golyshin, P.N.; Lang, S.; Moore, E.R.B.; Abraham, W.-R.; Lünsdorf, H. and Timmis, K.N. (1998): *Alcanivorax borkumensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new, hydrocarbon-degrading and surfactant-producing marine bacterium. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol., 48(2): 339–348.
- Yakimov, M.M.; Timmis, K.N. and Golyshin, P.N. (2007): Obligate oil-degrading marine bacteria. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 18(3): 257–266.
- 148. Yang, S.Z.; Jin, H.J.; Wei, Z.; He, R.X.; Ji, Y.J.; Li, X.M. and Yu, S.P. (2009): Bioremediation of oil spills in cold environments: A review. Pedosphere, 19(3): 371–381.
- 149. Zaki, M.S.; Authman, M.M.N.; Ata, N.S.; Abdelzaher, M.F. and Hammam, A.M. (2014): Effects of environmental oil spills on commercial fish and shellfish in Suez Canal and Suez Gulf regions (Review Article). Life Sci. J., 11(2): 269–274.
- 150. Zaki, M.S.; Fawzi, O.M. and Abd EL-Zaher, M.F. (2013): Bioremediation of contaminants. Life Sci. J., 10(1): 3329–3332.
- 151. Zhu, X.; Venosa, A.D.; Suidan, M.T. and Lee, K. (2004): Guidelines for the bioremediation of oil-contaminated salt marshes. EPA/600/R-04/074, EPA Contract No. 68-C-00-159, Task Order No. 8, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH 45268, USA, 61p.
- 152. Zobell, C.E. (1946): Action of microorganisms on hydrocarbons. Bacteriol. Rev., 10(1-2): 1–49.

4/28/2015