Convergence of Intuionistic Fuzzy Filters in Syntopogenous Intuionisticfuzzy Strctures

Tantawy O., F. M. Sleim, Z. Abueldahb

Mathematics Deoarment, Faculty of science, Zagazig University, Egypt. <u>drosamat@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract: In this paper we introduce theintutionistic fuzzy filter, convergence of intutionistic fuzzy filter in syntopogenousintutionistic fuzzy spaces and their properties are also studied.
[Tantawy O., F. M. Sleim, Z. Abueldahb. Convergence of Intuionistic Fuzzy Filters in Syntopogenous Intuionisticfuzzy Strctures. *Life Sci J* 2013;10(4):2938-2945]. (ISSN:1097-8135). <u>http://www.lifesciencesite.com</u>. 392

Key wards: intuitionistic fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy filters, convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy filter, syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy spaces, and convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy filter in syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy spaces.

Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [18], and many topics in mathematics was introduced using the fuzzy sets. In 1983Atanassov [1, 2, 3, 4] generalized the fuzzy sets to intutionistic fuzzy sets, and later there has been much progress in the study of intutionistic fuzzy sets by many authors [5, 6]. Kandi let al.,[10] redefined the concept of intutionistic fuzzy set which was defined by Atanassov, in more simple form.

In 1963 Csâszar introduced [7] the syntopogenous structures which are a unified theory of topologies, proximities and uniformities. In 1983 Katssars and Petalas [8, 9] used the ideas of Csâszar and the concept of fuzzy set to introduce the fuzzy syntopogenous structures.Kandil et al.,11] used the ideas of Csâszarand, Katssars and Petalas [7, 8, 9] and the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set redefined [10] to introduce the intuitionistic fuzzy syntopogenous structures.Recently Tantawy et al,. [17] introduced the convergence of fuzzy filter in fuzzy syntopogenous spaces. Also in [16] they defined some separation axioms on syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy structures.

Mondal and Samanta [12] introduced the definition of generalized intutionistic fuzzy sets.

Park and Park [13] used the generalized intutionistic fuzzy sets given by Mondal and Samanta to introduce the concept of generalized intutionistic fuzzy filter.

By using theintutionistic fuzzy set modified by **Kandil** and the notion of generalized intutionistic fuzzy filter given by Park and Park we will define theintutionistic fuzzy filter. Also we will introduce the convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy filter in syntopogenousintuitionistic fuzzy spaces using [16,17] and study their properties.

Preliminaries

In this section we recall many of the concepts and properties which are needed in the sequel and studied by others.

Definition 2.1: [9]An intuitionistic fuzzy set \underline{A} (IFS for short) is an ordered pair

 $<\mathbf{A}^{1},\mathbf{A}^{2}>\in\mathbf{I}^{X}\times\mathbf{I}^{X}$ where \mathbf{I}^{X} is the family of all

fuzzy sets on a given non-empty set X such that A1

 \subseteq A² and denoted by $\underline{A} = <$ A¹, A² >.

The family of IFSs on X, will be denoted by II X.

The IFS $\frac{1}{2} = <1,1>$ is called the universal IFS, and the

IFS $\underline{0} = <0,0>$ is called the empty IFS.

4

The binary operations on II^{X} are given in the following:

Definition 2.2:[9] Let $\underline{A} = <\mathbf{A}^{\perp}, \mathbf{A}^{\perp} >$ and $\underline{B} = <\mathbf{B}^{\perp}$

 B^{2} be two IFSs on a non-empty set X. then :

(1)
$$\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B}$$
 iff $A^{1} \subseteq B^{1}$ and $A^{2} \subseteq B^{2}$.
(2) $\underline{A} = \underline{B}$ iff $A^{1} = B^{1}$ and $A^{2} = B^{2}$.
(3) $\underline{A} \bigcup \underline{B} = \langle A^{1} \bigcup B^{1}, A^{2} \bigcup B^{2} \rangle$.
(4) $\underline{A} \cap \underline{B} = \langle A^{1} \cap B^{1}, A^{2} \cap B^{2} \rangle$.
(5) $\underline{A}^{c} = \langle A^{2}, A^{1} \rangle$.

According to above definitions we can generalized the operations of intersection and union

to arbitrary family { \underline{A}^{i} : $i \in J$ } of IFSs as follows:

$$\bigcup_{i \in J} \underline{A} \bigcup_{i = \langle i \in J} A_i^1 \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i^2 \qquad \text{and} \quad i \in J \underline{A} = \langle \bigcap_{i \in J} A_i^1 \bigcap_{i \in J} A_i^2 \rangle = \langle \bigcap_{i \in J} A_i^1 \bigcap_{i \in J} A_i^2 \rangle$$

Theorem 2.3:[9](II^X, \bigcap , \bigcup , ^c) is a Morgan Algebra i.e. it satisfies the following axioms : (1)Commutative laws

i)
$$\underline{A} \cup \underline{B} = \underline{B} \cup \underline{A}$$
 ii) $\underline{A} \cap \underline{B} = \underline{B} \cap \underline{A}$
(2) Associative Laws
i) $(\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}) \cup \underline{C} = \underline{A} \cup (\underline{B} \cup \underline{C})$
ii) $(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \cap \underline{C} = \underline{A} \cap (\underline{B} \cap \underline{C})$
(3) Identity laws
i) $\underline{A} \cup \underline{0} = \underline{A}$ ii) $\underline{A} \cup \underline{1} = \underline{1}$
iii) $\underline{A} \cap \underline{0} = \underline{0}$ iv) $\underline{A} \cap \underline{1} = \underline{A}$
(4) Distributive laws
i) $\underline{A} \cup (\underline{B} \cap \underline{C}) = (\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}) \cap (\underline{A} \cup \underline{C})$
ii) $\underline{A} \cap (\underline{B} \cup \underline{C}) = (\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \cup (\underline{A} \cap \underline{C})$
(5) De Morgan's laws
i) $(\underline{A} \cup \underline{B})^c = \underline{A}^c \cap \underline{B}^c$
ii) $(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B})^c = \underline{A}^c \cup \underline{B}^c$.

Definition 2.4:[9] Let X and Y be two non-empty sets, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function;

(1) If $\underline{A} = \langle A^1, A^2 \rangle$ is an IFS in X, then the image of \underline{A} under f, denoted by (2) $f(\underline{A})$ is an IFS in Y, defined by $f(\underline{A}) = \langle f(A^1), f(A^2) \rangle$; where $f(A^1), f(A^2) \rangle$; where $f(A^i)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(x) \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases} \neq q \\ \in Y, \\ and i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$

(3) If $\underline{B} = \langle B^1, B^2 \rangle$ is an IFS in Y, then the preimage of \underline{B} under f, denoted by $f^{-1}(\underline{B})$ is the IFS in X defined by $f^{-1}(\underline{B}) = \langle f^{-1}(B) \rangle$ 1), $f^{-1}(B^2) \rangle$, where $f^{-1}(B^1)(x) = B^1(f^2)(x)$ (x)) and $f^{-1}(B^2) = B^2(f(x)) \quad \forall x \in X.$

The properties of the image and the primage of intuitionistic fuzzy set is the same as the fuzzy case as follows:

Theorem 2.5:[9] Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, \underline{A} , $\underline{B} \in \prod^{X}$ and $\{\underline{A}^{i}: i \in J\} \subseteq \prod^{X}$. Let \underline{C} , $\underline{D} \in \prod^{Y}$ and $\{\underline{C}^{i}: i \in J\} \subseteq \prod^{Y}$. Then: (1) $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B} \Rightarrow f(\underline{A}) \subseteq f(\underline{B})$. (2) $\underline{C} \subseteq \underline{D} \Rightarrow f^{-1}(\underline{C}) \subseteq f^{-1}(\underline{D})$. (3) $\underline{A} \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\underline{A}))$, and the equality holds if fis injective. (4) $f(f^{-1}(\underline{C})) \subseteq \underline{C}$, and the equality holds if fis surjective. (5) $(f^{-1}(\underline{C})) \stackrel{c}{=} f^{-1}(\underline{C}^{c})$. (6) $f(\underset{i \in J}{\underline{A}_{i}} \bigcup_{j=i \in J} f^{-1}(\underline{C}_{i})$ (7) $f^{-1}(\underset{i \in J}{\underline{O}} \stackrel{c}{=} \underset{j=i \in J}{\underline{A}_{i}} \stackrel{c}{=} \underset{i \in J}{\underline{A}_{i}} \stackrel{c}{=} \underset{i$

Now we introduce the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy point as follows.

Definition 2.6:[9] Let X be a nonempty set and $x \in X$ be a fixed element, $\alpha, \beta \in I = [0,1]$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$, $\beta > 0$. An intuitionistic fuzzy set $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} = (x^{(\alpha)}, x^{(\beta)}) \in II^X$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFp, for short) i.e. the IFp $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is an ordered pair of two fuzzy points $x^{(\alpha)}$, $x^{(\beta)}$ where $x^{(\alpha)} \leq x^{(\beta)}$

When $\alpha = 0$. Then $x^{(\alpha)} = 0$ and the intuitionistic fuzzy point $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is called a vanishing intuitionistic fuzzy point (VIPs, for short), i.e. $x^{(0,\beta)} = (0, x^{(\beta)})$ where $\beta \in I^0 = (0, 1]$.

Theorem 2.7:[9] Let \underline{A} , $\underline{B} \in \Pi^X$ and $\{\underline{A}^i : i \in J\}$ $\subseteq \Pi^X$, where $\underline{A}^i = \langle A_i^1, A_i^2 \rangle$. Then: (1) $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B}$ iff $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{A}$ implies $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{B} \forall x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in X. (2) $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} \underline{A}_i^i$ iff $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{A}^i i \forall i \in J$. (3) If there exist $i \in J$ such that $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{A}^i$, then $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \bigcup_{i \in J} \underline{A}_i^i$.

(4)
$$\underline{A} = \bigcup_{\{\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} : \mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{A}\}}$$
.

Remark 2.8:[9]

The union of an IFS and its complement needs not be the universal IFS.

The intersection of an IFS and its complement needs not to be the empty IFS.

The statement; $(x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin \underline{A} \Leftrightarrow x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{A}^c)$ may not be true in general.

(i) In fuzzy setting, we observe that if $\{ A_i : i \in J \}$ be a family of fuzzy sets, then for any fuzzy $\bigcup A_1$

point $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha)}$, $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha)} \in \bigcup_{i \in J}^{-1}$ iff $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbf{A}^{1}$ for some i $\in \mathbf{J}$ and \mathbf{J} is finite. But this is not true in the intuitionistic setting.

This is shown in the following examples:

Example 2.9:[9] Let X = {a, b, c} and $\underline{A} = < (a^{0.4}, b^{0.3}, c^{0.5}), (a^{0.5}, b^{0.3}, c^{0.7}) >$. Then: (i) $\underline{A}^{c} = < (a^{0.5}, b^{0.7}, c^{0.3}), (a^{0.6}, b^{0.7}, c^{0.5}) >$. (ii) $\underline{A} \cup \underline{A}^{c} = < (a^{0.5}, b^{0.7}, c^{0.5}), (a^{0.6}, b^{0.7}, c^{0.7}) > \neq \underline{1}$. (iii) $\underline{A} \cap \underline{A}^{c} = < (a^{0.4}, b^{0.3}, c^{0.3}), (a^{0.5}, b^{0.3}, c^{0.5}) > \neq \underline{0}$. (iv) If x = a, then $a^{(0.4, 0.7)} \notin \underline{A}^{c}$ and $a^{(0.4, 0.7)} \notin \underline{A}^{c}$.

Example 2.10:[9] Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and consider the following IFSs;

 $\underline{A} = \langle (a^{0.3}, b^{0.4}), (a^{0.5}, b^{0.7}) \rangle$ and $\underline{B} = \langle (a^{0.4}, b^{0.2}), (a^{0.4}, b^{0.3}) \rangle$. Then we have:

$$\underline{A} \cup \underline{B} = \langle (a^{0.4}, b^{0.4}), (a^{0.5}, b^{0.7}) \rangle, x^{(0.4, 0.7)} \in \underline{A} \cup \underline{B} \text{ while } x^{(0.4, 0.7)} \notin \underline{A} \text{ and } x^{(0.4, 0.7)} \notin \underline{B}.$$

Definition 2.11:[9] Let $\underline{A} = \langle A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $\underline{B} = \langle B^1, B^2 \rangle$ be two IFSs on a non-empty set X and x (α, β) be an IFp in X. Then: (i) \underline{A} and \underline{B} are said to be quasi-coincident, and denoted by $\underline{A} q \underline{B}$ if $A^1 q B^2$ or $A^2 q B^1$. If \underline{A} is not quasi-coincident with \underline{B} , then we write \underline{A} $q \underline{B}$ i.e. $\underline{A} q \underline{B}$ if $A^1 q B^2$ and $A^2 q B^1$. (ii) $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} q \underline{A}$ if $\alpha > A_2^c(x)$ or $\beta > A_1^c(x)$ i.e. $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} q \underline{A}$ if $x^{(\alpha)} q A^2$ or $x^{(\beta)} q A^1$.

The properties of the quasi- coincident relation were given in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.12:[9] Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, \underline{A} , \underline{B} , $\underline{C} \in \Pi^{X}$, \underline{D} , $\underline{E} \in \Pi^{Y}$ and $\{\underline{A}^{i}: i \in J\} \subseteq \Pi^{X}$ and $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, $y^{(\gamma,\delta)} \in X^{IP}$. Then: (1) $\underline{A} \not q \not B \Leftrightarrow \underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B}^{c}$. (2) $\underline{A} \cap \underline{B} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{A} \not q \not B$. (3) $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \not q \not \underline{A} \Leftrightarrow x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{A}^{c}$. (4) $\underline{A} \not q \not \underline{A}^{c}$. (5) $\underline{A} \not q \not B$, $\underline{C} \subseteq \underline{B} \Rightarrow \underline{A} \not q \not C$. (6) $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B} \Leftrightarrow (x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \not q \not \underline{A} \Rightarrow x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \not q \not \underline{B} \forall x$ ($^{(\alpha,\beta)}$) in X). (7) $\underline{A} \not q \not B \Leftrightarrow x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \not q \not B$, for some $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{A}$. (8) $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \not q \stackrel{(i \in J}{=J}) \Leftrightarrow \exists i \in J$ such that x($^{(\alpha,\beta)} \not q \not \underline{A}^{i}$, (9) If $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \not q \stackrel{(i \in J}{=J}^{\underline{A}_{i}}$, then $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \not q \not \underline{A}^{i}$ for all $i \in J$. (10) $x \neq y \Rightarrow x^{(\alpha,\beta)} q y^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$

(11)
$$\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{y}^{(\gamma,\delta)}} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \text{ or } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \text{ and}$$

 $(\alpha + \delta \leq 1 \text{ and } \beta + \gamma \leq 1).$
(12) $\underline{A} \mathcal{Q} \underline{B} \Rightarrow f(\underline{A}) \mathcal{Q} f(\underline{B}) \text{ if } f \text{ is}$

 $(12) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 9$ bijection.

(13) $\underline{D} \not q \ \underline{E} \Rightarrow f^{-1} (\underline{D}) \not q \ f^{-1} (\underline{E}).$ Definition 2.13:[10] Asemi-topogenous intuitionistic fuzzy order on X is a binary relation $\prec \prec$ on

 II^{X} satisfying the following axioms :

(IFO1)
$$\stackrel{0}{=} \prec \prec \stackrel{0}{=} \text{ and } \stackrel{1}{=} \prec \prec \stackrel{1}{=};$$

(IFO2) $\stackrel{A}{=} \prec \prec \stackrel{B}{=} \text{ implies } \stackrel{A}{=} \subseteq \stackrel{B}{=};$
(IFO3) $\stackrel{A}{=} \subseteq \stackrel{A}{=} , \prec \prec \stackrel{B}{=} : \stackrel{G}{=} \stackrel{\text{implies }}{=} \stackrel{A}{=} \prec \prec \stackrel{B}{=};$

Definition

2.14:[10] Asemi-topogenous intuitionistic fuzzy order $\prec \prec$ on a set X is called :

(i) topogenousintuitionistic fuzzy order on X if it is satisfies the condition ;

$$\underline{\underline{A}}_{i} \prec \underline{\underline{B}}_{i} (i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n) \text{ imply } \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\underline{A}}_{i} \prec \underline{\underline{A}}_{i}$$
$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\underline{B}}_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\underline{A}}_{i} \xrightarrow{n} \underline{\underline{A}}_{i=1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \underline{\underline{B}}_{i}$$

(ii)perfect semi-topogenous intuitionistic fuzzy order on X if it is satisfies the condition :

$$\underline{A}_{i} \prec \underline{B}_{i} (i \in J) \text{ implies } \bigcup_{i \in J} \underline{A}_{i} \prec \underline{\bigcup}_{i \in J} \underline{B}_{i}$$

(iii) biperfecttopogenousintuitionistic fuzzy order on X if it is satisfies the condition :

$$\underline{A} \ i \prec \prec \underline{B} \ i \ (i \in J) \text{ implies } \bigcup_{i \in J} \underline{A} \ \bigcup_{i \prec \prec} \bigcup_{i \in J} \underline{B} \ i \ (i \in J) \ i = J$$

Where J is any index set.

Definition 2.15 : [10] Let $\prec \prec_1$ and $\prec \prec_2$ be two semi-topogenous(resp.topogenous, perfect semitopogenous, biperfecttopogenous) intuitionistic fuzzy orders, then we say that $\prec \downarrow_2$ is finer than $\prec \downarrow_1$ (or $\prec \prec_1$ is coarser than $\prec \prec_2$), denoted by $\prec \prec_1 \subseteq \prec \prec_2$ if $\underline{A} \prec \prec_1 \underline{B}$ implies $\underline{A} \prec \prec_2 \underline{B}$.

Definition 2.16:[10] The complement of asemitopogenous(resp.topogenous, perfect semi-

topogenous, biperfecttopogenous) intuitionistic fuzzy order $\prec \prec$ on X, denoted by $\prec \prec^c$ is defined by ; $\underline{A} \prec \prec^{c} \underline{B} \operatorname{iff} \underline{B}^{c} \prec \prec \underline{A}^{c}$ Also is called symmetrical if $\underline{A} \prec \prec \underline{B}$ implies $\underline{A} \prec \prec^{c} \underline{B}$. **Definition 2.17:**[10] A syntopogenousintuitionistic fuzzy structure on a set X is a non-empty family $\frac{S}{S}$ of topogenous intuitionistic fuzzy orders on X having the following two properties : (IFS1) If $\prec \prec'$, $\prec \prec'' \in \underline{S}$, then there exist an $\prec \prec \in$ \underline{S} finer than $\prec \prec'$ and $\prec \prec''$. (IFS2) If $\prec \prec \in \underline{S}$, then there exists an $\prec \prec' \in \underline{S}$ such that $\underline{A} \prec \prec \underline{B}$ implies the existence of $\underline{C} \in \mathbf{II}^{X}$ such that $\underline{A} \prec \prec' \underline{C} \prec \prec' \underline{B}$, (i. e. $\prec \prec$ $\subseteq \prec \prec'^2$

The pair $[X, \frac{S}{2}]$ is called syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space. In case $\frac{S}{2}$ consists of a singletopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy order it is called simplesyntopogenous а structure (topogenousintuitionistic fuzzy structure). If all topogenous intuitionistic fuzzy orders of an syntopogenousintuitionistic fuzzy structure $\frac{S}{2}$ on X perfect perfect, it is called are syntopogenousintuitionistic fuzzy structure or (intuitionistic fuzzy syntopology), and the space [X, \underline{S}] is called syntopological intuitionistic fuzzy space. If all topogenous intuitionistic fuzzy orders of a syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy structure $\frac{S}{2}$ on X are biperfect, it is calledbiperfectsyntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy structure or (biperfectsyntopology).

Definition 2.18: Asyntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space [X, \underline{S}] is said to be:

 (T_0) - space If for any intuitionistic fuzzy points(IFPs) $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, $y^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ with $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \leq v^{c}_{(\gamma,\delta)}$ there is $\prec \prec \in \underline{S}$ such that $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec y^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ or y $(\gamma,\delta) \prec \prec_{\mathbf{X}} (\alpha,\beta)$

 (T_1) - space If for any intuitionistic fuzzy points(IFPs) $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, $y^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ with $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \leq y^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ there is $\prec \prec \in \underline{S}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \mathbf{v}^{(\gamma,\delta)}$.

 (T_2) - space If for any intuitionistic fuzzy points (IFPs) $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, $y^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ with $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \leq y^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ there is $\prec \prec \in \underline{S}$ and $\underline{A} \in \underline{II}^X$ such that $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \underline{A} \prec \prec$ $c_y^{(\gamma,\delta)}$.

 (T_2) - spaceIf for any intuitionistic fuzzy points (IFPs) $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, $\mathbf{y}^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ with $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \leq \mathbf{y}^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ there are $\prec \in \underline{S}$ and $\underline{A}, \underline{B} \in \mathbf{II}^X$ such that $\underline{A} \cap \underline{B} = \underline{0}$, $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \underline{A}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(\gamma,\delta)} \prec \prec \underline{B}$.

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy filter

Definition 3.1:Let \mathfrak{F} be a non-empty family of intuitionistic fuzzy sets on X (IFSs), then \mathfrak{F} is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy filter on X if it is satisfying the following:

1.
$$\overset{O}{=} \notin \underline{\mathfrak{F}};$$

2. If $\overset{A}{=}$, $\overset{B}{=} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}},$ then $\overset{A}{=} \cap \overset{B}{=} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}};$
3. If $\overset{A}{=} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $\overset{A}{=} \overset{B}{=},$ then $\overset{B}{=} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}.$

Definition 3.2:Let $\underline{\mathfrak{P}}$ be a non-empty family of intuitionistic fuzzysetson X (IFSs), then \mathfrak{P} is called an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter base if it is satisfying:

1.
$$\stackrel{0}{=} \notin \mathfrak{P}$$
;
2. If $\stackrel{A}{=}$, $\stackrel{B}{=} \in \mathfrak{P}$, then $\stackrel{A}{=} \cap \stackrel{B}{=} \in \mathfrak{P}$;
A family \mathcal{L} is called a

A family $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is called a sub base of an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter base if it is nonempty and

theof any finite numbers of elements of $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is not $\underline{0}$. **Lemma 3.3:(i)** If $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is a sub base of an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter, then the family $\underline{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{L})$ consisting all finite intersections of the elements of $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter base.

(ii) If \mathfrak{P} is an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter base, then the family $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{P})$ consisting of all IFSs \underline{A} such that $\underline{A} \supseteq \underline{B}$ for some $\underline{B} \in \mathfrak{P}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter. (iii) $\mathfrak{P}(\underline{L})$ and $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{P})$ are uniquely determined \underline{L} and \mathfrak{P} respectively.

Lemma 3.4:(1) Let $\{\underline{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of intuitionistic fuzzy filters on a set X. Then:

i. $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \underline{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\alpha} = \{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \underline{F}_{\alpha} : \underline{F}_{\alpha} \in \underline{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\alpha}\} \text{ is also an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter on X.}$

- ii. $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\alpha} = \{\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} F_{\alpha} : F_{\alpha} \in \underline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\alpha}\} \text{ is also an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter on X.}$
- (2) $\underline{\mathfrak{P}}_1$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{P}}_2$ be two intuitionistic fuzzy filter bases. Then $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}(\underline{\mathfrak{P}}_1) \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{F}}(\underline{\mathfrak{P}}_2)$ iff for any
- $\underline{B} \in \underline{\mathfrak{P}}_1$ there exists $\underline{A} \in \underline{\mathfrak{P}}_2$ such that $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B}$.

Theorem 3.5: Let $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy filter on X, and $Y \subseteq X$. Then $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \setminus Y = \{\underline{F} \setminus Y : \underline{F} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy filter on Y if $\underline{F} \setminus Y \neq \underline{0}$ for every $\underline{F} \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Proof:

Since $\underline{F} \setminus Y \neq \underline{0}$ for every $\underline{F} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$, then $\underline{0} \notin \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \setminus Y$. Let $\underline{A} \setminus Y, \underline{B} \setminus Y \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \setminus Y$, then clearly $(\underline{A} \setminus Y) \cap (\underline{B} \setminus Y) = (\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \setminus Y$. Since $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ is anintuitionistic fuzzyfilter, then $\underline{A} \cap \underline{B} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ and hence $(\underline{A} \setminus Y) \cap (\underline{B} \setminus Y) \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \setminus Y$. Let $\underline{F} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ and \underline{B} \in Y such that $\underline{F} \setminus Y \subseteq \underline{B}$. Choose an IFS $\underline{C} \in II^X$ such that $\underline{C} \setminus Y = \underline{B}$. Since $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter on X, then $\underline{C} \in \mathfrak{F}$, and hence $\underline{C} \setminus Y = \underline{B} \in \mathfrak{F} \setminus Y$.

Theorem 3.6:Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter on X. Then $f(\underline{\mathfrak{F}}) = \{f(\underline{F}) : \underline{F} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy basefilter on Y. **Proof:**

- (i) Suppose that $\underline{0} \in f(\underline{\mathfrak{F}})$, then there exist $\underline{F} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ such that $f(\underline{F}) = \underline{0}$ which imply $\underline{F} = \underline{0}$ which contradict with $\underline{0} \notin \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$. Hence $\underline{0} \notin f(\underline{\mathfrak{F}})$.
- (ii) Let $f(\underline{A}), f(\underline{B}) \in f(\underline{\mathfrak{F}})$ such that $\underline{A}, \underline{B} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$, then $f(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \subseteq f(\underline{A}) \cap f(\underline{B}) \in f(\underline{\mathfrak{F}})$. Hence $f(\underline{\mathfrak{F}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy basefilter on Y.

Theorem 3.7:Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjection function and <u>E</u>be anintuitionistic fuzzyfilteron Y. Then $f^{-1}(\underline{E}) = \{f^{-1}(\underline{G}) : \underline{G} \in \underline{E}\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy basefilter on X. **Proof:** Suppose that $\underline{0} \in f^{-1}(\underline{E})$, then by surjection there exist $\underline{0} \in \underline{E}$ which contradict with $\underline{0} \notin \underline{E}$. Hence $\underline{0} \notin f^{-1}(\underline{E})$.

(i) Let $f^{-1}(\underline{G}), f^{-1}(\underline{G}) \in f^{-1}(\underline{E})$ such that \underline{G} , $\underline{G} \in \underline{E}$.

Since <u>E</u> is an intuitionistic fuzzyfilter then <u>G</u> \cap <u>G</u> \in <u>E</u>, so f^{-1} (<u>G</u>) \cap f^{-1} (<u>G</u>) = f^{-1} (<u>G</u>) <u>G</u>) \in f^{-1} (<u>E</u>). Hence f^{-1} (<u>E</u>) is an intuitionistic fuzzy basefilter on X.

Definition 3.8:Let(X, $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$) and (Y, $\underline{\mathrm{E}}$) be two intuitionistic fuzzyfilters, then a function $f:(X,\underline{\mathfrak{F}}) \rightarrow$ (Y, $\underline{\mathrm{E}}$) is called intuitionistic fuzzy filter continuous w.r.t ($\mathfrak{F}, \underline{\mathrm{E}}$) if

 $f^{-1}(\underline{G}) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for every $\underline{G} \in \underline{E}$.

Proposition 3.9: (i) I f $f:(X,\underline{\mathfrak{F}}) \to (Y, \underline{E})$ and $g:(Y, \underline{E}) \to (Z, \underline{\mathcal{H}})$ are two intuitionistic fuzzy filters continuous functions, then the composition *gof* :($X,\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to (Z, \underline{\mathcal{H}})$ is also intuitionistic fuzzy filter continuous.

If $f:(X,\underline{\mathfrak{F}}) \to (X,\underline{\mathfrak{F}})$ is an identity function, then f is intuitionistic fuzzy filters continuous.

I f $f:(X,\underline{\mathfrak{F}})\to(Y, \underline{\mathbf{E}})$ is intuitionistic fuzzy filters continuous function, and $Z \subseteq X$ such that $\mathfrak{F} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$

≠ $\underline{0}$ for any $\underline{F} \in \underline{\mathfrak{G}}$. Then the restriction $f \setminus \mathbb{Z}$:(Z, $\underline{\mathfrak{G}}$ \Z) →(Y, \underline{E}) is also intuitionistic fuzzy filter continuous.

Proof:

Straightforward.

4. Convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy filters in syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space

Proposition 4.1: Consider a syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space $[X, \underline{S}]$ and $\operatorname{IFpx}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ of X. Then the family $\underline{B}_{S_{(x(\alpha,\beta))}} = \{\underline{B} \in \Pi^X : x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \underline{B} \text{ for a suitable } \prec \prec \in \underline{S} \}$ forms an intuitionistic fuzzy filter on X.

(i) If $\underline{B} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$, then there exist $\prec \prec \in \underline{S}$ such

that $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec B$ which implies that $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{B}_{i,e} \underline{B} \neq \underline{0}$.

- (ii) Let $\underline{B}, \underline{B} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$, then there exist $\prec \prec$, $\prec \prec \in \underline{S}$ such that $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \underline{B}$ and $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ $\prec \prec \cdot \underline{B}$. Since \underline{S} is a syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy structure, then there exists $\prec \prec \cdots \in \underline{S}$ finer than $\prec \prec$ and $\prec \prec \cdot$. Thus $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \cdots \underline{B}$ and $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \cdots \underline{B}$, which implies that $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \cdots \underline{B} \cap \underline{B}$. Hence \underline{B} $\cap \underline{B} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$.
- (iii) Let $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B}$ and $\underline{A} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$, then there exists $\prec \prec \in \underline{S}$ such that $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B}$ which implies that $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \underline{B}$ i. $e \underline{B} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$.
- Hence $\underline{B}_{S_{(X(\alpha,\beta))}}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy filter in X.

Definition 4.2: Let $[X, \underline{S}]$ be any syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space, and $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ be any IFp of

X. Then the intuitionistic fuzzy filter given in the previous proposition is called the intuitionistic fuzzy

filter of neighborhood of $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in \underline{S} .

Definition 4.3: Let \underline{S} be a syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzystructure on X, and let $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy filter in X. We call \mathfrak{F} converges

to an $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in \underline{S} , denoted by $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ iff $\underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$

 $\subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$. Also we call $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ the intuitionistic fuzzy limit point of the intuitionistic fuzzy filter \mathfrak{F} .

In more general manner we give the following definition.

Definition 4.4: An IFpx ${}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in a syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzystructure \underline{S} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy cluster point of an intuitionistic fuzzy filter $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ iff $\underline{B} \cap \underline{F} \neq \underline{0}$ for every $\underline{B} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$ and $\underline{F} \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Corollary 4.5:From the above definition it follows that any intuitionistic fuzzy limit point of an intuitionistic fuzzy filter is an intuitionistic fuzzy cluster point of it.

Theorem 4.6: An IFpx ${}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in a syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space $[X, \underline{S}]$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy cluster point of an intuitionistic fuzzy filter $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ iff there exists an intuitionistic fuzzy filter $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ in \underline{S} which consists $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ and converges tox ${}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in \underline{S} . **Proof:**

Let $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy cluster point of the intuitionistic fuzzy filter $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ in a syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space $[\mathbf{X}, \underline{S}]$, then $\underline{B} \cap \underline{F} \neq \underline{0}$ for every $\underline{B} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$ and $\underline{F} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$, this follows that $\underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))} \cap \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy filter. Since $\underline{B} \supseteq \underline{B} \cap \underline{F}$ and $\underline{F} \supseteq \underline{B} \cap \underline{F}$ for every $\underline{B} \in$ $\underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$ and $\underline{F} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$. Also $\underline{B} \cap \underline{F} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))} \cap$ $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then $\underline{B}, \underline{F} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))} \cap \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ for every $\underline{B} \in$ $\underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$ and $\underline{F} \in \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$. It follows that $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}, \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))} \subseteq$ $\underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))} \cap \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$. Hence $\underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))} \cap \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ is an is an intuitionistic fuzzy filter includes $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ and converges $\operatorname{tox}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in \underline{S} . Conversely, Let $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ ` be an intuitionistic fuzzy filter

includes $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ and converges tox ${}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in \underline{S} . Then $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ ` includes $\underline{B}_{\mathcal{S}_{(x(\alpha,\beta))}}$. Also for every \underline{F} ` $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ ` and $\underline{B} \in \underline{B}_{\mathcal{S}_{(x(\alpha,\beta))}}$ imply \underline{B} , \underline{F} ` $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$, then

 $\underline{B} \cap \underline{F} : \neq \underline{0}$. Hence $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy cluster point of $\underline{\mathfrak{B}}$.

Corollary 4.7: Let $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ ' be two intuitionistic fuzzy filters in a syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space $[X, \underline{S}]$ and $x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ be an IFpin \underline{S} . Then : (i) $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \cong \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to x^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S}) \Longrightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to x^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S})$. (ii) $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to x^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S})$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to x^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S}) \Longrightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \bigcup \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ $\to x^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S})$.

Proof: (i) If $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to \mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S})$, then $\underline{B}_{S_{(x(\alpha,\beta))}} \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ `. Consequently $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ ` $\to \mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S})$.

(ii)
$$\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to \mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S}) \Rightarrow \underline{B}_{S_{(x(\alpha,\beta))}} \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{F}}, \text{ and } \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to \mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S}) \Rightarrow \underline{B}_{S_{(x(\alpha,\beta))}} \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{F}}, \text{ which}$$

imply $\underline{B}_{S_{(x(\alpha,\beta))}} \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \cup \underline{\mathfrak{F}}$. Hence $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} \cup \underline{\mathfrak{F}} \to \mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} (\underline{S}).$

Theorem 4.8: A syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space $[X, \underline{S}]$ is (T_2) - space iff there is no intuitionistic fuzzy filter $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$ which converges to two distinct IFps of $[X, \underline{S}]$. **Proof**:

Suppose that $[X, \underline{S}]$ is (T^{\flat}) - space, and $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy filter $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$ which converges to two distinct IFpsx^(α,β), y^(γ,δ). Then $\underline{B}_{S_{(x(\alpha,\beta))}} \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{S}}$ and $\underline{B}_{S_{(y(\gamma,\delta))}} \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{S}}$ which implies $\underline{B}_{(\alpha,\beta)} \cap \underline{B}_{(\gamma,\delta)} \neq \underline{0}$ for every $\underline{B}_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{B}_{S_{(x(\alpha,\beta))}}, \underline{B}_{(\gamma,\delta)} \in \underline{B}_{S_{(y(\gamma,\delta))}}$. Consequently for every $\prec \prec, \prec \prec \in \underline{S}$ and for every IFSs $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ with $x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \prec \underline{A}, y^{(\gamma,\delta)} \prec \prec$ \underline{B} and $\underline{A} \cap \underline{B} \neq \underline{0}$ which contradict that $[X, \underline{S}]$ is (T^{\flat}) - space. Conversely;

Suppose that there is no intuitionistic fuzzy filter $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ which converges to two distinct IFps of [X, \underline{S}]. Let $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ be two IFps in X. For every $\underline{B}_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$, $\underline{B}_{(\gamma,\delta)} \in \underline{B}_{S(y(\gamma,\delta))}$ such that $\underline{B}_{(\alpha,\beta)} \cap \underline{B}_{(\gamma,\delta)} \neq \underline{0}$ this implies the existence of intuitionistic fuzzy filter $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}^{*} = \underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))} \cap \underline{B}_{S(y(\gamma,\delta))}$ includes $\underline{B}_{S(x(\alpha,\beta))}$ and $\underline{B}_{S(y(\gamma,\delta))}$ and converges to x ${}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ which contradicts the hypothesis. So $\prec \prec, \prec \prec \in \underline{S}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \prec \underline{0}_{(\Box,\Box)}, \mathbf{y}^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ $\prec \prec \underline{0}_{(\Box,\Box)}$ and $\underline{0}_{(\Box,\Box)} \cap \underline{0}_{(\Box,\Box)} = \underline{0}$. Hence [X, \underline{S}] is (T^{λ}) - space.

Corollary 4.9: If $[X, \frac{S}{2}]$ is syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space, such that there is no

intuitionistic fuzzy filter \square which have two distinct

IF cluster points. Then $[X, \underline{S}]$ is (T_2) - space. **Proof**:

Since ever IF limit point for an intuitionistic fuzzy filter is an IFcluster point of it. Then theorem 4.8 yield the proof.

Now we will define a kind of intuitionistic fuzzy filters in the syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy spaces which characterized by uniqueness of limit in

the (I_2) syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space.

Definition 4.10: We call an intuitionistic fuzzy filter(intuitionistic fuzzy filter base) \square a quasi-E = E = E = E = E

coincident iff $\underline{F} \not q \underline{F}$, for every $\underline{F}, \underline{F} \cdot \underline{c}$. **Definition 4.11:** For every intuitionistic fuzzy filter

(intuitionistic fuzzy filter base) the quasi-coincident part of \square is the maximal one of he set of quasicoincidentintuitionistic fuzzy filter (intuitionistic fuzzy filter base) which contained in \square .

Theorem 4.12:In anysyntopogenous intuitionistic

fuzzy space [X, \underline{S}], the intuitionistic fuzzy filter of neighborhoods $\underline{\Box}_{(\Box(\Box,\Box))}$ is quasi-coincident if the values $\Box \ge \Box > l/2$.

Proof:

Straightforward.

Corollary 4.13: Every convergent filter in any

syntopogenous intuitionistic fuzzy space $[X, \frac{S}{2}]$ contains a quasi-coincident part if its IF limit point x

 (α,β) satisfying $\Box \ge \Box > l/2$.

Proof:

Straightforward.

Reference

- 1. Atanassov K., "Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets", VII ITKRs Session, Deposed in General Sci-Techn. Library of Sci. (Sofia, June 1983) 1684-1697).
- 2. Atanassov K,"Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets", Fuzzy set and system, 20(1) (1986) 87-96.
- 3. Atanassov K, "More on intutionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy sets and systems, 33(1) (1989) 37-46.
- 4. Atanassov K., "New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy sets and systems, 61(2) (1994)137-142.

11/12/2013

- 5. Buhaesca T., "On the convexity of intutionistic fuzzy sets", Itinerat seminar on Functional Equations (cluj- Napoca, 1988)137-144.
- 6. Buhaesca T., "Some observation on intutionistic fuzzy sets", Itinerat seminar on Functional Equations (cluj- Napoca, 1989).
- 7. Csaszar A.," Foundation Of General Topology", pergamon, Elmsford, N. Y.,1963.
- Katasaras A. K. and G. G. Petalas, "A unified Theory Of Fuzzy Topologies, Fuzzy Proximities, And Fuzzy Uniformities". Rev. Roumaine Math. Purse., Tome XXXVIII, No. 9, P. 845-856, Bucarest, 1983.
- Katasaras A. K. and G. G. Petalas, "On Fuzzy Syntopogenous Structures". Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, vol. 99, P. 219-236, March 1984.
- Kandil A.,O. Tantawy, M. M. Yakout and S. Wasbi, "On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Separation Axioms", Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences" an International Journal. 5(3)(2011) 274-292.
- Kandil A.,O. Tantawy and Z. Abueldahb, "On intuitionistic fuzzy syntopogenous structures", J. Egypt Math. Soc. 16(2)(2008)255-280.
- 12. Mondal T. K., S.K. Samanta, "Generlizedintuitionistic fuzzy sets ", J. Fuzzy Math. 10 (2002) 839-861.
- 13. Park J.H and J. K. Park, "Hausdorffness on generalized intuitionistic fuzzy filters)", Information science (2009).
- 14. Tantawy O., "On Fuzzy Syntopogenous Structures", Ph.D thesis submitted to the facility of science Zagazig university (1988).
- 15. Tantawy O., "Extension of fuzzy syntopogenous spaces", J. Fuzzy Math., 13(2005) 1- 13.
- 16. Tantawy O. F. M. Sleim and Z. Abueldahb, "Separation axioms on syntopogenousintuitionistic fuzzy structures", Submitted to public.
- 17. Tantawy O. F. M. Sleim and Z. Abueldahb, "Convergence of fuzzy filters in fuzzy syntopogenous structures", Submitted to public.
- 18. Zadeh L. A., "Fuzzy sets)", Information control, 8(1965)338-353.