Biodiversity in Relation To Water Quality at Different Sites along the Red Sea Coast of Jeddah, KSA

Haggag A. Mohamed ^{1,2*}; Yassin M. Al-Sodany ^{1,3}; Mohammed Y. Shobrak ¹; Khaleid F. Abd El-Wakeil ^{1,4} and Said A. Kamel ^{1,5}

¹ Biology Department, Faculty of Science, Taif University, Saudi Arabia
 ² Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Cario University, Egypt
 ³ Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Kafr El-Sheikh University, Egypt
 ⁴ Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut university, Egypt
 ⁵ national institute of Oceanography, Egypt
 * haggag 2006 ali@yahoo.com

Abstract: The present investigation aims to study the abundance and distribution of some flora and fauna, from intertidal and shallow subtidal waters in four different sites along the Red Sea coast of Jeddah, KSA Thirty one species were collected during this study. Mean values of density and relative abundance for the collected species were tabulated. The data declared that site 1 is characterized by a noticeable higher total number of individuals with high values of species richness and Shannon diversity in comparison to other sites. *Sargassum* sp. shows the highest percentages of all collected species in site 1 while *Balanus* sp. is the highest of fauna. In site 4 which is the more polluted site, *Mytilus galloprovincialia* is the more dominant species, this may indicate that this species could be considered as a bioindicator for pollution and other human activities.

[Haggag A. Mohamed; Yassin M. Al-Sodany; Mohammed Y. Shobrak; Khaleid F. Abd El-Wakeil and Said A. Kamel. Biodiversity in Relation To Water Quality at Different Sites along the Red Sea Coast of Jeddah, KSA. *Life Sci. J.* 2013; 10(3):2253-2260]. (ISSN: 1097-8135). <u>http://www.lifesciencesite.com</u>. 232

Key words: Biodiversity, flora, fauna, water quality, Red Sea, Jeddah, Bioindicator

1. Introduction

The Red Sea is blessed with natural beauty and outstanding biological diversity. Coral reefs, mangrove forests, sea grass beds, salt marshes are distributed throughout the region. These unique habitats support a diverse range of marine life. Coral reefs are mainly distributed along the northern and central coasts and decrease in abundance towards the southern region as the water becomes more turbid. The most extensive areas of coral reefs are found along the Saudi coast, with over 194 recorded coral species. While mangrove forests are scattered along much of the Red Sea coast, the main concentration is in the southern region, due to the soft bottomed substrate. Eleven species of sea grass are widespread in the Red Sea, but are most common in the lagoons and embayment of the southern region (UNEP, 1997; PERSGA, 1998, 2000).

Although, The Red Sea region has remained relatively free of pollution, the environment is currently under increasing threat from a wide range of human activities (Garay-Narváez *et al.*, 2013). Also, in contrast to other regional seas around the world where most pollution comes from land-based activities, marine-based activities such as shipping and oil exploitation are becoming a significant source of marine pollution in the Red Sea. Industrial effluents, in the form of thermal pollution from power and desalination plants, hypersaline brine water from desalination plants, particulate matter and mineral dust from fertilizer and cement factories, and chemicals, and organic wastes from food processing factories have contributed to the degradation of water quality in the Red Sea ((NPA, 2003).

Natural habitats, such as coral reefs, mangroves and sea grass beds, have been physically altered and destroyed through dredging and land filling operations associated with urban expansion, industrial development and tourism, especially in Saudi Arabia, Egypt and Yemen (Wilkinson, 2008).

In the 1970's, the Arab League Educational, Cultural and Scientific Organization (ALECSO) brought together the countries bordering the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden to discuss shared marine environmental issues. The outcome of these meetings culminated in the signing of an international agreement in 1982, the Jeddah Convention, and formally entitled the "Regional Convention for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Environment". This agreement focuses on the prevention, reduction and fight against pollution, and is significant because it provides the first regional legal framework for cooperation in marine issues between the member countries. Subsequently, an official intergovernmental organization known as the "Regional Organization for the Conservation of the Environment of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden (PERSGA)" was established in 1995 as an implementation body of the Jeddah Convention and is dedicated to the conservation of the coastal and marine environment. Since then PERSGA has prepared a Strategic Action Plan (SAP) for the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden, which was implemented as a major new environmental initiative in 1998 through cooperation from the Global Environment Facility and other international organizations.

Marine waters in general and coastal areas in particular suffer globally from stressing events. These events can be largely attributed to higher levels of human-induced eutrophication, contamination by pollutant, and siltation (Desrosiers et al., 2013). The features that make the Red Sea so valuable ecologically and economically are also leading to its demise through the demands of oil exploration shipping and tourism. The resulting loss of biodiversity and marine pollution is not affecting the quality of the red sea itself, but also the well-being of people in the seven nations who claim it shores. The increase of our interest in environment care, make it be worthy to mention that diversity of flora and fauna are also of great help from this aspect, because some of them can be used as indicator of environmental health.

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in using biological indicators such as plants for monitoring soil, air and water pollution (Pyatt, 1999; Peng *et al.*, 2008; Bonanno and Giudice, 2010; Ruiz and Velasco, 2010, Al-Yemni *et al.*, 2011 and Eid *et al.*, 2012). Some aquatic plants such as *Eichhornia crassipes* (C. Mart.) Solms, *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud., *Potamogeton pectinatus* L. and *Typha domingensis* (Pers.) Poir. ex Steud. have been used to indicate, monitor and purify water pollution (Wolverton and McDonald, 1978; Peng *et al.*, 2008; Bonanno and Giudice, 2010; Abdel-Ghani *et al.*, 2009; Eid *et al.*, 2010, 2012a&b).

On the other hand, many fauna are used as pollution bioindicators. For example, Dunbar et al. (2003) reported that Lyla and Ajmal Khan (1996) used the estuarine hermit crab, Clibanarius longitarsus (De Hann) as an indicator of changes in heavy metals (iron and manganese) in the Vellar estuary, India. Benthic invertebrates are used frequently as bioindicators of marine monitoring, because they respond relatively rapidly to stress (Pearson and Rosenberg, 1978; Dauer, 1993; Borja et al., 2000 and Sharma et al., 2010). Cheraghi et al. (2013), illustrated that bivalves considered as good biomonitor agents for heavy metal monitoring in aquatic ecosystems (Elfwing & Tedengreen, 2002; Yap et al., 2003; Zelika et al., 2003; Nicholson et al., 2005; Zorita et al., 2006; Vlahogianni et al., 2007; Maanan, 2008).

The coastal regions present a wide variety of habitats, including: muddy, sandy, boulder and rocky shores and mangrove forests. These types of habitats provide a variety of ecological niches for the biodiversity. Therefore, the present work aims to study the biodiversity of Jeddah sector of the Red Sea in terms of flora and fauna marine species composition inhabitant intertidal and shallow subtidal waters in four different sites.

2.Material and Methods Sites of collections:

The present research was carried out in the city of Jeddah which is located on the west coast of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (latitude 21°32′36″N & longitude 39°10′22″E), in the middle of the eastern shore of the Red Sea south of the Tropic of Cancer. Four sites were be established along the Red Sea shore at Jeddah region to study the biodiversity in relation to water quality (Fig. 1).

Site 1:

This site located for about 25 km south to Jeddah Islamic Port. The area is located under the influence of organic and inorganic pollution of the port because of the main direction of the sea current from the north to the south. The area is flat plateau of fine sand extends for about 50 meters towards the sea, followed by a rocky reef with a very gentle sloop with small swamps forming the intertidal zoon. On the sandy shore a young and healthy mangrove trees about one meter tall (*Avicennia marina*).

Site 2:

This site is close to Jeddah Islamic Port (about 5 km far from the port). It is located on the eastern side of a semiclosed lake at the end of Palestine st. The islands in front of this station forming a barrier between the main land and the main water current from the north. Because of that, the surface water moves around the southern part of these islands to inter the lake from the south carrying some of the wastes of the port. Thus the water in this station have a dirty green color which reflect the heavy load of organic matter. Therefore, this site is suffering from pollution because of its location closed to Jeddah Islamic port.

Site 3:

This site is far to the north from the port. Most probably this area is a clean and clear, it is facing the open sea, receiving fresh waves and water all the time. The shore is forming of coarse sand, gravels of different sizes and blocks of cement for protection of shore from wave action. Behind the shore line there are several inland lakes of different sizes.

Site 4:

This site is called Sharm Abhor and is located north of Jeddah. This site is suffering from a lot of human activities such as shipping, digging turbidity and land filling etc. Filed observation declared bleaching of corals which indicate that this site is the most polluted site.

Sampling:

Random quantitative samples (meter square) were collected from different localities of each study sites (Fig. 1). Each site was divided into five definite localities. Macro flora and fauna were located visually, counted and some specimens collected by hand for identification. During the sampling water pH, conductivity and total dissolved salts (TDS) were measured. The collected specimens were preserved in 10% sea water formalin and kept in glass bottles. until they were examined for identification purposes. Water samples were taken for water quality parameters analysis.

In laboratory, specimens were examined under a binocular microscope. Several published papers and keys were used to identify the collected species. Some water quality parameters were measured with the unit of mg/liter: concentrations of Cd, Se, As, Ag, Zn, Cu, Ca, Mg and K.

Species richness of the collected communities were calculated. Shannon wiener diversity index (H) was calculated to show the biodiversity within the collected communities by using shannon-wiener equation $H = -\sum pi$ (lnpi), where **pi** is the proportion of individuals belonging to the ith species. Analysis of Variance on SPSS software package (version 17) (SYSTAT statistical program) was used to test the present data. The program Canoco for windows 4.5 was used for canonical corresponded analysis (CCA) as a unimodal method to analyze the response of the benthic community composition to environmental variables.

3.Results and Discussion

In the present study, several variables have been estimated in the four different sites. Such variables include water analysis factors and biological variables that include the flora and macro fauna (Plant, algae, birds, fish and macro-invertebrate) and community structure of the study sites. Regarding the evaluated ecological factors, the collected data show considerable variation in water quality parameters (Table 1). Site 2 and site 4 show a slightly higher pH that may indicate that flora and fauna inhabiting these sites should be able to tolerate the alkaline environment. The conductivity is relatively high in sites 1, 3 while total dissolved salts was higher in sites 1 & 2. Regarding the concentration of studied metals site 3 shows the highest concentration for Cd, Se, As, Ag, Zn, Cu and Mg but the concentrations of Ca and K in this site were the lowest values.

These variations between study sites may be due to pollution and human impact since these sites suffer from anthropogenic impact. Groga *et al.* (2012) concluded that the high conductivity observed during their study cannot be explained by the granite type rocky substratum (Leblond, 1984) and must, therefore, be attributed to inputs linked to human activities, such as untreated waste water (Helena, et al., 2000). Garay-Narváez et al. (2013), mentioned that Human activities may produce serious disturbances to ecosystems on earth, including habitat destruction and fragmentation, climate change, overexploitation of natural resources, invasion of exotic species and pollution. These disturbances threaten natural communities, driving the loss of species and their interactions (Primack, 2008). They illustrated that research on the effects that species richness and interactions have on the stability of ecological communities is of increasing interest (McCann, 2007, Bascompte, 2009). However, the functional consequences of these losses are still debated and not well understood (Stouffer and Bascompte, 2011, McCann, 2012, Rooney and McCann, 2012).

Thirty one species were collected during this study. Mean values of density (Indv/m²) and relative abundance (%) for the collected species at the investigated sites are shown in Table (2). It is clear that site 1 is characterized by a noticeable higher total number of individuals representing different flora and fauna groups in comparison to other sites. Different flora and fauna groups collected from the four studied sites show different densities. In site 1 Sargassum sp. show the highest percentages of all collected species while Balanus sp. is the highest fauna. The situation is completely different for other sites. They are characterized by the dominance of filament algae. In case of fauna species, Narita spp. is the dominate species in site 3 while *Mytilus galloprovincialia* is the dominate species in site 4. It could be concluded that the high dominate density of *M. galloprovincialia* at the most polluted site (site 4) may indicate that this species could be considered as a bioindictor for pollution and other human activities. The same conclusion was also reported by Sureda et al. (2012).

Figure (2) summarize the collected species richness and Shannon diversity at the studied sites. It shows differences among sites in these variables. In case of total collected species and flora species, site1 shows the high values of species richness and Shannon diversity. Whereas in case of fauna species site 3 have the high values of species richness and Shannon diversity. These differences of the community structure between the four study sites could be explained by the varied habitats of the four sites. It seems that the biodiversity of study sites communities were enhanced as pollutant stress. Garay-Narváez et al. (2013), found that the positive effect of complexity on stability of community was enhanced as pollutant stress increased. Additionally they showed that the number of basal species and the

maximum trophic level shape the complexity stability relationship in polluted systems, and that in degree of consumers determines species extinction in polluted environments. Their study indicates that the form of biodiversity and the complexity of interaction networks are essential to understand the effects of pollution and other ecosystem threats.

The results of canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) ordination was performed on the recorded flora and fauna species and the corresponding studied environmental variables (water characters) for the collected samples from the four studied sites. Diagram of canonical correspondence analyses of flora species

are shown in Figure (3). The results of CCA reveal that the flora species mostly related to water TDS, conductivity and pH followed by Mg, As, Ca, Zn and K concentrations. The rest of metal concentrations have relatively small effects in flora. Diagram of canonical correspondence analyses of fauna species are shown in Figure (4). The results of CCA reveal that the fauna composition mostly related to metal concentrations of water. While TDS, conductivity and pH has relatively small effects on fauna. The impact of metals on the aquatic biodiversity was confirmed as important factors regulatory the community structure by Zyadah *et al.* (2004).

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				
Conductivity (ms) 159.9 ± 1.75 157.4 ± 2 158.1 ± 0.35 154.4 ± 1.5 6.62 0.015 TDS (mg/l) 96.2 ± 1.6 94.4 ± 1.45 92.2 ± 1.2 92.8 ± 0.35 6.13 0.018 Cd (mg/l) 0 0 0.001 ± 0.0006 0 16.00 0.001 Se (mg/l) 0.001 ± 0 0 0.005 0.019 ± 0.002 21.47 <0.001 As (mg/l) 0 0 0 0.055 0.019 ± 0.001 ± 0 58.29 <0.001 Ag (mg/l) 0 0 0 0.381 ± 0.01 0.01 ± 0 4217.84 <0.001 Zn (mg/l) 0 0 0 0.382 ± 0.007 0 8418.69 <0.001 Zn (mg/l) 300.4 ±				
TDS (mg/l) 96.2 ± 1.6 94.4 ± 1.45 92.2 ± 1.2 92.8 ± 0.35 6.13 0.018 Cd (mg/l) 0 0 0 0.001 ± 0.0006 0 16.00 0.001 Se (mg/l) 0.001 ± 0 0 0.008 ± 0.0015 0.001 ± 0 58.29 <0.001 As (mg/l) 0.002 ± 0.0006 0.011 ± 0.005 0.019 ± 0.003 0.004 ± 0.002 21.47 <0.001 Ag (mg/l) 0 0 0 0.381 ± 0.01 0.01 ± 0 4217.84 <0.001 Zn (mg/l) 0 0 0 0.382 ± 0.007 0 8418.69 <0.001 Zn (mg/l) 0 0 0 0.382 ± 0.007 0 8418.69 <0.001 Zn (mg/l) 300.4 ± 20.65 266.7 ± 12.53 221.7 ± 17.32 311<				
Cd (mg/l) 0 0 0 0.001 \pm 0.0006 0 16.00 0.001 Se (mg/l) 0.001 \pm 0 0 0.008 \pm 0.001 \pm 0 58.29 <0.001				
Se (mg/l) 0.001 \pm 0 0 0.008 \pm 0.0015 0.001 \pm 0 58.29 <0.001 As (mg/l) 0.002 \pm 0.0006 0.011 \pm 0.005 0.019 \pm 0.003 0.004 \pm 0.002 21.47 <0.001				
As (mg/l) 0.002 \pm 0.006 0.011 \pm 0.005 0.019 \pm 0.003 0.004 \pm 0.002 21.47 <0.001 Ag (mg/l) 0 0 0.381 \pm 0.01 0.01 \pm 0 4217.84 <0.001 Zn (mg/l) 0 0 0.063 \pm 0.003 0.03 \pm 0 1726.32 <0.001 Cu (mg/l) 0 0 0.382 \pm 0.007 0 8418.69 <0.001 Ca (mg/l) 300.4 \pm 20.65 266.7 \pm 12.53 221.7 \pm 17.32 311 \pm 7.015 20.79 <0.001 Mg (mg/l) 424.1 \pm 44.09 342.1 \pm 18.68 490.4 \pm 14.67 521.4 \pm 11.14 28.74 <0.001 K (mg/l) 629.6 \pm 43.59 648.7 \pm 8.83 383.7 \pm 12.17 549.5 \pm				
Ag (mg/l) 0 0 0.381 ± 0.01 0.01 ± 0 4217.84 <0.001 Zn (mg/l) 0 0 0.063 ± 0.01 0.01 ± 0 4217.84 <0.001 Cu (mg/l) 0 0 0.063 ± 0.003 0.03 ± 0 1726.32 <0.001 Cu (mg/l) 0 0 0.382 ± 0.007 0 8418.69 <0.001 Ca (mg/l) 300.4 ± 20.65 266.7 ± 12.53 221.7 ± 17.32 311 ± 7.015 20.79 <0.001 Mg (mg/l) 424.1 ± 44.09 342.1 ± 18.68 490.4 ± 14.67 521.4 ± 11.14 28.74 <0.001 K (mg/l) 629.6 ± 43.59 648.7 ± 8.83 383.7 ± 12.17 549.5 ± 27.25 60.94 <0.001 Table 2: Mean values of density (Indv/m²) and relative abundance (%) for the collected species at investigated sites. </td				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
Cu (mg/l) 0 0 0.382 \pm 0.007 0 8418.69 <0.001 Ca (mg/l) 300.4 \pm 20.65 266.7 \pm 12.53 221.7 \pm 17.32 311 \pm 7.015 20.79 <0.001 Mg (mg/l) 424.1 \pm 44.09 342.1 \pm 18.68 490.4 \pm 14.67 521.4 \pm 11.14 28.74 <0.001 K (mg/l) 629.6 \pm 43.59 648.7 \pm 8.83 383.7 \pm 12.17 549.5 \pm 27.25 60.94 <0.001 Table 2: Mean values of density (Indv/m ²) and relative abundance (%) for the collected species at investigated sites. Species Site 1 Site 2 Site 3 Site 4 Indv/m ² % Indv/m ² % Indv/m ² % Avicennia marina 2 0.49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				
K (mg/l) 629.6 \pm 43.59 648.7 \pm 8.83 383.7 \pm 12.17 549.5 \pm 27.25 60.94 <0.001 Table 2: Mean values of density (Indv/m ²) and relative abundance (%) for the collected species at investigated sites. Species Site 1 Site 2 Site 4 Avicennia marina Q 0 O O <th colspan="4" o<="" t<="" td=""></th>				
Table 2: Mean values of density (Indv/m ²) and relative abundance (%) for the collected species at investigated sites. Species Site 1 Site 2 Site 3 Site 4 Avicennia marina Q 0.49 O <th c<="" td=""></th>				
Species Site 1 Site 2 Site 3 Site 4 Indv/m ² % Indv/m ² % Indv/m ² % Avicennia marina 2 0.49 0 0 0 0 0 0				
Indv/m ² % Indv/m ² % <th< td=""></th<>				
Avicennia marina2 0.49 000000				
$R_{bizophora}$ mucronata 1 0.24 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Ormsp. 0 0 750 11.70 0				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Formula gularis 2 0.49 0 0 0 0 3 0.32				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Himatopus 1 0.24 2 0.19 0				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Himationus 15 3.65 15 1.39 0				
Initiatiopus 15 5.05 15 1.57 0 0 0 Farenta gularis 3 0.73 2 0.19 0 0 0				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Subplies pistillata 0 0 0 0 2 0.46 0 0				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Original alloprimetation 12 2.92 0 0 0 2 0.10 0 <t< td=""></t<>				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
10 2.13 3 0.40 10 2.20 21 2.23 Conus sp 2 0.49 0 0 0 0 0 0				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Vermatidae species 0 0 0 0 1 0.73 0 0				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$Holdmingerings curiosa \qquad 0 \qquad $				
Heterocentrous control 0 0 0 0 0 1 0.75 0 0				



Fig. 1: A map showing sites of collection. Satellite image showing the selected sites along the Red Sea shore at Jeddah region.



Fig. 2: Species richness (S) and Shannon-Wiener's index of general diversity (H) of (A) all collected samples, (B) plant samples and (C) animal samples.



Fig. 3: Ordination diagrams of canonical correspondence analyses (CCA) of flora abundance data (9 species) and corresponding water quality parameters sampled form study sites.



Fig. 4: Ordination diagrams of canonical correspondence analyses (CCA) of fauna abundance data (15 species) and corresponding water quality parameters sampled form study sites.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant (contact No. 670-433-2) sponsored by Taif university, Saudi Arabia.

References

- Abdel-Ghani, N.T., Hegazy, A.K., El-Cheghaby, G.A. and Lima, E.C. (2009): "Factorial Experimental Design for Biosorption of Iron and Zinc Using Typha domingensis Phy-tomass," Desalination, Vol. 249, No. 1, pp. 343- 347.
- Al-Yemni, M.N., Sher, H., El-Sheikh, M. A. and Eid, E. M. (2011): "Bioaccumulation of Nutrient and Heavy Metals by Calotropis procera and Citrullus colocynthis and Their Potential Use as Contamination Indicators," Scientific Research and Essays, Vol. 6, No. 4, pp. 966-976.
- 3. Bascompte, J. 2009. Disentangling the web of life. Science 325: 416–419.
- 4. Bonanno, G and Giudice, R.L. (2010): "Heavy Metal Bioac-cumulation by the Organs of Phragmites australis (com-mon

reed) and Their Potential Use as Contamination In-dicators," Ecological Indicators, Vol. 10, 2010, No. 3, pp. 639-645.

- Borja, A., Franco J.and Perez, V. (2000): A Marine Biotic Index to Establish the Ecological Quality of Soft-Bottom Benthos Within European Estuarine and Coastal Environments. Marine Pollution Bulletin Vol. 40, No. 12, pp. 1100±1114.
- Cheraghi, M., Espergham, O., Nooriaee, M. H.and Khodabakhshi Lakorgani, S. (2013): Assessment of oyster Crassostrea gigas as Biomonitor Agent for Some Metals (Pb and Cu) from Musa Estuary Persian Gulf). Life Science Journal 2013;10(6s)
- 7. Dauer, D. M. (1993): Biological criteria, environmental health and estuarine macrobenthic community structure. Marine PollutionBulletin 26 (5), 249±257.
- Desrosiers, C., Leflaive, j., Eulin,A. and Ten-Hage, L. (2013): Bioindicators in marine waters: Benthic diatoms as a tool to assess water quality from eutrophic to oligotrophic coastal ecosystems. Ecological Indicators, 32, 25–34.
- Dunbar, S.G., Coates, M. and Kay, A. (2003): Marine hermit crabs as indicators of freshwater inundation on tropical shores. Memoirs of Museum Victoria, 60(1): 27-34.
- Eid, E. M., Shaltout, K. H., Al-Sodany, Y. M., Soetaert, K.and Jensen, K. (2010): "Modeling Growth, Carbon Allocation and Nutrient Budget of *Phragmites australis* in Lake Burullus, Egypt," Wetlands, Vol. 30, No. 2, pp. 240-251.
- Eid, E.M., El-Sheikh, M.A. and Alatar, A.A. (2012a): Uptake of Ag, Co and Ni by the Organs of Typha domingensis (Pers.) Poir. ex Steud. in Lake Burullus and Their Potential Use as Contamination Indicators. Open Journal of Modern Hydrology, 2, 21-27.
- 12. Eid, E.M., Shaltout, K.H. and Asaeda, T. (2012b): "Modeling growth dynamics of Typha domingensis (Pers.) Poir. ex Steud. in Lake Burullus, Egypt," Ecological Modelling.
- 13. Elfwing, T. and Tedengreen, M. (2002): The effects of Copper on the metabolism of three
- 14. species of tropical oysters, Saccostrea cucullata, Crassostrea lugubris and Crassostrea belcheri. Aquacul. 204,157-166.
- 15. Garay-Narváez, L., Arim, M., Flores, J.D. and Ramos-Jiliberto, R. (2013): The more polluted the environment, the more important biodiversity is for food web stability. Oikos 122: 1247–1253.

- Groga, N., Ouattara, A., Da Costa, S., Dauta, A., Beauchard, O., Moreau, J., Gourene, G., and Laffaille, P. (2012): Water quality and water-use conflicts in lake taabo (ivory coast). Open Journal of Ecology, 2, 38-47
- Helena, B., Pardo, R., Vega, M., Barrado, E., Fernandez, J.M. and Fernandez, L. (2000). Temporal evolution of groundwater composition in an alluvial aquifer (Pisuerga River, Spain) by principal component analysis. Water Research, 34, 807-816.
- Leblond, P. (1984) Contribution aux Études Hygéologi- ques en Côte d'Ivoire. Région de Yamoussoukro, Station Expérimentale de l'ENSTP. Université de Bordeaux 1, Bordeaux.
- 19. Lyla, P.S. and Ajmal khan, S. (1996): Heavy metals Iron and Manganese in the estuarine hermit crab *Clibanarius longitarsus* (De Hann) of Vellar Estuary. Journal of Ecotoxicology and Environmental Monitoring, 6(1): 21-28.
- 20. Maanan, M. (2008): Heavy metal concentrations in marine mollusks from the Moroccan coastal region. Environ Pollut 153,176-183.
- 21. McCann, K. 2007. Protecting biostructure. Nature 446: 29.
- 22. McCann, K. S. 2012. Food webs. Princeton Univ. Press.
- Nicholson, S. and Lam, P.K.S. (2005): Pollution monitoring in southeast Asia using biomarkers in the mytilid mussel *Perna viridis* (Mytilidae: bivalvia). Environ Intern. 31,121-132.
- 24. NPA; National Program of Action (2003): for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities within the Suez Area." NIOF.
- Pearson, T. and Rosenberg, R. (1978): Macrobenthic succession in relation to organic enrichment and pollution of the marine environment. Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Review 16, 229±311.
- 26. Peng, k.C., Lou, L.L, Li, X. and Shen, Z. (2008): "Bioac-cumulation of Heavy Metals by the Aquatic Plants Pota-mogeton pectinatus L. and Potamogeton malaianus Miq. and Their Potential Use for Contamination Indicators and in Wastewater Treatment," Science of The Total Environment, Vol. 392, No. 1, pp. 22-29.
- 27. PERSGA, 1998. Strategic Action Programme for the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden.

- 28. PERSGA, 2000. Status of the Living Marine Resources in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Region and their Management.
- 29. Primack, R. 2008. A primer of conservation biology, 4th edn. Sinauer.
- Pyatt, F. B. (1999): "Comparison of Foliar and Stem Bioaccumu-lation of Heavy Metals by Corsican pines in the Mount Olympus Area of Cyprus," Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, Vol. 42, No. 1, pp. 57-61.
- Rooney, N. and McCann, K. S. (2012). Integrating food web diversity,structure and stability. – Trends Ecol. Evol. 27: 40–46.
- Ruiz, M and Velasco, J. (2010): "Nutrient Bioaccumulation in Phragmites australis: Management Tool for Reduction of Pollution in the Mar Menor," Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, Vol. 205, No. 1-4, pp. 173-185.
- Sharma, S., Vibha J., Sushama K. and Singhvi, M.S. (2010): Biodiversity And Abundance Of Benthic Macroinvertebrates Commiunity Of Kishanpura Lake, Indore (M.P.) India. Researcher; 2(10):57-67.
- Stouffer, D. B. and Bascompte, J. 2011. Compartmentalization increases food-web persistence. – Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 108: 3648–3652.
- 35. Sureda, A., Box, A., Tejada, S., Blanco, A., Caixach, J. and Deudero, S. (2012): Biochemical responses of *Mytilus* galloprovincialis as biomarkers of acute environmental pollution caused by the Don Pedro oil spill (Eivissa Island, Spain). Aquatic Toxicology 101, 540–549.
- 36. UNEP, 1997. Assessment of Land-based Sources and Activities Affecting the Marine Environment in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. UNEP Regional Seas Reports and Studies No.166.

9/11/2013

- 37. Vlahogianni,M., Dassenakis, M., Scoullos, M.J. and Valavanidis, A. (2007) :Integrated use of biomarkers (superoxide dismutase, catalase and lipid peroxidation) in mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* for assessing heavy metals'pollution in coastal areas from the Saronikos Gulf of Greece, Mar Pollut Bullet. 54, no. 9:1361-1371.
- Wilkinson, Clive (ed.) 2008. Status of Coral Reefs of the World 2008. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Australia.
- Wolverton, B.C. and McDonald, R.C. (1978): "Bioaccumulation and Detection of Trace Levels of Cadmium in Aquatic Systems by Eichhornia crassipes," Environmental Health Perspectives, Vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 161-164.
- Yap C.K., Ismail, A., Tan, S.G. and Omar, H (2002): Correlations between speciation of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn in sediment and their concentrations in total soft tissue of greenlipped mussel Perna viridis from the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. Environ Intern. 28,117–128.
- 41. Zelika B, Lukic, S. and Pezelj, D. (2003): Biomonitoring of heavy metal and arsenic on the east coast of the middle Adriatic Sea using *Mytilus galloprivinsialos*. Mar Pollut Bullet. 56,176-183.
- 42. Zorita I, Zarragoitia, M., Soto, M and Gajaraville, P (2006): Biomarkers in mussels from a copper site gradient (Visnes, Norway) an integrated biochemical, histochemical and histological study. Aquat Toxic. 78,109-116.
- Zyadah, M. Ibrahim, M. and Madkour, A. (2004): Impact of environmental parameters on benthic invertebrates and zooplankton biodiversity of the eastern region of Delta coast at Damietta, Egypt. Egypt J. Aquat BioL & Fish., Vol 8 (4): 37-52.