Studying the Type of Astigmatism in Patients with Corneal Pterygium Referring to Ophthalmology Clinics of Northwestern Iran

Naser Samadi Aidenloo¹, Peyman Mikaili²*, Shiva Farajpour

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran. ² Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacology, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran <u>*Peyman_mikaili@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract: Pterygium is a prevalent ocular disease which is often asymptomatic but can cause photophobia and tearing and decrease vision through progression towards the visual axis or create astigmatism. Our aim in this study is to determine the type of astigmatism in patients suffering from corneal pterygium referring to Imam Hospital during the second six months of the year 2010. Methods: All patients referring to the ophthalmology clinics of the education-treatment center of Imam in Urmia were non-randomly entered into the plan and the rate and type of their astigmatism were studied. The patients' informationsuch as demographics, urban and rural, occupation, the amount and type of astigmatism was determined by Autorefra ctometer set (with-the-rule or against-the-rule astigmatism) and entered into the check-lists. Results: Among 100 studied patients, 55 patients (55%) were men and 45 (45%) were female.For 32 cases (32 %) right eyes, 38 (38%), left eyesand 30 cases (30 %), both eyes suffered from Pterygium. In comparison of the type of astigmatism between eyes with pterygium and healthy eyes, it was observed thatout of 130 eyes suffering from pterygium, 83 cases (63.8%) hadwith-the-rule astigmatism, 13 cases (10%) against-the-rule astigmatism and 34 cases (26.2%) hadobliqueastigmatism. Out of 67 healthy eyes, 30 cases (44.8%) werewith-the-rule astigmatism, 12 cases (17.9%) against-the-rule astigmatism and 25 ones (37.3%) obliqueastigmatism.Given the Chi-Square statistic test, there is a significant difference between the types of astigmatism of eyes with pterygium and healthy eyes (P=0.03). The average rate of astigmatism in 130 eyes with pterygium was -1.52 ± 1.32 diopters and -1.04 ± 1.41 diopters in 67 healthy eyes. According to the t-test, there is a significant statistical difference between the rate of astigmatism in eyes suffering from pterygium and that in the healthy eyes (P=0.01). Conclusions: Pterygium causes the creation of with-the-rule astigmatism and also has a great impact on the rateof astigmatism.

[Naser Samadi Aidenloo, Peyman Mikaili, Shiva Farajpour Studying the Type of Astigmatism in Patients with Corneal Pterygium Referring to Ophthalmology Clinics of Northwestern Iran. *Life Sci J* 2013;10(1):782-787] (ISSN:1097-8135). <u>http://www.lifesciencesite.com</u>. 123

Keywords: Types of astigmatism, Rate of astigmatism, Pterygium, Iran.

Introduction

Pterygium is aprevalentocular disease which expands as a highlight triangular mass of thickened bulbar conjunctiva on the cornea [Ojaghi H, 2005]. Pterygiumis the growth of Fibro vasculartissue originated from conjunctiva and progresses towards cornea and also UV rays and environmental factors such as heat, wind, dust and dry weather. Its prevalence is directly related to the proximity to the equator. Pterygium is often asymptomatic; however, it can cause photophobia and tearing and also reduce visibility through progression towards the visual axis or creat astigmatism [Mehrtash A, 2000].Feeling a foreign body in the eye, eye irritation- and itch, blurred vision, and in case of progression, limited field of visionare the signs of pterygium [Waller S Adamis A, 2001]. The above-mentioned disease is createddue tothe degeneration of collagen elasinand the appearance of subepithelial fibro vascular tissue in conjunctiva. Pterygiumon cornea also causes the destruction of Bowman's layer and inflammatory changes [Fraunfelder FRoy F 2000, Liesegang T et al.

2000]. Pterygium can cause to create astigmatism, limited field of vision and aesthetic problems [Ojaghi H 2005, Fraunfelder FRoy F 2000, Liesegang T et al. 2000]. Medical treatments must be performed and sun-rays exposure should possibly be limited until frequent and severe inflammation and irritation, involvement of the optic axis and aesthetic problems is not created [Waller Adamis A, 2001]. In case of the above symptoms and problems related to beauty and sometimes the need to use contact lenses, surgery is inevitable [Mehrtash A, 2000]. This damage is mostly occurred in the nasal and is more common in hot and sunnvareas in frequent contact with dry weather [Nikbin H et al., 2000].Due to exposure to sun-light, Pterygiumis a major public health problem in rural areas. Independent parameters in the incidence of pterygium such as older age, male and the history of out-door activities indicate the multi-factorial causes of pterygium [Shoja MBesharati M, 2004]. The progression of pterygiumdoes not follow a special rule; it may persist for months or years without any progression or may suddenly develop towards the

cornea within 2-3 months.It takes years for pterygium to reach the cornea axis.In a study conducted by Amsler et al, they observed that the pterygium created against-the-rule astigmatism of about 1.5 diopters [Amsler M, 1953]. Most active and progressive lesions are seen amongthe youth, and the most severe lesions are seen in farmers and construction workers [Nikbin H et al. 2000, King JWad S 1981].As it was mentioned above, one of the most common complications of pterygium is astigmatism. In the study by Sedaghat et al on 59 eyes of 44 patients in Mashhad, the axis of astigmatism was with-theruleone in more than two thirds of the patients [Sedaghat M et al., 2002]. In the study conducted by Shoja' M.R et al, independent risk factors for pterygium was age, male gender, rural residence and exposure to ultra-violet radiation. Pterygiumhas often created an asymmetric with-the-rule astigmatism. Pterygium which expanded more than 45% of the corneal curvaturecaused more than 3 dioptersastigmatism. There was a significant positive correlation between the amount of the progression of corneal pterygiumand created astigmatism [Shoja MBesharati M, 2004]. In the study by Mohammed Saleh and colleagues in Malavsia.the most common type of astigmatism in patients with pterygium was with-the-rule astigmatism, 49.4%, then against-therule, 36.4% and finally, oblique, 14.3%. There was a stronger correlation between pterygium size and the difference in corneal astigmatism in the group with pterygium compared with that in the control group. When pterygium extension becomes more than 2.2 mm, astigmatism will increase as 2 diopters [Mohammad-Salih PASharif AF, 2008].As it was mentioned above and more fully in the literature review, in the vast majority of textbooks, astigmatism of the pterygium are of against-the-rule type; and in most of the papers, they are of with-the-rule ones; however, clinical experience in Imam Hospital in Urmia suggests the higher rate of against-the-rule astigmatism. Therefore, the present study has been designed for anexact and documentaryinvestigationof the status of astigmatism in patients with pterygium in Imam Hospital in Urmia which is also the referral center for ophthalmology in the province so that in case this assumption be proved, a study be recommended and conducted in order to examine its causes and contributing factors. In new references, no references have been made foragainst- or with-the-rule types of astigmatism.

Materials and Methods

This descriptive-cross sectional study was conducted on patients with pterygium referring to the eye clinic of Imam Hospital, Urmia in 2010. All patients with pterygium who referred to Imam medical center during the year 2010 and were studied in the clinic, were Non-randomly entered into the plan and the type and amount of their astigmatism were examined. A list was designed by researchers beforehand that theresearcherscompleted it before coming to the clinic. The subjects' information such as demographic data, being urban or rural, occupation, the amount of astigmatism determined by refraction, the type of astigmatism (with- or against-the-rules) were entered into the lists. The collected data were entered into the SPSS statistical software ver16 and were statistically analyzed by descriptive statistics frequency, mean (including and standard deviation).To evaluate the correlation between pterygium and the type of astigmatism, the Chi-square test and/or Fisher's exact test were used. The questionnaireswere completed anonymously and the subjects' information remained with the researchers.

Results

The current study was conducted to determine the type of astigmatism in patients with corneal pterygium referring to the eye clinics of Imam Hospital, Urmia in 2010. Of 100 patients examinedin this study, 55 patients (55%) were male and 45 (45%) were female. The mean age of the overall patients was 55.40 ± 16.11 years (minimum of 22 years and maximum of 90 years).Of 100 examined patients, 9 patients (9%) were in the age group of less than or equal to 30 years, 28 patients (28%) in the 31-50 years age group, 44 patients (44%) in the 51-70 age group, and 19 patients (19%) were more than 70 years old. Out of 100 examined patients, 47 patients(47%) were urban and 53 (53%) were rural residents. Out of 100 examined patients, 38 patients (38%) were farmer, 34 patients (34%) were housewives, 10 patients (10%) employees, 9 patients (9%) self-employed, and 9 patients (9%) were workers. Of 100 studied patients. in 32 cases (32%), the right eyes, in 38 cases (38%), the left eye and in 30 cases (30%), both eyes suffered from pterygium. In this study, we collected patients who had pterygium in both eyes (30 cases in their right eyes and 30 ones in their left eyes) with patients who had only the right eye or the left eye with pterygium. This means that 130 eyes were obtained with pterygium (62 cases (47.69 %) in the right eye and 68 cases (52.31%) in the left eyes). Of 130 eyes with pterygium, the frequency of astigmatism was 83cases (63.8%) with-the-rules, 13 cases (10%) against-the-rule and 34 cases (62.2%) oblique. Of 62 patients with right-eve pterygium, 41 cases (66.1%) had with-the-ruleastigmatism, 6 ones (9.7%) had against-the-rule astigmatism and 15 cases (22.4%) had oblique astigmatism. Of 68 patients with left-eye cases (61.8%) had pterygium, 42 with-theruleastigmatism.

| Variable | | Total | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | With-the-Rules | Against-the-Rule | Oblique | |
| Right eye | (%66.1)41 | (%9.7)6 | (%24.2)15 | (%100)62 |
| Left eye | (%81.8)42 | (%10.3)7 | (%27.9)19 | (%100)68 |
| Total | (%63.8)83 | (%10)13 | (%26.2)34 | (%100)130 |
| | 100 80 60 40 20 0 | 9.7 10.3 With-the-rules against-the- | 24.2 27.9 oblique | □ Right eye ■ Left eye |

 Table 1: Distribution of relative and cumulative frequencies of the type of astigmatism in the studied population

Figure 1: Relative frequency distribution of astigmatism in the studied population The mean amount of astigmatismin 62 right eyes with pterygiumwas- 1.60 ± 1.33 diopters and in 68 left eyes with pterygium was- 1.45 ± 1.32 diopters. According to t-test, there were no significant differences between two eyes with the amount of their astigmatism (The overall mean of the amount of astigmatism in patients with pterygium was -1.52 diopters).

In this study, we examined 70 healthy eyes, 37 (9.52%) right eyes and 30 (9.42%) left eyes as control eyes. Of these 70 cases, 3 cases were not measurable in the examination (1 left eye due to blindness and 1 due to corneal opacities) and 1 case of the right eye was excluded from the study due to corneal transplants that finally, 67 samples were investigated as the control eyes. Of 37 healthy right eyes, the type of astigmatism in 15 cases (40.5%) was (10.3%) had against-the-rule astigmatism, and 19 cases (27.9%) had oblique astigmatism (Table1, Figure 1). With-the-rule one, in 7 cases (18.9%) against-the-rule and in 15 cases (40.5%) it was oblique astigmatism. Of 30 healthy left eyes, the type of astigmatism in 15 cases (50%) was with-the-rule ones, in 5 cases (16.7%) against-the-rule and in 10 cases (33.3%) the type of astigmatism was oblique. Given the Chi-square test, there were no significant differences between the type of astigmatism withhealthy eyes. (P=.73) (Table 2, Figure 2).

 Table 2: Distribution of relative and cumulative frequencies of the type of astigmatism in the studied population (healthy eyes)

| Variable |] | Total | | |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------|----------|
| | With-the-Rules | Against-the-Rule | Oblique | |
| Right eye | (%50.5)15 | (%18.9)7 | (%40.5)15 | (%100)37 |
| Left eye | (%50)15 | (%16.7)5 | (%33.3)10 | (%100)30 |
| Total | (%44.7)30 | (%17.9)12 | (%37.3)25 | (%100)67 |

 Table 3: Comparison of the type of astigmatismin eyes withpreygium and healthy eyes=0.03p

 Chi- square

| Variable | | Total | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | With-the-Rules | Against-the-Rule | Oblique | | | | |
| Right eye | (%63.8)83 | (%10)13 | (%26.2)34 | (%100)130 | | | |
| Left eye | (%44.8)30 | (%17.9)12 | (%37.3)25 | (%100)67 | | | |
| Total | (%57.4)113 | (%12.7)25 | (%29.9)59 | (%100)197 | | | |



Figure 2: Relative frequency distribution of astigmatism in the study population (healthy eyes) The mean astigmatism in 37 healthy right eyes was- 0.9 ± 0.75 diopters and in 30 healthy left eyes, it was -1.2 ± 1.9 diopters. According to the t-test, there were no significant differences between the amounts of astigmatism and healthy right and left eyes (p=0.37). (The overall mean of the amount of astigmatism in healthy eyes is-1.04 diopters).

In comparing the astigmatism of the pterygium with healthy eyes, it was observed that of 130 eyes with pterygium, the type of astigmatism in 83 cases (63.8%) was with-the-rule ones, in 13 cases (10%) against-the-rule and in 34 cases (26.2%) the type of astigmatism was oblique. Of 67 healthy eyes, 30 patients (44.8%) was with-the-rule ones, in 12 cases (17.9%) against-the-rule and in 25 cases (37.3%), the type of astigmatism was oblique. Given the Chi-square test, there were no significant differences between the types of astigmatism ineyes with pterygiumand the healthy eyes. (P=.03) (Table 3, Figure 3).



Figure 3: Comparison of the type of astigmatism in eyes with pterygiumandthat in healthy eyes Mean astigmatism in 130 eyes with pterygiumwas -1.52 ± 1.32 diopters and in 67 healthy eyeswas -1.04 ± 1.41 diopters. According to the t-test, there werenostatistical significant differences between the types of astigmatism in the healthy eye and that in the eyes with pterygium (P0.01).

Disussion and Conclusion

Independent and intervening parameters involved in the incidence of pterygiumare older age, male gender, the history of out-door activities to name a few (Shoja M Besharati M, 2004). Accordingly, in our study, men had themost percentage of patients with pterygiumthan women (55% vs. 45%). A high percentage of pterygiumin men can pertains tomore out-door activities exposure to UV sunlight. Pterygium is mostly occurred in nasal partand it is more common due to frequent contact with dry weather in warm, and sunny areas (Nikbin H et al., 2000). The high frequency of Pterygiumis in the age group 70-51 years in our study (44%) that this percentage encompasses the most patients referred to the eye clinicscompared to other age groups. In this study, 53% of people were living in rural areas (53%), 47% were urban residents. The amount of the incidence of pterygiuminrural residents is more than that in urban ones. We consider the high rate of exposure to more sunlight and dust as the main reason for this (Shoja MBesharati M, 2004). In our study, the frequency of pterygiumin the patients based on occupation, the farmers (38%) and housewives (34%) had the highest percentage of patients suffering from pterygium; and its reason could be that rural residents and farmers are more exposed to the sun's UV rays.In most previous studies, similar results have been obtained, in the way that in the study conducted by Reza Shojaei and colleagues, independent risk factors forpterygiumwere age, males, staying in the village and most of the lifetime exposure to ultra-violet radiation (Shoja MBesharati M, 2004). The most common signs and symptoms of Pterygiumare red eye, tearing and photophobia and reduced- or blurred vision due to the development towards the visual axis andcreating astigmatism, limiting thevisual fields (Mehrtash A 2000, Ojaghi H 2005, Fraunfelder F Roy F 2000, Liesegang T et al., 2000). In our study, the mean astigmatism in the eyes with pterygium and in the control (healthy) is -1.52and -1.04 diopters, respectively; and there is a significant statistical difference between the amount of astigmatismof control eyes and the eyes with pterygium. As it is evident, the amount of astigmatism in eyes with pterygiumis 0.49 diopters greater than that in the control. This complication can be the effect of pterygium on the cornea.

In the study by Kamptak (Kampitak K, 2003) and Lindsay RG et al (Lindsay RG Sullivan L, 2001), the degree of corneal astigmatism was significantly correlated with the size of pterygium. In the study conducted by Inechuku (Ibechukwu BI, 1990), the degree of astigmatism in the eyes withpterygiumwas more compared with that in the control eyes which was averagely 0.92 diopters. The results of the studies (Kampitak K 2003, Lindsay RG Sullivan L 2001, Ibechukwu BI 1990) are consistent with those of our study and confirms impact of pterygium on the amount of astigmatism.

In our study which has been performed on 130 eves with pterygium, 83% of the created astigmatisms is with-the-rule ones; and in comparing the control eyes and eyes with pterygium, there was a significant statistical difference between the type of created astigmatisms (P=0.03). This suggests that pterygium is causing with-the-rule astigmatism that this theory is consistent with the study conducted by Kamptak (Kampitak K, 2003) and Lindsay (Lindsay RG Sullivan L, 2001) and also, the study by Arisar (Avisar R et al., 2000) in which Pterygiumcauseswiththe-rule astigmatism. Also, in the study by Lin and colleagues (Lin A Stern G, 1998), and Hulady (Holladay J et al., 1985) it has been concluded that pterygium is causing with-the-rule astigmatism. In the old textbooks, it has been mentioned thatpterygium is causing against-the-rule astigmatism in the way that the in American Academy of Ophthalmology Volume 8, pp. 203in 1995, the type of created astigmatism in pterygiumhas often been cited as against-the-rule one. several studies were conducted Accordingly, worldwide for a closer look at this issue, and most studies proved the other way around i.e. pterygium causes with-the-rule astigmatism. Hence, in the new textbooks, the only thing pointed out is that pterygium is causing astigmatism and no reference is made to the type of astigmatism caused by the pterygium. So we hope that by further studies all over the world, the type of astigmatism caused by pterygium is also recorded in reference books. It is generally concluded that besides with-the-rule astigmatism, pterygium is effective regarding the amount of astigmatism. Although there was a direct correlation between the size of pterygiumandthe amount of astigmatism in the studies (Kampitak K 2003, Ashaye AO 2002, Oner FH et al. 2000, Avisar Ret al. 2000), this issue has not been examined in our study and was not the aim of our study. Therefore, it is suggested that in the future studies the size of pterygiumbe examined too. In the future studies, the effect of pterygiumon the amount and type of astigmatism as case-control study must be investigated so that the impact of pterygiumon the type and amount of astigmatism can be declared more confidently.

Corresponding author:

Peyman Mikaili,

Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran. E-mail address: <u>peyman_mikaili@yahoo.com</u>

References:

- 1. Amsler M: [On the problem of astigmatism associated with pterygium]. Ophthalmologica 1953 (52-1.54)126.
- Ashaye AO: Refractiveastigmatism and size of pterygium. Afr J Med MedSci 2002, 31(2):163-165.
- 3. Avisar R, Loya N, Yassur Y ,Weinberger D: Pterygium-induced corneal astigmatism. Isr Med Assoc 2000, 2(1):14–15.
- Fraunfelder F, Roy F: Current occulartherapy., 5th edn. Philadelphia: W.B Saunders Company; 2000.
- 5. Holladay J, Lewis J, Allison M ,Ruiz R: Pterygia as cause of post-cataract with-the-rule astigmatism. J AmIntraocul Implant Soc 1985, 11(2):176-179.
- 6. Ibechukwu BI :Astigmatism and visual impairment in pterygium: affected eyes in Jos, Nigeria .East Afr Med J 1990, 67(12):912 917.
- 7. Jabarvand M ,Nasseri A, BeheshtNejad A, Zare M, Abdollahi M: The influence of Pterygiumsurgery on induced corneal topographic abnormalities. The Journal of the IranianSociety of Ophtalmology 2002, 1(14):10-12.
- Kampitak K: The effect of pterygium on cornealastigmatism. J Med Assoc Thai 2003, 86(1):16-23
- 9. King J, Wad S: Atlas of ophthalimicsurgery., 3th edn .Philadelphia: Lippincott; 1981: pp: 216-219.
- 10. Liesegang T Deupsh T, Grand M: External disease and cornea. San Francisco: American Academyof Ophthalmology; 2000: 24-343.
- 11. Lin A, Stern G: Correlation between pterygium size and inducedcorneal astigmatism. Cornea 1998, 17(1):28 30.
- Lindsay RG, Sullivan L: Pterygium-induced corneal astigmatism. Clin Exp Optom 2001, 84(4):200 - 203.
- 13. Maheshwari S :Pterygium-induced corneal refractive changes. Indian J Ophthalmol 2007; 55(5): 383-386.

- 14. Mehrtash A: Rubbing ofMitomycin-C in the surgical treatment of Pterygium versus Bare-scleraltechnique. The Journal of Qazvin University of Medical Sciences&HealthServices 2000, 14:58-63.
- Mohammad-Salih PA, Sharif AF: Analysis of pterygium size andinduced corneal astigmatism. Cornea 2008, 27(4):434 – 438.
- 16. Nikbin H, Felahati J, Setoodeh E, MalekKhani M: Study on preventive effect of Mitomycin on relapsing of Pterygium aftersurgery in Amir Kabir Hospital. Rahavard Danesh, Journal of Arak University of Medical Sciences 2000, 12(3):49-52.
- 17. Ojaghi H, Masoumi R, Ghaebi E: The Effect of Intraoperative Mitomycin C onRecurrence Rate of Pterygium. Journal of Ardabil University of Medical Sciences&Health Services 2005, 2(5):108-112.
- 18. Oner FH, Kaderli B, Durak I, Cingil G :Analysis of the pterygium size inducing marked refractive astigmatism. Eur JOphthalmol 2000, 10(3):212 214.
- 19. Shoja M, Besharati M: Pterygium: Riskfactors and the effect on corneal Astigmatism The Journal of the Iranian Societyof Ophtalmology 2004, 1(17):19-25.
- 20. Sedaghat M, Tajvidi M ,Shoeibi N: Correlation between Pterygium size and corneal Astigmatism andtopographic changes The Journal of the Iranian Society of Ophtalmology 2002;2(15): 22-27.
- 21. Tomidokoro A, Miyata K ,Sakaguchi Y, Samejima T, Tokunaga T, Oshika T: Effects of pterygium on cornealspherical power and astigmatism. Ophthalmology 2000, 107(8):1568-1571.
- 22. Waller S, Adamis A: Pterygium. In: Duanes Ophtalmology. Edited by Tasman W, Gaeger E, CD-ROM edn. Philadelphia: Lipincott Williams & Wilkins.; 2001: 35.

12/22/2012