# A Modified Gauss - Seidel Method for M - Matrices

Nasser Mikaeilvand<sup>1</sup> and Zahra Lorkojori<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ardabil branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Young Researchers Club, Ardabil branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran.

Corresponding author: Nasser Mikaeilvand, email: Mikaeilvand@IauArdabil.ac.ir

Abstract : in 1991, A. D. Gunawardena et al. proposed the modified Gauss-Seidel (MGS) method for solving the linear system with the preconditioned = I + S. The preconditioning Effect is not observed on the nth row. In the present paper, we suggest a new precondition. We get the convergence and comparison theorems for the proposed method. Numerical examples also given.

[Nasser Mikaeilvand and Zahra Lorkojori. A Modified Gauss – Seidel Method for M - Matrices. *Life Sci J* 2012;9(4):4433-4437]. (ISSN: 1097-8135). <u>http://www.lifesciencesite.com</u>. 668

Keywords: M-Matrices, Preconditioned system, Gauss-Seidel method

## 1- Introduction:

We consider the following preconditioned linear system.

PAX = Pb,(1.1)

where  $A = (ai, j)_{n \times n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}}$  is a known non singular M-matrix,  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , called the preconditioned, is non singular,  $b \in \mathbb{R}(A)$  is known and  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$  is unknown, (A) is the range of A. throughout this paper, without loss of generality, we always assume that the coefficient matrix A has a splitting of the form A = I - L - U, where is the identity matrix, -L and -U are strictly lower triangular and strictly upper triangular parts of A, respectively.

To effectively solve the preconditioned linear system (1.1), a variety of preconditioners have been proposed by several authors, see [1-6] and the references there in. Since some preconditioned are constructed only from a part of upper triangular part of A, the preconditioning effect is not observed on the last row of matrix A. For example, the preconditioned  $P_s = I + S$  presented in [1] and  $P_{s_{max}} = I + S_{max}$  in [7] are formed respectively by

$$S = (s_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} -a_{i,i+1} \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; \\ o, & Other \ wise \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_{max} = (S_{i,j}^m) = \begin{cases} -a_i, k_i, i = 1, \dots, n-1, j > i; \\ o, & Other wise, \end{cases}$$
$$K_i = min\{j | \frac{max}{j} | a_{i,j} |, i < n\}$$

Motivated by their results, in this paper, we propose the following preconditioned:

$$P_m = I + S + R_{max}$$
(1.2)

where

$$(R_{max})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -a_n, k_n, \ i = n, \ j = K_n, \\ 0, \qquad 0 ther \ Wise \end{cases}$$

With 
$$K_n = min\{j | |a_{n,j}| = max\{|a_{n,l}|, l = 1, ..., n - 1\}\}$$

For the preconditioned (1.2), the preconditioned matrix

$$A_m = (I + S + R_{max})A$$

Can be split as

$$A_m = M_m - N_m$$

$$= (I - D - L - E + R_{max} - \acute{D} - \acute{E}) - (U - S + SU),$$

where D and E are respectively the diagonal, strictly lower triangular parts of SL, while  $\hat{D}$  and  $\hat{E}$ are the diagonal, strictly lower triangular, the MGS iterative matrix is  $T_m = M_m^{-1} N_m$ .

# 2. Preliminaries

For the convenience of the readers, we first give some of the notations, definitions and lemmas which will be used in what follows.

For  $A = (a_{i,j}), B = (b_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we write  $A \ge B$  if  $a_{i,j} \ge b_{i,j}$  holds for all i, j = 1, 2, ..., n.  $A \ge O$ , called nonnegative, if  $a_{i,j} \ge O$  for all i, j = 1, 2, ..., n, where O is a  $n \times n$  zero matrix. For the vectors  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, a \ge b$  and  $a \ge o$  can be defined in the similar manner.

Definition 2.1([9]). A matrix A is a L-matrix if  $a_{i,i} > 0, i = 1, ..., n$  and  $a_{i,j} \le 0$  for all i, j = 1, ..., n.  $i \ne j$ . A nonsingular L-matrix A is a nonsingular M-matrix if  $A^{-1} \ge 0$ .

*Lemma* 2.1 ([10]). Let A be a nonnegative nonzero matrix. Then

(a) $\rho(A)$ , the spectral radius of A, is an eigen value;

(b)A has a nonnegative eigenvector corresponding to  $\rho(A)$ ;

(c)  $\rho(A)$  is a simple eigen value of A;

(d)  $\rho(A)$  increases when any entry of A increases.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a real matrix. Then, A = M - N is called a splitting of A if M is a nonsingular matrix. The splitting is called

(a)regular if  $M^{-1} \ge 0$  and  $N \ge 0[10]$ ;

(b)weak regular if  $M^{-1} \ge 0$  and  $M^{-1}N \ge 0$ [11];

(c)nonnegative if  $M^{-1}N \ge 0[12]$ .

(d)M-splitting if M is a nonsingular M-,matrix and  $N \ge 0[13]$ .

Definition 2.3 ([8)].We call A = M - N the Gauss-Seidel splitting of A, if M = (I - L) is nonsingular and N = V. In addition, the splitting is called

(a)Gauss-Seidel convergent if  $\rho(M^{-1}N) < 1$ ;

(b)Gauss-Seidel regular if  $M^{-1} = (I - L)^{-1} \ge 0$ and  $N = U \ge 0$ .

Lemma2.2([14)].Let A = M - N Be an M-Splitting Of A. Then  $\rho(M^{-1}N) < 1$  if and if A is a nonsingular M-matrix.

Lemma2.3([15]).Let A and B be  $n \times n$  matrices. Then AB and BA have the same eigenvalues, counting multiplicity.

Lemma2.4([16)].Let A be a nonsingular Mmatrix, and let  $A = M_1 - N_1 = M_2 - N_2$  be two convergent splitting, the first one weak regular and the second one regular. If  $M_1^{-1} \ge M_2^{-1}$ , then  $\rho(M_1^{-1}N_1 \le \rho(M_2^{-1}N_2) < 1.$ 

# 3. Convergence And Comparison Theorems

We begin this section with a lemma given in [7].

For the preconditioned  $P_S = I + S$ , the Preconditioned Matrix  $A_S = (I + S)A$  can be written as

$$A_{S} = M_{S} - N_{S} = (I - D - L - E) - (U - S + SU).$$

In which D and E are defined as in section 1. If  $a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,i} \neq 1$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1), then the MGS iterative matrix  $T_s$  for  $A_s$  can be defined by  $T_s = M_s^{-1}N_s = (I - D - L - E)^{-1}(U - S + SU)$  as  $(I - D - L - E)^{-1}$  exists. In this case there is the following result:

Lemma 3.1 ([7]). Let A = I - L - U be a nonsingular M-matrix. Assume that  $0 \le a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,i} < 1, 1 \le i \le n-1$ , then  $A_S = M_S - N_S$  is regular and Gauss-Seidel convergent.

Theorem 3.2. Let A be a nonsingular M-matrix. Assume that  $0 \le a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,i} < 1, 1 \le i \le n-1$ and  $0 \le a_{n,k_i}a_{k_i,n} < 1, k_j = 1, ..., n-1$ , then  $A_m = M_m - N_m$  regular and Gauss-Seidel convergent splitting.

*Proof.* We observe that when  $0 \le a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,i} < 1, 1 \le i \le n-1$  and  $0 \le a_{n,k_j}a_{k_j,n} < 1, k_j = 1, ..., n-1$ , the diagonal elements of  $A_m$  are positive and  $M_m^{-1}$  exists. It is known that (see [11]) an L-matrix A is a non singular M-matrix if and only if there exists a positive vector y such that > o. By taking such, the fact that  $I + S + R_{max} \ge 0$  implies  $A_m y = (I + S + R_{max})A_y > 0$ . Consequently, the L-matrix  $A_m$  is a nonsingular M-matrix, which means  $A_m^{-1} \ge 0$ .

We note that  $L - R_{max} + E + \acute{E} \ge 0$  since  $L \ge R_{max} \ge 0$ .

When  $0 \le a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,i} < 1, 1 \le i \le n-1$  and  $0 \le a_{n,k_j}a_{k_j,n} < 1, k_j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we have  $D + \hat{D} < I$ , so that  $(I - D - \hat{D}) \ge 0$ . Hence,

$$M_m^{-1} = [(I - D - \acute{D}) - (L - R_{max} + E + \acute{E})]$$
  
=  $[I - (I - D - \acute{D})^{-1}(L - R_{max} + E + \acute{E})]^{-1}(I - D - \acute{D})^{-1}$   
=  $[I + (I - D - \acute{D})^{-1}(L - R_{max} + E + \acute{E}) + [(I - D - \acute{D})^{-1}(L - R_{max} + E + \acute{E})]^2 + \cdots$   
+ $[(I - D - \acute{D})^{-1}(L - R_{max} + E + \acute{E})^{n-1}](I - C)^{-1}(L - R_{max} + E + \acute{E})]^{n-1}$ 

$$\geq 0$$

 $D - \acute{D})^{-1}$ 

On the other hand, it is to see that  $N_m = U - S + SU \ge 0$  since  $U \ge S$  and  $SU \ge 0$ . Therefore,  $A_m = M_m - N_m$  is a regular and Gauss-Seidel convergent splitting by definition 2.3 and lemma2.2.

For the splitting A = I - L - U of matrix A, the iteration matrix of the classical Gauss-Seidel method for A is  $T = (I - L)^{-1}U$ . Comparing  $\rho(T)$  with  $\rho(T_m)$ , the spectral radius of the MGS with the preconditioned  $P_m = I + S + R_{max}$ , we have the following comparison theorem:

Theorem 3.3. Let A be a nonsingular M-matrix. Then under the assumptions of theorem 3.2, we have  $\rho(T_m) \le \rho(T) < 1$ . *Proof.* For  $M_m = I - D - L - E + R_{max} - \acute{D} - \acute{E}$ and  $N_m = U - S + SU$ , by theorem 3.2, we know that  $A_m = P_m A = M_m - N_m$  is a Gauss-Seidel convergent splitting. Since A is a nonsingular, the classic Gauss-Seidel splitting A = (I - L) - U of A is clearly regular and convergent.

To compare  $\rho(T_m)$  with  $\rho(T)$ , we consider the following splitting of *A*:

$$A = (I + S + R_{max})^{-1}M_m - (I + S + R_{max})^{-1}N_m$$

If we take  $M_1 = (I + S + R_{max})^{-1}M_m$  and  $N_1 = (I + S + R_{max})^{-1}N_m$ , then  $\rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) < 1$  since  $M_1^{-1}N_m = M_1^{-1}N_1$ .

 $\dot{\mathbf{n}} = 1 \, \mathbf{c} \mathbf{r}$ 

Also note that

$$M_{1}^{-1} = (I - D - L - E + R_{max} - D - E)^{-1}(I + S + R_{max})$$

$$\geq (I - D - L - E + R_{max} - \acute{D} - \acute{E})^{-1}$$

$$= [I - (I - D - \acute{D})^{-1}(L - R_{max} + E + \acute{E})]^{-1}(I - D - \acute{D})^{-1}$$

$$\geq [I - (I - D - \acute{D})^{-1}(L - R_{max} + E + \acute{E})]^{-1}$$

$$\geq (I - L)^{-1},$$

It follows from lemma 2.4 that  $\rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) \le \rho(M^{-1}N) < 1$ .

Hence
$$\rho(M_m^{-1}N_m) \le \rho(M^{-1}N) < 1, i.e., \rho(T_m) \le \rho(T) < 1.$$

Next, we give a comparison theorem between the MGS methods with the preconditioners  $P_m$  and  $P_s$  respectively.

Theorem 3.4. Let A be a nonsingular M-matrix. Then under the assumptions of theorem 3.2 and  $a_{k_n} j \le a_{k_n}, n a_n, j, 1 \le n-1$ , we have  $\rho(T_m) \le \rho(T_s) < 1$ .

*Proof*. For the matrices  $M_s, M_m, N_s$  and  $N_m$  in the splitting of matrices  $P_s A = M_s - N_s$  and  $P_m A = M_m - N_m$ , they can be expressed in the partitioned forms as follows:

$$M_s = I - D - L - E = \left(\frac{\hat{M}}{U^T} \middle| \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}\right),$$
$$M_m = I - D - L - E + R_{max} - \acute{D} - \acute{E},$$

$$M_m = M_s + R_{max} \times A = \left(\frac{\widehat{M} \mid 0}{V^T \mid U_n}\right),$$
$$N_m = N_s = \left(\frac{\widehat{N} \mid W}{0 \mid 0}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \widehat{M} &= (\widehat{m}_{i,j}), \widehat{m}_{i,j} = \\ \begin{cases} 0, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1 \\ 1 - a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,i}, i = j, \\ a_{i,j} - a_{i,i+1}a_{i+1,j}, j < i \leq n-1, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

$$U^{T} = \left(a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,n-1}\right)$$

$$V^{T} = (V_{1}, ..., V_{n-1}), V_{j} = a_{n,j} - a_{n,kn}a_{kn,j} (1 \le j \le n-1),$$

$$V_n = 1 - a_{n,kn} a_{kn,n},$$

$$\begin{split} W &= (W_1, \dots, W_{n-1})^T, W_i = \\ -a_{i,n} + a_{i,i+1} a_{i+1,n} (1 \le l \le n-1), \end{split}$$

and  $\widehat{N} \ge 0$  is an  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  strictly upper triangular matrix.

Direct computation yields

$$M_{s}^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \widehat{M}^{-1} & 0 \\ U^{T} \widehat{M}^{-1} & 1 \end{array} \right) \\ M_{m}^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \widehat{M}^{-1} & 0 \\ -V_{n}^{-1} V^{T} \widehat{M}^{-1} & V_{n}^{-1} \end{array} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$N_s M_s^{-1} = \left(\frac{\hat{T}_s \mid W}{0 \mid 0}\right) \ge 0$$

and

$$N_m M_m^{-1} = \left(\frac{\overline{T}_m \mid U_n^{-1} W}{0 \mid 0}\right) \ge 0$$

where  $\hat{T}_s = \hat{N}\hat{M}^{-1} - Wu^T\hat{M}^{-1}$  and  $\bar{T}_m = \hat{N}\hat{M}^{-1} - WV_n^{-1}V^T\hat{M}^{-1}$ .

Obviously,  $\rho(N_s M_s^{-1}) = \rho(\hat{T}_s)$  and  $\rho(N_m M_m^{-1}) = \rho(\bar{T}_m)$ .

By simple computation, we know  $\overline{T}_m \leq \widehat{T}_s$  that under the assumption  $a_{kn,j} \leq a_{kn,n}a_{n,j}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Hence by lemma 2.1, we have

$$\rho(N_m M_m^{-1}) = \rho(\overline{T}_m) \le \rho(\widehat{T}_s) = \rho(N_s M_s^{-1})$$

Therefore, by lemma 2.3, we immediately know that which means that  $\rho(T_m) \leq \rho(T_s)$ .

# 4. Numerical Examples

In this part, we give some examples to illustrate the theory in section3.

*Example* 4.1.Let us consider the matrix A of (1.1), given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -0.2 & -0.3 & -0.1 & -0.2 \\ -0.1 & 1 & -0.1 & -0.3 & -0.1 \\ -0.2 & -0.1 & 1 & -0.1 & -0.2 \\ -0.2 & -0.1 & -0.1 & 1 & -0.3 \\ -0.1 & -0.2 & -0.2 & -0.1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have  $\rho(T_m) = 0.3114$  and  $\rho(T_s) = 0.3384$ . Clearly,  $\rho(T_m) < \rho(T_s)$  holds.

*Example* 4.2. Let the coefficient matrix A of (1.1) be given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -0.5 & -0.5 \\ -0.3 & 1 & -0.6 \\ -0.3 & -0.3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have  $\rho(T_m) = 0.29167 < \rho(T_s) = 0.44763$ 

# **References:**

and

[1] A. Berman, R. J. Plemmons, Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

[2] A. D. Gunawardena, S. K. Jain, L. S. Nyder, Modified iterative methods for consistent linear system, Linear Algebra Appl. 154 – 156(1991)123 – 143.

[3] T. Kohno, M. Kotakemori, H. Niki, Improving the modified Gauss-Seidel method for Z-matirices, Linear Algebra Appl. 267 (1997)113 – 123.

[4] H. Kotakemori, K. Haradu, M. Morimoto, H. Niki, A comparison theorem for the iterative method with the preconditioned  $(I + S_{max})$ , J. Comput. Appl. Math. 145 (2002)373 – 378.

[5] H. Kotakemori, H. Niki, N. Okamoto, Accelerated iterative method for Z-matrices, J. Comput. Appl. Math.75(1996)87 – 97.

[6] W. Li, W. W. Sun, Modified Gauss-Seidel type methods and Jacobi type methods for Z-matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 317(2000)227 – 240.

[7] W. Li, A note on the preconditioned Gauss-Seidel (GS) method for linear system, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 182 (2005)81 – 90.

[8] J. P. Milaszewicz, Impriving Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel iterations, Linear Algebra Appl.93(1987)161 – 170.

[9]H. Niki, K. Harada, M. Morimoto, M. Sakakihara, The survey of preconditioners used for accelerating the rate of convergence in the Gauss-Seidel method, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 164 - 165 (2004)587 - 600.

[10] H. Niki, T. Kohno, M. Morimoto, The preconditioned Gauss-Seidel method faster than the SOR method, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 219(2008)59 – 71.

[11] H. Schneider, Theorems on M-splitting of a singular M-matrix which depend on graph structure, Linear Algebra Appl. 58(1984)407 – 424.

[12] Y. Z. Song, Comparisons of non negative splitting of matrices, Linear Algebra Appl.154 – 156(1991)433 – 455.

[13] R. S. Varga, Matrix iterative analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1981.

[14] Z. I. Wozniki, Nonnegative splitting theory,Japan J. Industrial Appl. Math. 11(1994)289 – 342.

[15] D. M. Young, Iterative solution of large linear systems, Academic Press, New York, 1971.

[16] F. Zhang, Matrix theory, Springer, 1999.

[17] Bing Zheng, S. X. Miao, Two new modified Gauss-Seidel methods for linear system with m-Matrices, J. Comput. Appl. Math. Vol. 233 Issue 4, (2009) 922-930.