#### Preparation of Imitated Processed Cheese by Using Direct Acidification Technique to Resemble Mozzarella Cheese properties

Hayam M. Abbas<sup>1;</sup> Mohamed, A. G<sup>1</sup>. ; Fatma M. Hassan<sup>1</sup>; Mona A. M. Abd-El -Gawad<sup>1</sup>; Gafour W.A.<sup>2</sup> and Nawal S. Ahmed<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dairy Department, National Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Food Technology Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt prof.hayamabbas@yahoo.com

Abstract: Production of new imitated process cheese similar to stretched cheese was conducted to be used as a topping material for pizza in spite of Mozzarella cheese. Processed cheese have several advantages, compared to natural cheeses such as lower refrigerator cost, better keeping quality and longer storage stability. Direct acidification of cow's milk using three different types of acid was applied to produce acidic curds. Milk sample was divided into four portions. The first three portions were separately acidified with 2% diluted lactic acid; citric acid or lemon juice until pH 5.3 to create T1, T2 and T3 respectively. All individual acidic curds were mixed with 2 % emulsifying salt and cooked at 85°C, then turned to process cheese. The forth latter portion was manufactured as traditional Mozzarella and serve as control sample (C). All samples were chemically analyzed; physically assayed and sensorial evaluated. The obtained results showed that the new process cheese made by direct acidification with lactic acid (T1) had the highest moisture and lowest acidity than other treatments. T3 had the lowest content of calcium and the highest acidity compared with the other treatments. Control Mozzarella cheese gained the best meltability and the highest stretchability followed by (T3). Fat leakage was the highest in control samples followed by T1. For the organoleptic properties; control Mozzarella samples gained slightly highest scores followed by T3 then T2. Data indicated that direct acidification technique succeed to produce new processed cheese which had semi- similar properties of the traditional Mozzarella cheese when used 2% diluted lemon juice. Sensory evaluation of pizza pastry samples: which prepared and topped by all individual cheese samples: showed that (T3) which prepared by using diluted lemon juice gained the highest scores than control and other treatments

[Hayam M. Abbas; Mohamed, A. G.; Fatma M. Hassan; Mona A. M. Abd-El -Gawad; Gafour W.A. and Nawal S. Ahmed Preparation of Imitated Processed Cheese by Using Direct Acidification Technique to Resemble Mozzarella Cheese properties. *Life Sci J* 2014;11(12):856-861]. (ISSN:1097-8135). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 152

Key wards: Direct acidification, Lactic acid, Citric acid, Lemon juice, Mozzarella cheese, Imitated processed cheese.

#### 1. Introduction

Mozzarella cheese is one of the cheeses that have achieved the most significant growth in production in the past century (Gwenole et al., 2010). It has become one of the most popular cheese varieties in the world because of its primary use in pizza preparation. Its usage is expected to grow as global interest due to the over increasing demand for pizza and other foods that use mozzarella (Sameen et al., 2010). This increase may be due to the increases in the popularity of pizza; high speed changes of consumers' behavior and the changes of life style of common people. Mozzarella is one of the cheeses that have special characteristics and physical properties which make it completely differ from all other types of cheese mainly stretchability (Nolan et al., 1989; Kindstedt 1991; Tunick et al., 2000; Sameen et al., 2010; and Abd El Gawad et al., 2012). It's preparing need certain conditions; skill & carful manufacture; special precautions and long time to

achieved these characters and adjustment of its technological steps. The main factors affect the success of Mozzarella cheese is the pH of curd in plasticization step. (Metzger et al., 2000; Metzger et al., 2001 Guinee et al., 2002; and Najafi et al., 2006). The pH value ranged between 5.1 - 5.4 and curd is scalded to about 57°C. Kneaded and stretched in hot water or diluted brine to about 80°C (Panthi, 2007). These conditions of low pH and high temperature are conductive to limited aggregation of Para- casein and formation of Para-casein fibers of relative high tensile strength (Guinee et al., 2002). These fibers impart stringiness; strethchability; chewiness; or the other main physical characteristics of Mozzarella cheese (Fox et al., 2000). The reduction of curd-pH, promote a number of physicochemical conditions which are conductive to the curdflow during plasticization step. (VanHoovdonk et al., 1986, Guinee et al., 2002). So

the increasing of acidity; greatly; affected the properties of the resultant curd.

On the other side, direct acidification has been traditionally practiced in the manufacture of a number of un-ripened cheese varieties (Shehata & Olson, 1966; Olson, 1970; Kosikowski, 1977; Metzger *et al.*, 2000). The first scientific study of direct acidification technique was made on Cheddar cheese in 1955 by Mabbitt *et al.* to produce starter - free cheese. After that; many various studies had been done for applying this technique in cheese manufacture by different attitudes and for different targets (Metzger *et al.*, 2001; Panthi (2007) and Ahmed *et al.* (2011).

Therefore, the goal of this work was to prepared different acidic curds by applying the direct acidification technique using diluted lactic acid, citric acid or lemon juice for production of imitated processed cheese similar to mozzarella cheese properties to save time; give better keeping quality and longer storage stability.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### Materials:

• Fresh raw cow's milk (3% fat) was obtained from the herd of faculty of Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.

• Kasomel K 2311 as emulsifying salt (which has a strong ion exchange capacity and has weak creaming action) produced by Doumer, France; was provided by International Dairy & Foods Co. Egypt.

• Food grade lactic and citric acids were parched from Sigma Company.

• Fresh Lemon juice was prepared in the laboratory.

• *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *delbrueckii* ssp *bulgaricus* obtained from Microbiological Resources Center (MIRCEN- Egypt) as starter culture; and Calf rennet powder (HA-LA) were used for preparing the traditional Mozzarella cheese sample.

#### Methods

# Manufacture of traditional Mozzarella cheese samples

Control traditional Mozzarella cheese sample(C) was manufacture from cow's milk according to the standard method mentioned by Kosikowski (1982);

# Imitated process cheese samples preparation

Imitated process cheese samples were prepared as flows: Cow's milk was divided into three portions: First portion was gradually direct acidified with 2 % diluted lactic acid until reached to pH 5.3 (T1). The second portion was also direct acidified with 2% diluted citric acid until pH 5.3 (T2), while the third portion was acidified with 2% fresh lemon juice until pH 5.3 (T3). Then the emulsifying salt (2%) was added to all individual acidic curd, cooked at 85°C and applied all the steps of manufacture as mentioned by Adrianson *et al.* (1997).

Three replicates were conducted for each treatment. The obtained cheese samples were freshly analyzed for their chemical composition; physical and sensory properties.

# Methods of Analysis:

Dry matter (DM), fat, total protein (TP) and ash contents of fresh cheese samples were determined according to the AOAC (2007). The pH value was measured by using a digital pH meter (M4 1150 USA) equipped with glass electrode. Soluble nitrogen content (SN) was estimated by Kjeldah method (IDF, 1993). The calcium content was determined by titration with EDTA as described by Francesco and Raffaello (1980).

The cheese samples were also analyzed for meltability (tube test) as described by Savello *et al.* (1989). Fat Leakage test was determined according to Guinee *et al.* (1999); while oil separation was determined according to the method outlined by Thomas (1973).

Cheese samples were prepared for Texture Profile Analysis according to El-Zeny (1991). Instron universal testing Machine 4202 (Instron Co., Canton, OH) equipped with 50N (5kg) load cell was used. The chart was used to calculate the area under the response plot. Area 1 and 2 (cm<sup>2</sup>) represent the area under the curves formed during the first and second compression cycle and the work done during compression. The height of the first peck during the first bite represented the extent of hardness (force) while the distance of the sample under compression during the second bite represented springiness (cm).Cohesiveness was derived from the ratio of Area 2/Area 1 whereas gumminess was equal to hardness x cohesiveness. Chewiness was equal gumminess x springiness. All texture measurements were done at room temperature  $(22 + 2^{\circ}C)$ .

#### Sensory evaluation:

The organoleptic properties of cheese samples were evaluated by scoring panel of staff members; Dairy Department; National Research Center according to Nelson and Trout (1956). The sensory evaluation of Pizza pastry samples topped by experimental cheeses samples was carried out according to Abu-Foul (1990).

# Statistical analysis:

Obtained data was statistically analyzed by the GLM procedure with SAS (2004) software.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Table (1) reflects the chemical composition of new imitated Mozzarella cheese. It is clear that new cheese made by direct acidified milk with diluted lactic acid (T1) had a lowest DM; followed by control (C) whereas imitated cheese which prepared with acidified milk with lemon juice (T3) had highest DM than all treatments. This result is agreement with Shehata et al. (1967) who indicated that lactic acid tend to give a high moisture cheese. While Keller et al. (1974) reported that phosphoric acid which used to acidify the milk to pH 5.5 produced cheese of low moisture while moisture was significantly higher when malic or citric acids were used. However, Fox (1978) mentioned that lactic acid tented to give a high moisture cheese while hydrochloric yield gave satisfactory cheese. Najafi et al. (2006) mentioned that more hardness and lowest moisture content of Mozzarella cheese is produced by using phosphoric acid while Mozzarella produced using citric and lactic acid had the most moisture content and was So the effect of acid type (in constant pH) softer. was clear. From the same table it could be notice that new processed cheese made by acidified milk with lemon juice had a highest acidity followed by citric acid and control; whereas cheese samples prepared by milk acidified by diluted lactic acid (T1)

had lowest acidity than all treatments. Ash/dry matter was the highest in process cheese made by acidified milk with lemon juice (T3) than all treatments followed by cheese made by acidified with diluted lactic acid and citric acid. Table (1) reveals also fat/dry matter in all treatments. Control and T2 had a highest content of fat/dry matter than all treatments followed by T1 and T3. Acid type affected the total composition of cheese in constant pH (Najafi et al., 2006). From the same table it could be observed that control cheese had a highest content of TP/DM followed by T1. Control had highest content of soluble nitrogen/dry matter followed by (T1). The same table indicated that Cheese made by acidified milk with lemon juice (T3) had a lowest content of calcium than all treatments. This may be due to the high acidity which remove Ca<sup>+</sup> from curd that lead to high firmness as result of increase casein which bond with Ca<sup>+</sup>, whereas control cheese had highest content of Ca<sup>+</sup> than all treatments.

Treatments properties С T1 T2 T3 DM% 43.30 42.42 43.18 45.34 40.10 37.49 Fat/DM 40.42 39.37 TP/DM 45.54 45.47 44.97 43.23 8.43<sup>b</sup> 10.52<sup>a</sup> Ash/DM  $10.84^{a}$ 11.32 0.68 0.72 0.74 0.75 Acidity% 5.4 5.2 5.1 5.0 pН SN/TP 0.402 0.377 0.366 0.344 Ca<sup>++</sup>mg/100 g 0.691<sup>t</sup> 0.542<sup>bd</sup> 0.438  $0.860^{a}$ 

 Table (1): Chemical composition of imitated process cheese samples:

C = Control (Mozzarella cheese); T1: cheese made by direct acidification with diluted lactic acid.; T2: cheese made by direct acidification with diluted citric acid. T3: cheese made by direct acidification with lemon juice. \*No significant difference between means with the same super script.

#### **Rheological properties**

Table (2) illustrates some rheological properties of new process cheese include meltability, fat leakage, oiling off and stretchability.

# Meltability:

Meltability is an important character, which determines; to a great extent; the quality of process cheese as well as Mozzarella cheese. Meltability of the processed cheese was expressed as the distance of cheese flow in millimeter. From table (2) it could be notice that meltability of control Mozzarella cheese was the highest than all treatments followed by cheese which made by acidified milk by diluted lactic acid (T1) and cheese made by acidified milk with lemon juice (T3) ,finally cheese samples made by acidified with diluted citric acid(T2). Lawrence (1968) gives a wide explanation for the factors that affect the melting quality of the processed cheese. These are the heat induced interaction of casein and

whey proteins resulting in reduced capacity to flow, binding of free water by denatured protein or casein complex, calcium binding by denatured  $\beta$ lactoglobulin and reduced proteolysis. Process cheese made by acidified milk with lemon juice (T3) had a lowest pH and high acidity; which lead to weaken of protein bonds and de-emulsion of fat. (Shimp, 1985). **Fat leakage** 

From the same table; (2); it could be observed that control Mozzarella cheese samples had a highest fat leakage (cm<sup>2</sup>) followed by (T1) process cheese prepared by acidified milkwith diluted lactic acid while (T2) process cheese acidified by citric acid had lowest leakage than all treatments .Table (1) reveals that control had a highest content of soluble nitrogen than all treatments. This increase in soluble nitrogen contents (more protein decomposition) resulted in lower degree of emulsification and high fat leakage. (Awad, 1996).

Bronortion	Treatments*			
Properties	С	T1	T2	T3
Meltability (mm)	80 <sup>a</sup>	75a <sup>b</sup>	68 <sup>b</sup>	70 <sup>b</sup>
Fat leakage (cm <sup>2</sup> )	45.50 <sup>a</sup>	38.30 <sup>b</sup>	34.00 <sup>b</sup>	34.46 <sup>b</sup>
Oiling off %	6 <sup>a</sup>	3 <sup>b</sup>	1.5 <sup>c</sup>	1°
Stretchability (mm)	9 <sup>a</sup>	2.0 <sup>c</sup>	5.0 <sup>b</sup>	6.0 <sup>b</sup>

	Table (	(2)	: Rheological	properties	of imitated	process cheese samples:
--	---------	-----	---------------	------------	-------------	-------------------------

\* See table (1)

#### **Oil separation**

Oil separation of cheese is affected by emulsifying salts which used to provide a uniform structure during the melting process. The emulsifying salt kasomel (K2311) has a strong ion exchange capacity and has a weak creaming. From table (3) ; it noticed that cheese made by acidified milk with lemon juice(T3) had a lowest oiling of followed by T2 made by acidified milk with diluted citric acid and T1 made by acidified milk with diluted lactic acid.

#### Stretchability

From table (3); it clear that control Mozzarella cheese had a highest stretchabilitythan allother treatment; followed by (T3) and (T2).

#### Texture profile of imitated process cheese samples

Hardness is the force required to attain a given deformation, springiness or elasticity is the rate at which a deformed material goes back to its undeformed condition after the deforming force is removal; and cohesiveness is defined as the quantity simulating the strength of the internal bonds making

up the body of the product. Table (3) reflect the texture profile of new processed imitatedMozzarella cheese. It is clear that control Mozzarella cheese had a highest hardness (kg) than all treatments followed by (T1) and (T3) and finally (T2). This may be due to pre-acidification of milk which resulted in reduction in both total calcium and water insoluble calcium (Metzger et al., 2001). From the same table chewiness (kg/mm) in control Mozzarella cheesewas the highest than all treatments. Rudan and Barbano, show that melt chewiness of low fat 1998: Mozzarella cheese can be reduced with high levels of proteolysis or low level of water-insoluble calcium or a combination of both factors. However, high levels of proteolysis and low levels of water-insoluble calcium decrease post melt-whiteness may adversely affect the consumer acceptability of low fat Mozzarella cheese As shown in table (3) cheese made by acidified milk with lemon juice(T3) had a highest elasticity (springiness) than other treatments and control.

Parameters	Treatments*			
Parameters	С	T1	T2	Т3
Hardness (kg)	0.580	0.42	0.40	0.37
Chewiness (kg/mm)	4.224	2.83	3.08	3.02
Springiness (mm)	13.20	15.12	14.55	12.52
Cohesiveness	0.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>a</sup>	$0.54^{a}$

#### Table (3): Texture profile of imitated process cheese samples.

\* See table (1)

The elasticity of cheese has an inverse relationship to  $Ca^{++}$  content. Pre-acidified cheese, probablyaffecteda more efficient removal of  $Ca^{++}$  from the curd than slow reduction of pH after relation asin control cheese. The more  $Ca^{++}$  content of cheese leads to the higher firmness of the texture due to increase in the content of intact casein (Guinee and Fenelon, 2000).

Cohesiveness is defined as the quantity simulating the strength of the internal bonds making up the body of the product El-Zeny (1991). The same table (3) shows that (T2) process cheese made by acidified with citric acid had the highest cohesiveness followed by control Mozzarella Cheese and (T3). From the same table (3) it could notice that chewiness (kg/mm) in control was a highest than all treatments followed by (T2) and (T3). Finally process cheese made by acidified milk with lactic acid (T1) was a highest gumminess (kg) than control followed by (T1) and (T3) finally (T2).

### **Organoleptic properties**

Table (4) illustrates the organoleptic properties of new imitated Mozzarella cheese. It is clear that control and (T3) gained thehighest scores for color and aroma followed by (T1) and (T2). Control cheese samples hadthe highest scores of consistency than al treatments followed by (T2). On the other hand (T3) had lowest consistency scoresthan all treatment and control. Whereas oiling off was lowest in (T3) and (T2) than other treatments followed by (T1) and control. Treatment (3) gained the highest degree for taste followed by control and finally (T2) and (T1). Control gained alsothe highest total score followed by (T3), (T1) finally (T2).

Sensory	Control	T1	T2	Т3
characteristics	(10 Degree)	(10 Degree)	(10 Degree)	(10 Degree)
Color	9	8	7	9
Aroma	8	7	6	9
Consistency	8	6	7	5
Taste	8	7	6	9
Total	39 <sup>a</sup>	31 <sup>b</sup>	28.5 <sup>b</sup>	36 <sup>a</sup>

Table (4): Sensory evaluation of f imitated process cheese samples	Table (4):	): Sensory eva	aluation of f	imitated proce	ess cheese samples:
--	------------	----------------	---------------	----------------	---------------------

C = Control (Mozzarella cheese); T1: cheese made by acidified milk with diluted lactic acid. T2: cheese made by acidified milk with diluted citric acid; T3: cheese made by acidified milk with lemon juice.

\*No significant difference between means with the same super script

Table (5) shows the sensory evaluation of pizza samples which topped by the new imitated Mozzarella cheese. It is clear that control gained the highest score for appearance followed by (T3) and (T2) finally (T1).Control had the highest score for color followed by (T3) and (T2) finally (T1) also.While (T3) gained the highest total score followed by control and (T2) finally (T1). However (T3) gained the highest scores for aroma followed by control, (T2) and finally (T1). Taste took the same trend of aroma where (T3) had gained the highest scores for texture followed by (T2) and control finally (T1).

Table (5): Sensory evaluation of Pizza	pastry samples to	pped by imitated	l process cheese.
--	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

Sancorry abara staristics	Descible seeres	Treatments			
Sensory characteristics	Possible scores	С	T1	T2	T3
Appearance	1-20	18 <sup>a</sup>	15 <sup>b</sup>	16 <sup>ab</sup>	17 <sup>a</sup>
Aroma	1-20	15 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	15 <sup>a</sup>	17 <sup>a</sup>
Taste	1-20	17 <sup>a</sup>	9 <sup>b</sup>	17 <sup>a</sup>	18 <sup>a</sup>
Texture	1-20	18 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	19 <sup>a</sup>	20 <sup>a</sup>
Color	1-20	19 <sup>a</sup>	11 <sup>b</sup>	17 <sup>a</sup>	18 <sup>a</sup>
Total score	100	87a <sup>b</sup>	55 <sup>b</sup>	84 <sup>ab</sup>	90 <sup>a</sup>

C = Control (Mozzarella cheese); T1: cheese made by acidified milk with diluted lactic acid. T2: cheese made by acidified milk with diluted citric acid; T3: cheese made by acidified milk with lemon juice.

\*No significant difference between means with the same super script.

#### **Conclusion:**

Production of new imitated processed cheese using direct acidified milk was success when used % 2 lemon juice until pH 5.3. This treatment produced acceptable samples to resemble Mozzarella cheese properties for topping pizza and other related bakery products.

This type of cheese which prepared by cooking the acidic curd with emulsifying salt; can be used for topping pizza. . It could be save time, effort, precautions and refrigerating conditions for storage of Mozzarella cheese. Pizza samples topped by T3cheese gained the high total scores for appearance, aroma, taste, texture and color.

#### **References:**

- Abd El- Gawad M. A.M., Ahmed N. S., El-Abd M.M., Abd El-Rafee S. (2012). Effect of homogenization on the properties and microstructure of Mozzarella cheese from buffalo milk. Acta Sci. Pol., Technol. Aliment. 11(2), 121-135.
- 2. Abu-Foul, N.S.I. (1990). Physiochemical, nutritional and technological studies on food uses of glanded and glandless cotton seed protein. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Alex. University, Egypt.

- Adrianson, T.M.; Brown, A.T.; Busk. C.; Gunther, S.A.; Huether, D.K.; Mann, J.W. and Yoss, J. K. (1997). "low-acid, high-moisture processed cheese spread and method of making". United. States patent (US 5670197).
- Ahmed N. S., Abd El-Gawad M. A. M., El-Abd M.M., Abd-Rabou N.S. (2011). Properties of buffalo Mozzarella cheese as affected by type of coagulant. Acta Sci. Pol., Technol. Aliment. 10(3), 339-357.
- AOAC, (2007).Official Methods of Analysis.16<sup>th</sup> ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists.Inc. Arlington, Viriginia, USA.
- Awad, R.A. (1996). "Studies on emulsifying salt mixtures of processed cheese". Ph. D. Thesis, Ain Shams University.
- Breene W. M., Price W. V. Ernstrom C. A. (1964). J. D.S. 47, 840.
- El-Zeny H. M. (1991). Rennet coagulation of salted milk and rheology of soft white cheese produced from it. Ph.D. Thesis, Michiganstate. Univ., East lansing, 48824 MI. USA.
- 9. Fox P. F. (1978). Direct acidification of dairy products. Dairy Sci.Abstract.40:12,727-732.
- Fox P. F., Guinee T. P., Cogan T. M. and MeSweeney P. L. H. (2000). Fundamentals of Cheese Science. Aspen Publishers, Inc., Gaithers. Burg, M D.

- 11. Francesco, G. and Raffaello S. (1980). Use of a pHstate to indicate the end-point in titration of calcium plus magnesium in milk and whey. J. Dairy. Res. 47:417-419.
- Guinee T. P. and Fenelon M.A. (2000). The effect of fat on the rheology, microstructure and heat induced functional characteristics of Cheddar cheese. Int. Dairy J., 10: 277-288.
- Guinee T. P. Auty M.A.E., Mullin C. (1999). Observation on the microstructure and heat induced changes in the viscoelasticity of commercial cheese. Aust. J. Dairy Tech 54, 84-89.
- 14. Guinee, T. P., Feeney E. P., Auty M. A.E. and Fox P.F. (2002). Effect of pH and calcium concentration onsome textural and functional properties of mozzarella cheese. J. Dairy Sci. 85: 1655-1669.
- 15. Gwenole G., Pierre S.; Romain J. (2010). Processing of Mozzarella cheese wheys and stretchwaters: A preliminary review. Dairy Sci. Technol. 90, 27–46
- IDF, (1993). Milk.Determination of Nitrogen content by Kjeldahl method.Standard B20. International Dairy Federation, Begium.
- Keller B., Olson N. F. and Richardson T. (1974). J. Dairy Sci., 57,174.
- Kindstedt P. S. (1991). Functional properties of Mozzarella cheese on pizza: A Review. Culture Dairy Products. Vol.26, 3: 27-31.
- Kosikowski, F.V. (1977). "Cheese and Fermented Milk Foods". 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Cornell Univ. Ithaca, New York. USA.
- Kosikowski, F.V. (1982). "Cheese and Fermented Milk Foods". Edwards Brathers, Inc. Milchigan, USA.
- 21. Lawrence, A.J. (1968). "The determination of lactose in milk products". Aust. J. Dairy Technol. 23: 103.
- 22. Mabbitt L. A., Chpman H. R., Berridge N. J. (1955). J. Dairy Res. 22, 365.
- Metzger; L. E., Barbano D. M. and Kindsted P. S. (2001). Effect of milk preacidification on low fat Mozzarella cheese: III. Post- Melt chewiness and whiteness. J. Dairy Sci., Vol. 84, 1357-1366.
- Metzger, L.E., Barbano D.M., Rudan M.A. and P.S. Kindstedt (2000).Effect of milk preacidification on low.Fat Mozzarelle cheese. I. Composition and yield. J. Dairy Sci., 83: 648-658.
- Najafi ; M. B. H., Arianfar A. and Ghoddosi H. B. (2006). Study on physic-chemical, rheological and sensory properties of Mozzarella cheese made by direct acidification.J. Agric. & Environ Sci., 1, 3: 268-272.
- Nelson, J.A. and Trout, G.M. (1956).Judging Dairy products 4<sup>th</sup> Ed.The Olsen Publishing Co. Milwaukee Wis. 53212.
- 27. Nolan E. J., Holsinger V. H. and Shieh J. J. (1989). Dynamic rheological properties of natural and

imitation Mozzarella cheese. J. of Texture Studies 20: 179-189.

- Olson, N. F, (1970). Citric acid in the direct acidification method for mozzarella cheese. www.Marschall.com/marschall/proceed/pdf/6803.pdf
- Panthi A. R. R. (2007). Preparation of Mozzarella Cheese from Sour Milk by Direct Acidification Method. Food Technology Instruction Committee Institute of Science and Technology. Tribhuvan University Nepal.
- Rudan, M.A. and Barbano D.M. (1998). A model of Mozzarella cheese melting and browing during pizza baking. J. Dairy Sci. 81: 2312-2319.
- 31. S 9677
- Sameen A., Muhammad F. A., Huma N. and Nawaz H. (2010). Chemical composition and sensory evaluation of Mozzarella cheese: influence by milk sources, fat levels, starter culture and ripening period. Pak. J. Agri. Sci., Vol. 47(1), 26-31.
- SAS (2004). Statistical Analysis System. SAS User's Guide Statistical. Release 6.12 Educations. SAS Institute Cary, NC, USA.
- Savello, P.A., Emstrom C.A. and Kalab M. (1989). Microstructure and meltability of model process cheese made with rennet and acid casein. J. Dairy. Sci., 72: 1-12.
- Shehata A. E., Meena L. Olson N. F. and Richardson (1967). Effect of type of acid used in direct acidification procedures on moisture, firmness and calcium levels of cheese. J. Dairy Sci.50: 824.
- Shehata, A.E., Olson, N.F. (1966). Manufacture of blue cheese by direct acidification methods. Journal of Dairy Science 49, 1025-1034.
- Shimp, L.A. (1985). "Processed cheese principles". Food Technology, 39(5) 63-64-66, 68, 70.
- Thomas, M.A. (1973). The use of hard- milk- fatfraction in processed cheese. Aust. J. Dairy Technol., 28: 77-83.
- Tunick M.H., Van Hekken D.L., Cook P.H., Smith P.W., Malin E.L., (2000). Effect of high pressure micro fluidization on microstructure of Mozzarella cheese. Lebensm. Wiss. U-Technol. 33, 538-544.
- VanHooydonk A. C. M., Hagedoorn H. G. and Boerrigter I. J. (1986). pH-indced phsico-chemical changes of casein micelles in milk and their effect on renneting. 1. Effect of acidification on physicchemical properties. Neth. Milk Dairy J. 40: 281-296.
- 41. Western Dairy Products (118 World Trade Center, san Francisco, CO 94111) (1977) Technical Bulletin No. T11 8. 2.

12/13/2014