

## The mediating effect of self-efficacy on the relationships between learning organization and career advancement among academic employees in Hamadan, Iran

Bitarsa Parsa<sup>1</sup>, Khairudin Bin Idris<sup>1</sup>, Bahaman Bin Abu Samah<sup>1</sup>, Nor Wahiza Binti Abdul Wahat<sup>1</sup>, Parisa Parsa<sup>2</sup>, Nakisa Parsa<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Professional Development and Continuing Education, Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, (UPM), Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Chronic Diseases Care (at Home) Research Center, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Human Development & Family Studies Family, Faculty of Human Ecology, University Putra Malaysia (UPM), Malaysia

Corresponding author: Bitarsa Parsa, email: [bparsa2004@gmail.com](mailto:bparsa2004@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** The aim of this study was to determine relationship between career advancement and learning organization through mediating effect of self-efficacy among academic employees in two public universities in Hamadan, Iran. A survey using a self-administered structured questionnaire was done among 307 randomly selected academics. The results show that learning organization and self-efficacy were significantly related to academic career advancement ( $P < 0.05$ ). The findings show self-efficacy has partially mediated the relationship between career advancement with learning organization ( $P < 0.05$ ). Therefore, universities may strength their academic self-efficacy to increase career advancement.

[Bitarsa Parsa, Khairudin Bin Idris, Bahaman Bin Abu Samah, Nor Wahiza Binti Abdul Wahat, Parisa Parsa, Nakisa Parsa. **The mediating effect of self-efficacy on the relationships between learning organization and career advancement among academic employees in Hamadan, Iran.** *Life Sci J* 2014;11(11):218-222] (ISSN:1097-8135). <http://www.lifesciencesite.com>. 30

**Keywords:** Learning organization; Career advancement; Self-efficacy; Academic employees; Iran

### 1. Introduction

Learning is an important effort for all workers throughout their careers. As people progress in any career, they need to be able to continue to learn from experience. Learning organization is an organization that helps facilitate the learning of all its members and consciously modifies itself and affects its context (Campbell, 2000; Fay & Frese, 2001; Fay & Kamps, 2006). Ability to learn from experience is a predictor of career advancement (London & Maurer, 2004; Van Velsor, Moxley & Bunker, 2004). Career advancement can lead to productivity and success of educational centers and universities. Few studies have done to determine the factors related to career advancement in Iranian academic employees. They found out that having higher education level plays an important role in employees and advancement in their working life (Yousefy & Baratali, 2011; Ramazannia et al., 2010).

In recent years the number of tertiary education in Iran has been increasing. Most of universities and educational center have just hired employees with high education. However, it is not necessarily to bring satisfactions for employees with their work and career advancement (Hamidi et al., 2012; Najafi et al., 2011). Previous researches have done by Hamidi and Eivazi (2010) and Hazavehei and Samadi (2007) among employees in Hamadan University of Medical Sciences showed that most of

the employees were dissatisfied with their jobs. That could be one of the reasons of growing number of psychological problems among college students (Parsa et al., 2014).

In other hand, self-efficacy has an impact on an individual's ways of thinking and emotional reactions. According to Garvin et al. (2008), a learning organization is "a place where employees excel at creating, acquiring, and transferring knowledge". Self-efficacy can also be explained as a person's self to accomplish a task (Bandura, 1986). Therefore, high perceived of self-efficacy will most positively guide to increased advancement and success at the work. When compared to any other motivational construct, self-efficacy has confirmed to be good measurement to predict behavioral outcomes, especially in psychology and educational domain (Graham & Weiner, 1996).

In doing so, this study attempts to contribute to the career advancement literature by linking personal characteristics, work related factors and learning organizational. Thus the aim of this study was to investigate the relationships between learning organization, self-efficacy and career advancement among academic employees in two Hamadan universities. This study also examined the mediation effect of self-efficacy in the relationships between learning organization and career advancement.

## 2. Material and Methods

The survey method was carried out based on probability proportional sampling procedure. The questionnaires were administrated to 400 randomly selected academics (200 academics in Hamadan Medical Sciences University and 200 academics in Bu-Ali Sina University). However, only 307 questionnaires with complete information for all questions were returned (162 in HMSU and 145 in BSU). The components in the questionnaire included respondents' demography background, learning organization, self-efficacy and career advancement. Respondents provided their gender, age, marital status, household size, and job classification.

Learning Organization was assessed with the Dimensions of Learning Organization Questionnaire (DLOQ) developed by Watkins and Marsick (1993, 2003). The seven dimensions in the DLOQ were measured by 21 items on a Five-point Likert-type scale. Respondents were asked to determine the extent to which each of the questions reflects their organization in the aspects of learning culture (1= almost never; 5 = almost always). Although the DLOQ is a relatively new instrument, it has been validated in several recent empirical studies (Ellinger et al, 2002; Watkins & Marsick, 2003; Yang, 2003; Song et al., 2009). The reliability test for total scale in present study was appropriate (Cronbach's alpha=.908) and for seven dimensions was as follows: Team learning (.741), Continuous learning (.676), System connections (.860), Inquiry and dialogs (.796), Support leadership (.794), Embedded system (.847), Empowering people (.719).

This study used an Occupational Self-efficacy Scale (Schyns and Von Collani, 2002) which includes 8 items are rated on a six-point Likert-type scale: "not at all true=1" to "exactly true=6". Higher scores indicate higher levels of perceived occupational self-efficacy. Reliability of the scale has been demonstrated in a previous study (Schyns and Von Collani 2002). In present study the reliability was at desirable level (alpha= .899).

The career advancement scale was developed by Weng and Hu (2009) to measure the four dimensions including: career goal progress, professional ability development, promotion speed and remuneration growth. In present study, the reliability of total scale was good (Cronbach's alpha=.890). The coefficient alphas for career goal progress, professional ability development, promotion speed and remuneration growth were at desirable level as: .782, .849, .746 and .923, respectively. The convergent validity of the measurement models were tested by utilizing average variance extracted (AVE) and construct reliability

(CR). A high AVE value which is represented by a value that is (AVE>.5) greater than .5 indicates that the latent variables have adequate and acceptable convergent validity (Hair et al., 2010; Ford et al., 1986). Reliability value that is between .6 and .7 can be accepted if other indicators of the construct validity are good (Hair et al., 2010). CR for learning organization, self-efficacy and career advancement was .97, .60 and .95, respectively. So, all variables have good construct reliability (Table 1). The Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was used for data analysis.

**Table 1. Descriptive statistics, construct validity and correlations (n=307).**

Latent Constructs	Mean	SD	AVE	CR	X1	X2	Y
LO (X1)	55.11	10.91	.58	.97	1		
Self-eff. (X2)	29.25	6.50	.52	.60	.35**	1	
CA (Y)	37.88	9.87	.57	.95	.51**	.50**	1

\*P<.05;

\*\* P<.01

## 3. Results

As shown in Table 1, there were significant correlation between career advancement with learning organization ( $r=.51$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), career advancement with self-efficacy ( $r=.50$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), learning organization with self-efficacy ( $r=.35$ ,  $p<0.01$ ).

Table 2, represents personal and professional profiles of the respondents. The mean age (standard deviation) of the respondents was 39.67 (7.4) years. The proportion of female was almost same as male, (44.6 % female and 55.4% male). The majority (83.4%) of the respondents were married. For those who were married, 27.7% had no children; the majority (66.8%) reported having 1-2 children. Only 5.57% have 3-4 children, which reveals that majority of the respondents have small family sizes. In terms of educational level, about 30.3% possessed a Master of Science (M Sc) degree and 69.7% had Doctoral degree (Ph D, Medical Specialist). It should be noted that the academics' service scheme has set the minimum requirement of M Sc. degree as the entrance qualification into the service scheme. However, during their services, academics are encouraged to pursue their post-graduate studies.

About 31.3% of respondents have organizational tenure of less than 5 years, 31.3% have between 6 to 15 years, and another 37.4 % have 16 years or more organizational experience. Most of respondents were assistant professor (55.4%) followed by inspector (31.6%), associate professor (11.1%). The academics' monthly gross incomes

were categorized based on U S Dollars. The monthly gross incomes ranged between USD 520 to 2160, with mean (standard deviation) was 1259.08 (467.3).

As shown in Table 3, there was a significant relationship between learning organization and career advancement in a direct model ( $\beta=.381$ ,  $p=.000$ ). In addition, the mediation model showed a significant relationship between learning organization and self-efficacy ( $\beta=.202$ ,  $p=.023$ ).

**Table 2. Professional and professional characteristics of respondents (n=307).**

Professional and Professional Characteristics	Freq.	%	Mean (SD)	Min-Max
Age (year)				
26-35	106	34.5	39.67 (7.4)	26-56
36-45	118	38.4		
>46	83	27.0		
Gender				
Female	137	44.6		
Male	170	55.4		
Marital status				
Single	51	16.6		
Married	256	83.4		
Educational level				
M Sc	93	30.3		
Ph D	214	69.7		
University				
HUMS	162	52.8		
BASU	145	47.2		
Work experience				
<5 (year)	96	31.3	11.63 (7.2)	2-25
6-15	96	31.3		
>16	115	37.5		
Academic level				
Inspector	97	31.6		
Assic. Prof.	170	55.4		
Assoc. Prof.	34	11.1		
Professor	6	2.0		
Academic's track				
Science	249	81.1		
Clinical	58	18.9		
Monthly Gross Incomes (USD*)				
500-1000	115	37.5	1259.08 (467.3)	520- 2160
1001-2000	178	58.0		
>2001	14	4.6		

\* 1 USD= 25000 Rials (Iran currency)

Also, Table 3 reveals that there was a significant relationship between self-efficacy and career advancement ( $\beta=.185$ ,  $p=.008$ ). In addition, there is also a significant relationship between learning organization and career advancement through mediating effect of self-efficacy ( $\beta=.343$ ,  $p=.000$ ). Thus, based on Baron and Kenny's (1986) approach, the results support that self-efficacy significantly and partially mediated the relationship between learning organization and career advancement.

**Table 3. Mediation effect of self-efficacy on the relationship between learning organization and career advancement (n=307).**

Hypothesized path	b	SE	Beta	CR	p
<b>Direct model</b>					
LO $\rightarrow$ CA	.496	.126	.381	3.928	.000
<b>Mediation model</b>					
LO $\rightarrow$ SE	.122	.050	.202	2.265	.023
SE $\rightarrow$ CA	.400	.151	.185	2.648	.008
LO $\rightarrow$ CA	.449	.123	.343	3.640	.000

LO: Learning organization

SE: Self-efficacy

CA: Career advancement

### 3. Discussions

The results of the study supported this idea that higher learning organization lead to higher career advancement. Similarly, in the study of learning organization disciplines on faculty members at the Hashemite University in Jordan by Khasawneh (2010), results shows overall moderate-to-high application of learning organization disciplines in a university setting. According to Watkins and Marsick (1993) changes in organizations, the changing nature of work, changes in the workforce and changes in how people learn, are forces compelling organizations to shift learning organization. In overall, organizations cannot survive and improve themselves with their previous knowledge and need to learn in order to strive hard to overcome the chaotic and changing conditions (Hannah & Lester, 2009).

The outcome shows that having higher learning organization characteristics in an organization related with higher level of self-efficacy among employees in their work related tasks. Perceived self - efficacy is a leading predictor of career advancement. According to Lent et al. (1994), the higher individual's perceived efficacy to accomplish learning necessities and work-related roles is associate with the wider the career options, the greater interests they have in them, the better they prepare themselves for different job-related careers, and the greater their staying power in difficult career pursuit (Lent et al., 1994 ).

This finding supports a conceptual study from Lent et al. (2000) who believed that through the learning organization, employees get more self-efficacy. Self-efficacious employees take greater initiative in their occupational self-development and produce ideas that help to promote work processes. In other hand, learning organizations that provide employees with mastery experiences, valuable co-workers relationships, and enabling performance

feedback improve employees' self-efficacy, job satisfaction, well-being, and productivity (Bandura, 2001; Saks, 1995).

The results of the path analysis showed that self-efficacy and career advancement was significantly and positively related. Similarly, longitudinal research confirms that the social cognitive career model provides a good fit to the empirical evidence on career outcome (Bandura, 2001). Day and Allen (2004) found that individuals with high self-efficacy tend to be actively involved in development and learning activities, thus are more likely to engage in and benefit more from advancement. Abele and Spurk (2009) in a longitudinal study stated the impact of employees' self-efficacy on career advancement. They have found that occupational self-efficacy measured at career entry had a positive impact on salary and status three years later and a positive impact on salary change and career satisfaction seven years later. However, career enhancing strategies that can be used by employees are subject to factors such as the type of job or occupational group and the nature of the organization (Nabi, 1999). Successful career strategies may have depended on structural characteristics of the organization (Riordan, 2007).

#### 4. Conclusions

The nature of self-efficacy as a mediator implied that career advancement of academic employees can be improved if these persons believe their self-abilities and do not experience insecurity at their workplace. Therefore, academics need to be equipped with appropriate skills and having a learning organization to increase academics' self-efficacy and promote their career advancement.

#### Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to academic members in Hamadan University of Medical Sciences and Bu-Ali University for their cooperation to carry out this work and also thank from Universiti Putra Malaysia for the support of this study.

#### Corresponding Author

Bitra Parsa (Ph D candidate), Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43300 Serdang, Selangore, Malaysia.

Email: [bparsa2004@gmail.com](mailto:bparsa2004@gmail.com)

#### References

1. London, M., & Maurer, T. (2004). Leadership development: A diagnostic model for continuous learning in dynamic organizations. In J. Antonakis, A. Cianciolo, & R. Sternberg (eds.), *The nature of leadership* (pp. 222 – 245). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
2. Van Velsor, E., Moxley, R., & Bunker, K. (2004). The leadership development process. In C.D. McCauley & E. Van Velsor (Eds.), *The Center for Creative Leadership handbook of leadership development* (pp. 204 – 233). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
3. Yousefy A., & Baratali M. (2011). Women, employment and higher education schoolings. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 15, 3861–3869
4. Ramazannia J., Kavousian J., Beigy A., Emami M., Hadavizadeh A. (2010) The study of job satisfaction among Bandar Abbas Islamic Azad university staff. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 5, 258–261.
5. Hamidi, Y., Mehri, M., Zamanparvar, A., & Imani, B. (2012). Relationship between managerial skills and employees job stress in health centers. *Journal of Research in Health Sciences*, 12(2), 122-126
6. Najafi, L., Hamidi, Y., Ghiasi M., Shahhoseini, R., & Emami, H. (2011). Performance evaluation and its effects on employees' job motivation in Hamadan city health centers. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 5(12), 1761-1765.
7. Hamidi Y, & Eivaz Z. (2010). The relationships among employees' job stress, job satisfaction and the organizational performance of Hamadan urban health centers. *Social Behavioral Personality*, 38(7), 963-968.
8. Hazavehei, S. M. M., & Samadi, A. (2007). Factors contributing in job satisfaction in employees of Hamedan governmental organizations. *Journal of Research in Behavioural Sciences*, 5(9), 47-54.
9. Parsa, N., Yaacob, S. N, Redzuan, M., Parsa, P., Sabour Esmaeili, N. (2014). Parental attachment, interparental conflict and late adolescents' self-efficacy. *Asian Social Science*, 10 (8), 123-131.
10. Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
11. Graham, S., & Weiner, B. (1996). Theories and principles of motivation. In D. C. Berliner & R. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 63-84). New York: Macmillan.
12. Watkins, K. E., & Marsick, V. J. (1993). *Sculpting the learning organization: Lessons in the art and science of systemic change*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
13. Ellinger, A. D., Ellinger, A. E., Yang, B., & Howton, S. W. (2002). The relationship

- between the learning organization concept and firms' financial performance: An empirical assessment. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 13(1), 5–21.
14. Watkins, K. E., & Marsick, V. J. (Eds.). (2003). Make learning count! Diagnosing the learning culture in organizations. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 5(2), 96-108.
  15. Yang, B. (2003). Identifying valid and reliable measures for dimensions of a learning culture. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 5(2), 152–162.
  16. Song, J. H, Joo, B., & Chermack, T. (2009). The dimensions of learning organization questionnaire (DLOQ): A validation study in a Korean context. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 20 (1). 135-142.
  17. Schyns, B., & Von Collani, G. (2002). A new occupational self-efficacy scale and its relation to personality constructs and organizational variables. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*. 11(2): 219-241.
  18. Weng, Q. X., & Hu, B. (2009). The structure of career growth and its impact on employees' turnover intention. *Industrial Engineering and Management*, 14(1), 14–21.
  19. Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R.E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis: A Global Perspective*. (7<sup>th</sup> Ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
  20. Ford, J.K., MacCallum, R.C., & Trait, M. (1986). The Application of Exploratory Factor Analysis in Applied Psychology: A Critical Review and Analysis. *Personal Psychology*. 39, 291-314.
  21. Khasawneh, S. (2010). *Learning Organization Disciplines in Higher Education Institutions: An Approach to Human Resource Development in Jordan*. Springer Science Business Media, LLC
  22. Campbell, D. J. (2000). The proactive employee: managing workplace initiative. *The Academy of Management Executive*, 14, 52–66.
  23. Fay, D. and Frese, M. (2001). The concept of personal initiative: an overview of validity studies. *Human Performance*, 14, 97–124.
  24. Fay, D. & Kamps, A. (2006), 'Work characteristics and the emergence of a sustainable workforce: do job design principles matter?' *Gedrag and Organisatie*, 19, 184–203.
  25. Hannah, S. T., & Lester, P. B. (2009). A multilevel approach to building and leading learning organizations. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 20: 34-48.
  26. Garvin, D. A., Edmondson, A. C., & Gino, F. (2008). Is yours a learning organization? *Harvard Business Review*, 86(3), 109-116.
  27. Lent, R.W., Brown, S.D. & Hackett, G. (1994). Toward a unifying social cognitive theory of career and academic interest, choice, and performance. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 45, pp. 79-122.
  28. Lent, R.W., Brown, S.D. & Hackett, G. (2000). Contextual support and barriers to career choice: a social cognitive analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 47, 36-49.
  29. Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: An magnetic perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55, 1-26.
  30. Saks, A. M. (1995). Longitudinal field investigation of the moderating and mediating effects of self-efficacy on the relationship between training and newcomer adjustment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 80, 211–225.
  31. Day, R., & Allen, T. D. (2004). The relationship between career motivation and self-efficacy with protégé career success. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 64, 72–91.
  32. Abele, A. E. and Spurk, D. (2009). The longitudinal impact of self-efficacy and career goals on objective and subjective career success. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 74 , 53–62
  33. Nabi, G. (1999). An investigation into the differential profile of predictors of objective and subjective career success. *Career Development International*, 4(4), pp. 212–224.
  34. Riordan, S. (2007). *Career psychology factors as antecedents of career success of women academics in South Africa*. Doctoral dissertation. University of Cape Town, South Africa.

6/25/2014