Bio-chemical biomarkers in algae *Scenedesmus obliquus* exposed to heavy metals Cd, Cu and Zn

Yahia Y. I. Mosleh[1,2,*] and Jelan Mofeed[2]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, King AbdulAziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
[2]Department of Aquatic Environment, Faculty of Fish Resources, Suez University, Suez, Egypt.
ymosleh@kau.edu.sa

Abstract: Laboratory studies were conducted to determine the effects of different concentrations of Cu, Cd, Zn and mixture (equal concentrations from the three heavy metals) on growth and some oxidative stress (catalase and glutathione reductase) on *Scenedesmus obliquus* (microalgae) after exposure for 24, 48, and 96 h. In addition, the uptake of Cu, Cd and Zn were determined in the culture medium after 24, 48 and 96h of exposure. The values of LC_{10} were (99.4 ± 3.8, 120.3 ± 3.4 and 75.6 ± 3.9 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ after 24 h for Cu, Cd and Zn, respectively). The catalase (CAT) and glutathione reductase (GR) enzyme activities were used as biomarkers to evaluate the toxic effects of Cu, Cd, Zn and in the mixture on the microalgae. Enzymatic activities were measured in the presence of each compound alone after 24, 48 and 96 h and also in mixture after the same time of exposure. While CAT activity which increase by low concentrations, started to decrease with the higher concentrations (50 -100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$). The tested heavy metals (Cu, Cd and Zn) show a significant increase in up take within concentrations. The results showed that Cu, Cd, Zn and mixture induced antioxidative enzyme activities (CAT and GR) at different concentrations. Additionally, a decrease in Chl.a, Chl.b and caritenoids was observed in algae after exposure to Cu, Cd, Zn and mixture.
[Yahia Y. I. Mosleh and Jelan Mofeed. **Bio-chemical biomarkers in algae *Scenedesmus obliquus* exposed to heavy metals Cd, Cu and Zn.** *Life Sci J* 2014;11(10):994-1004]. (ISSN:1097-8135). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 153

Keywords: Biomarkers, *Scenedesmus obliquus* (microalgae), heavy metals and oxidative stress.

1. Introduction

Heavy metals group is one of the main water pollutants. In aquatic environment heavy metals present naturally with low concentration, which are not harmful to the environment and trace amounts of some heavy metals, including manganese, copper, iron, cobalt, zinc and molybdenum may play very important roles in both growth and metabolism of the aquatic organisms **(Amundsen et al., 1997).** The Environmental Protection Agency reported that, heavy metals have major importance in bioavailability studies **(McKinney and Rogers, 1992)** due to their potential for human exposure and increased health risk. The presence of heavy metals in the aquatic environment in excess reveal the occurrence of additional extra sources. Those sources could be natural "erosion, volcano and deposition" or resulted from anthropogenic activities "domestic sewage, industrial effluent and agricultural run-off" **(Stephen et al., 2013).** Many heavy metals are known to reduce growth and interrupt metabolic activities **(Nalewajko and Prepas, 1996)**, while, high concentrations have ecotoxicological effects **(Ahluwalia and Manjit, 1988).** The toxic effects of heavy metals towards aquatic organisms depend not only on their concentrations but also on the forms of their occurrence **(Mei et al 2003).** So, contamination of the aquatic environment by non-biodegradable heavy metals has been a subject of much concern in the recent years **(Moreno-Garrido et al. 2000** and **Devriese et al., 2001).**

Algae considered as the primary producers and the basis of most aquatic ecosystems, hence, algae have been shown to be good bioindicators to qualitative and quantitative heavy metal contamination **(Bryan, 1983** and **Soderlund et al., 1988)**, specially, microalgae which are sensitive to any environmental changes. Both diatoms and green algae are the most universally used microalgae for toxicity tests **(Moreno-Garrido et al. 2000)**. Pollution by heavy metals cause disturbance in aquatic ecosystems, which lead to loss of biodiversity as well as increase in bioaccumulation and hence, magnify the toxicants effect in the food chain **(Pena-Castro et al., 2004)**. These metals may cause toxic effects and teratogenic changes to aquatic organisms and hence affect its consumers. They also remain in the sediments and slowly released into the final receptors. Therefore, because water considered as the basis for all living organisms, protection of aquatic environment is necessary for the entire ecosystem and also in order to reduce the risk on human health **(Abou-Shanab et al 2011)**.

Many organisms, including microalgae utilize Zinc as an essential micronutrient, where it acts as enzyme cofactor; however, higher concentrations is toxic to most aquatic life. Since it decreases cell division, carotenoids, total chlorophyll, mobility and ATPase activity in microalgae **(Omar 2002)**. High human intake of Zn causes violent vomiting, abdominal

pain, degenerative changes in the liver and collapse. Copper infrequently found in natural water but mostly increase by anthropogenic polluted water **(Andrews and Sutherland 2004),** where it is originates from dumped sewage, industrial effluents, pesticide or seepage. High concentration of Cu results in headache, cirrhosis, necrosis, gastrointestinal disturbance where it inhibit enzymatic reactions and even liver failure **(Company et al. 2004)**. Cadmium which considered as the most toxic element to human life, reduced immunopotency, cardiac enlargement, cause hyperglycemia, gonadal atrophy, pulmonary emphysema and kidney failure. Meanwhile, poisoning by lead causes abdominal pain, anemia, weight and coordination loss, insomnia (**Khallaf et al., 1998)**, constipation and vomiting **(Rehman et al., 2006).** Natural unpolluted water can also contain low concentrations of lead in the form of organic lead complexes. High concentrations of lead have serious effects related to the central nervous system. Severe toxicity by lead cause abortions, sterility and neonatal mortality **(Goyer, 1993).** Generally, heavy metal considered as major environmental pollutants and regarded to be cytotoxic, mutagenic and carcinogenic. The bioaccumulation of metals by bacteria, fungi and algae have been extensively studied in the last years. Of the studied microorganism, algae are gaining attention, because of the fact that, algae are rich source in the aquatic environment, relatively cheap, quick and able to accumulate high metal content. Hence, microalgae have been widely used in biological monitoring and assessment of safe environmental levels of heavy metals.

Hence, the present study focus on the use of microalgae as bioindicator for heavy metals, by evaluating the toxic effect of different concentration of some heavy metals on growth, photo-synthesis, and some physiological activities of the microalgae *Scenedesmus obliquus*.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Microalgae culture

The microalga *Scenedesmus obliquus* (SAG 276-3a; Gottingen, Germany cultures; formerly *S. acutus*; **Schlosser, 1994**) was maintained in batch cultures containing 200 mL of mineral growth medium (pH 6.3; **Couderchet and Böger, 1993**). This medium consisted of (in $mg.L^{-1}$): $ZnSO_4$ _ $7H_2O$ 0.0063; LiCl 0.0075; KI 0.249; NH_4VO_3 0.0029; KNO_3 1000; $NiSO_4$ _ $7H_2O$ 0.023; $MnCl_2$ _ $4H_2O$ 0.099; $CuSO_4.5H_2O$ 0.0025; $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ _ $14H_2O$ 5.88; $MgSO_4$ 24.4; H_3BO_2 0.031; KH_2PO_4 740; KBr 0.237; $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{26}$ _ $4H_2O$ 0.0018; Na_2HPO_4 - $3H_2O$ 260; $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$ 14.4; Tritiplex III EDTA 13.3; $CoSO_4$-$6H_2O$ 0.0028.

Aeration to the algal culture must be continuous with filtered air and placed on an orbital shaker (130 rpm) at 25 ^oC and under white fluorescent lamps provided continuous illumination of 63 µmol $PAR/m^2/s$ (Sylvania Grolux F 36W). Microalgae suspensions were continuously bubbled. Into 200 mL of fresh growth medium, 20 mL of 1-week-old suspension were added every week to make the subcultures.

2.2. Treatment with heavy metals

A stock solution of Cu, Cd, Zn and heavy metal mixture "HM mix." (equal concentrations from the three heavy metals) from (100 mg L^{-1} of active ingredient) were made to reach final concentrations of 5, 10, 50 and 100 µg L^{-1}. Toxicity was evaluated after 24, 48 and 96 hours in well microplates. The microplates were placed on an orbital shaker (600 rpm) at 2272-1^oC and under continuous light with intensity, 62.75 µmol $PAR/m^2/s$ for 24, 48 and 96 h. After the incubation period, the algae were collected and centrifuged (2300 g, 20 min).

2.3. Determination of lethal concentrations

The tests were conducted to determine the lethal concentrations (LC_{50}) of Cd, Cu and Zn against *S. obliquus* as described above, a range of different concentrations of Cd, Cu and Zn (5, 10, 50 and 100 µg L^{-1}), formulations were prepared in 100 mL of distilled water. The toxicity was evaluated after 24, 48 and 96 h in microplates. Three replicates without Cd, Cu or Zn were used as control. After 24, 48 and 96 h the LC_{10}, LC_{25}, and LC_{50} values were determined graphically according to **Finney (1971).** Lethal concentrations values from three experiments were averaged and mean standard deviations are presented.

2.4. Growth rate determination

The growth rate of *S. obliquus* was determined by counting cell number with Malassey's cell. The cell growth rate was calculated for 24, 48 and 96 h after addition of the heavy metals "Cu, Cd Zn and heavy metal mixture" with different concentrations (5, 10, 50 and 100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$) to the medium.

2.5. Metal Uptake

To determination the metal uptake by the algae; the salts of respective metal like copper sulphate ($CuSO_4$), cadmium chloride ($CdCl_2$) and Zinc chloride ($ZnCl_2$) were dissolved in double distilled water in different concentration 5, 10, 50 and 100 ppm. (Control without metal concentration used). Heavy metals like cadmium (Cd), Zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) estimation of samples were done digesting with $HClO_4$, HNO_3 (1:4 V/V) and diluted with double distilled water. The various concentration of metals was measured by using Inductively Couple Plasma spectrometer, Perkin Elmer Corporation (ICP optima 3300RL).

The uptake of heavy metals by isolated microorganism. The isolated microorganism was cultured in YPG broth at 30 °C for 4 days and was then harvested by centrifugation at 2000 g for 15 min. Next, 100 mg (dry weight) of harvested cells were suspended

in 100 ml of 10 mM Tris-HCl buVer (pH 7.0) containing 1–5 mg of either Cd^{2+}, Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+}, or 2.5 mg each of Cd^{2+} Zn^{2+}, Cu^{2+} Zn^{2+}, and Cd^{2+} Cu^{2+}. These suspended solutions were shaken at 100 rpm at 30 °C. Residual heavy metals in the upper phase following centrifugation at 2000 g for 15 min were quantized by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Shimadzu, Japan).

2.6. Enzyme assays

For determination the enzyme activities, algal suspensions were incubated for 24, 48 and 96 h in 20 mL of medium supplied with different concentrations of Cd, Cu and Zn under the conditions described above. After 24, 48 and 96 h of exposure, the cultures were collected, and the enzyme extracts were obtained after centrifugation (5 min, 2000 g, 8 ^{o}C) of an algal suspension containing 300-420 mg of chlorophyll. The algal pellet was resuspended in 250 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7) and was ground in a porcelain mortar with some Fontainebleau sand for 5 min, the extract was washed in 200 mL of potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.5). Enzyme extracts were then collected and centrifuged for 25 min at 1500 g (5 ^{o}C). Both extracts were centrifuged again for 20 min at 25,000g (2 ^{o}C). The protein content was determined according to **Bradford (1976).**

The catalase (CAT) activity was measured spectrophotometrically by following the consumption of H_2O_2 at 240 nm for 1 min at 25 ^{o}C, **(Aebi, 1984)**, in potassium phosphate buffer (750 mL, 50 mM, pH 7.5) containing the enzyme extract (10 mg protein). An addition of 200 mM H_2O_2 (100 mL) started the enzymatic reaction. Absorbance at 340 nm was followed for 4 min and the activity was expressed as the consumption of micromoles of CDNB per minute.

GR activities were determined according to the method described by **Beutler (1969)** using a kit supplied by Northwest Life Science Specialties (NWLSSTM), Vancouver, Canada, (Cat. No. NWK-GR01).

2.7. Chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids.

After 96 hours of exposure, 4 ml of 100% acetone was placed into a known concentrated cells titer (from each concentration of the three heavy metals) of 1 mL and homogenized at 1000 rpm for one min. The homogenate was centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was separated and the absorbance was read at 400-700 nm on Schimadzu UV-260 spectrophotometer. It was recorded that chlorophyll a showed the maximum absorbance at 662 nm while chlorophyll b at 646 nm and the amounts of these pigments were calculated according to the simultaneous equations of **Lichtenthaler and Wellburn (1983).** Mainewhile the carotenoids was calculated according to **Holm (1954)** as follows:

Chl a = 11.75 A_{662} - 2.350 A_{646}

Chl b = 18.61 A_{646} - 3.960 A_{662}

Chl. a+b= 17.76 A_{646} + 7.34 A_{662}

Car. = 4.69 A_{440} - 0.267 Chl.a+b

2.8. Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in four replicates and repeated three times. Data presented in this study are the means standard deviation (SD). All statistical analyses were performed with Sigma Stat 2.03 (SSCP Inc.) for Windows.

The cluster analysis is an explicit way of multivariate analysis identifying similarity and relations between parameters in row data **(Jongman et al., 1987)**. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index in cluster analysis has been used by the MVSP program (multivariate statistical package).

The matrix of biological parameters were subjected to Canonical corresponding analysis using **CANOCO** (**cano**nical **c**ommunity **o**rdination) program **(Ter Break, 1987)**.

3. Results

3.1. Growth rate Inhibition

The values of LC_{10} (99.4 ± 3.8, 120.3 ± 3.4 and 75.6 ± 3.9 µg L^{-1} after 24 h for Cu, Cd and Zn, respectively) are shown in (Table 1). The *S. obliquus* was then exposed to those sublethal concentrations and the physiological parameters were measured after 24, 48 and 96 h of exposure. Inspection of table (2 and 3) reveled that, the rate of growth inhibition of *S. obliquus* in different concentration (5, 10, 50 and 100 µg.L^{-1}) for the tested heavy metals (Cu, Cd, Zn and HM mix have more or less the same trend, except with low concentration, especially in case of Cu and Zn. Where, the low concentration of Zn (5 µg.L^{-1}) enhance the growth rate compared to the control by about 4.1 - 2.9%, while Cu support growth within the lowest concentration (5 µg.L^{-1}) by 1.3% after 24 h and 0.9% after 48 h. In contrast with that, the same concentration of Cd shows no effect on the growth rate. On the whole, the inhibition rate of the studied alga was increased with increase of the heavy metals (Cu, Cd, Zn and HM mix) concentrations as well as longer exposure period, giving the maximum inhibition within 100 µg.L^{-1} (25.4 - 58.9, 47.8 - 75.8, 20.6 - 37.7 and 54 - 84.3 µg.L^{-1} for Cu, Cd, Zn and HM mix respectively). Concerning the growth inhibition after exposure for 24-96 h clarify that, except within the mixture, Cd gave the higher inhibition rate within all its concentrations, followed by Cu and then Zn. It is worth mentioning that, the control reflect no growth inhibition through over the time of experiment.

3.1. Effects of metals on the photosynthesis

Compared with the control, the obtained concentration of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids show different response to the different concentrations of the three tested heavy metals (Cu, Cd and Zn) and HM

mix. A glance on (Fig. 1) reveal that, Cu enhance both chlorophyll a and b within the low concentration during the exposure period. Where, Chl. a was 43.8 $mg.g^{-1}$ with 1 $\mu g.L^{-1}$, while Chl.b reached 26.4 $\mu mol.g^{-1}$ FW within the same concentration. In contrast with high Cu concentrations which inhibit both of them giving its maximum inhibition (16.3 $\mu mol.g^{-1}$ FW for chl.a and 9.8 $\mu mol.g^{-1}$ FW for chl.b) at 100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$. On the other hand, carotenoids maintain gradual decrease with increase of Cu concentration, where it decrease by 61.7% within the highest concentration. A more or less the same phenomena recorded in case of zinc, which support the increase in chl.a (40.2 $\mu mol.g^{-1}$ FW) and chl.b (23.1 $\mu mol.g^{-1}$ FW) only within the lowest concentration (5 $\mu g.L^{-1}$). It is worth mentioning that, caritenoid tended to be unchanged (14.1 $mg.g^{-1}$) within concentrations 10 and 50 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ for Zn. However, the other treatments of Cd and the HM mixture inhibit the values of chl.a,b and caritenoid with a marked superiority of the HM mixture inhibition. An overview of the results clarify that, the highest inhibition percentage always recorded in chl.a.

3.2. CAT activity:

Anent, CAT activity of *Scenedesmus* cell in different concentrations of the tested heavy metals and the mixture reveal variation in response, where the CAT activity which increase by low concentrations, started to decrease with the higher concentrations (50 - 100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$). As it was illustrated in Fig. (2) both Cu and Zn have a more or less the same effect on CAT activity, where except within the high concentration (71- 63 and 74-58 µmol/ H_2O_2 decomposed/ mg protein/ min within 100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ Cu and Zn respectively), enhance the CAT activity more than the control (82 - 86 µmol/ H_2O_2 decomposed/ mg protein/ min) during the period of the experiment, giving its maximum activity within 10 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ in case of Cu (110-118 µmol/ H_2O_2 decomposed/ mg protein/ min) and within 5 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ in case of Zn (116 -120 µmol/ H_2O_2 decomposed/ mg protein/ min). In contrast to the above, Cd and HM mix suppressed CAT activity than that recorded in the control throughout the experiment period except within concentration 5 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ only after 24h (85 - 96 µmol/ H_2O_2 decomposed/ mg protein/ min for Cd and HM mix respectively).

3.4. GR activity

It is of interest to mention that, in contrast to the above CAT activity, the tree heavy metals support the GR activity within all concentrations and during the entire period of experiment (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, GR activity within HM mixture follow the same trend only after 24 hour, meanwhile after 48h only the low concentrations enhance GR activity (129 and 139 U.mg/ protein within 5 and 10 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ respectively), however high concentrations significantly inhibit its activity (65 and 52 U.mg/ protein within 50 and 100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ respectively). Except within 10 µg HM $mix.L^{-1}$ (77 U.mg/ protein) a more or less the same phenomena were recorded after 96 hours.

3.5. Up Take of heavy metals

A glance of table (4) reveal that, the three heavy metals tended to accumulate in the algal cell but with different concentrations during the time of experiment. The tested heavy metals (Cu, Cd and Zn) show a significant increase in up take within concentrations. Where, the Cu concentration in the algal cell fluctuated from 0.05 $\mu g.g^{-1}$ at 5 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ after 24 h to 2.6 $\mu g.g^{-1}$ at 100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ after 96 h for Cu, A more or less phenomena recorded in Zn up take ranged from 0.07 $\mu g.g^{-1}$ at concentration 5 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ after 24h to 3.4 $\mu g.g^{-1}$ at 100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ after 96h. It is noticeable that, the rang of uptake in case of Cd (0.09 at 5 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ after 24 h to 4.24 $mg.g^{-1}$ at 100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$ after 96 h) is significantly higher than that of Zn and Cu during the period of experiment (24, 48 and 96h). Among this, the difference in algal uptake for the three heavy metals within the high concentrations (50 and 100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$) were impressive high.

Canonical corresponding analysis (CCA)

Overlaying fig (4), by using the **C**anonical **C**orresponding **A**nalysis (CCA) the relations between the effect of the three tested heavy metals (Cu, Cd and Zn) and its mixture will be more obvious. A high similarity between Cu and Zn in their effect on the algal growth which present in the same quarter (side). However, a weaker relation between above effect and that of the HM mixture present in the same side appeared as a dotted line. In contrast to the above, Cd negatively affected the growth with high dissimilarity, except to certain limit, with the effect of the HM mixture.

Cluster analysis:

It is of interest to mention that, as shown in cluster analysis (Fig. 4), the effect of the tested heavy metals (Cu, Cd and Zn) on the production of both chlorophyll b and carotenoids were closely related in a minor subgroup. While, chlorophyll a respond differently to the same heavy metals and tended to be highly dissimilar with the other parameters, especially when treated with copper. Regarding (Fig. 4) showed that, the algal cell had a more or less the same ability to uptake Cu and Zn (high similarity) followed by the ability to up take Cd (with less similarity). Again, concerning the effect of the tested heavy metals on the growth, both copper and zinc tended to related with each other in minor subgroup. The most noticeable result in (Fig. 4) is the high dissimilarity between the effect of the three tested heavy metals on the activity of the two enzymes (CAT and GR) with especial highlight on their dissimilarity between the effect of the each heavy metal alone and the mixture of them on the activity of GR enzyme, which related by low similarity

with effect of both Cd and HM mixture on CAT enzyme.

4. Discussion

4.1. Inhibition of growth rate

With significant growth in both urban and industry development, the use of heavy metals has also risen, causing serious environmental problems in water and damage marine life **(Bishop, 2002; Wang, 2002)**. Therefore, assessment of the heavy metals toxicity upon wild microalgae from polluted sites is of exacting importance in ecotoxicology studies, particularly because such wild species are naturally exposed to highly pollution, and consequently transmission transmits heavy metals to the food web. The most commonly used organisms for toxicity tests are the micro- green algae and diatoms **(Moreno- Garrido et al. 2000)**, which used as the most standard form, measuring any change in growth rate, so it can survive as integrated environmental monitoring factor. Growth inhibition of microorganisms due to increasing heavy metals concentration in water has been studied in the last two decades **(Báscik-Remisiewicz et al. 2009)**. The toxicity of heavy metals depends on both the concentration of heavy metal and the microalgal species, as well as the period of exposure. It is clear from the cited results that, except within low concentration, the inhibition rate of the studied alga (*S. obliquus*) was increased with increase of the heavy metals (Cu, Cd, Zn and HM mix.) concentrations as well as longer exposure period. Concerning exposure of *S. obliquus* to different Cd concentrations show that, the growth inhibition was increase gradually and the strong inhibition followed the exposure to the highest levels of Cd, which recorded up to 75.8% within 100 µg L^{-1} after 96h of exposure**. Cain et al., (1980)** found that, growth of *Scenedesmus obliquus* was affected by Cd concentrations more than 1 µg.L^{-1} which directly correlated with the extent of inhibition. **Costa and França (2003)** reported that, growth *Tetraselmis chuii* was markedly affected by 60% inhibition when exposed to 50.0 µg.L^{-1} of soluble Cd, which agree with the sited results in this study.









Fig. (1): The effects of sublethal concentration of Cu, Cd, Zn and in Chl.a, Chl.b and caritenoids of *S. obliquus* after 24 hour of exposure.





Fig. (2): CAT activity of *S. obliquus* exposed to sublethal concentration of Cu, Cd, Zn and mixture (B) after 24, 48 and 96 h of exposure.





Fig. (3): GR activity of *S. obliquus* exposed to sublethal concentration of Cu, Cd, Zn and mixture after 24, 48 and 96 h of exposure.





Fig. (4): Cluster analysis and canonical corresponding analysis (CCA).

Table (1): Toxicity of Cu, Cd and Zn against *S. obliquus* after 24, 48 and 96 h of exposure.

Metal	Concentration (µg.L^{-1})		
	Time (hours)		
	24	48	96
Cd	99.4 ± 3.8	91.3 ± 2.8	85.4 ± 2.4
Cu	120.3 ± 3.4	108.5 ± 4.8	103.3 ± 3.5
Zn	75.6 ± 3.9	70. 5 ± 3.9	63.8 ± 1.9

Fig. (2): Percentage of growth inhibition of *S. obliquus* after 24, 48 and 96 h of exposure to Cu, Cd and Zn.

Concent-rations (µg.L^{-1})	Cu			Cd			Zn		
	Time (hours)								
	24	48	96	24	48	96	24	48	96
Control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	-1.3 ± 2.5	-0.9 ± 3.6	0	0	0	0	-3.4 ± 2.4	-4.1 ± 1.9	-2.9 ± 1.7
10	3.6 ± 2.3	5.6 ± 3.5	9.9 ± 3.9	7.9 ± 2.1	15.6 ± 2.4	19.9 ± 2.8	2.3 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 0.2	6.1 ± 2.7
50	10.4 ± 2.8	19.4 ± 3.2	29.4 ± 1.3	33.3 ± 1.1	44.5 ± 2.5	58.9 ± 2.1	16.8 ± 3.5	19.5 ± 5.6	24.4 ±4.5
100	25.4 ± 3.4	39.5 ± 3.5	58.9 ± 5.4	47.8 ± 2.1	66.6 ± 3.6	75.8 ±3.7	20.6 ± 2.9	28.5 ± 4.3	37.7 ± 4,9

Fig. (3): Percentage Inhibition of growth of *S. obliquus* after 24, 48 and 96 h of exposure to mixture of heavy metals.

Concentrations (µg.L^{-1})	Mixture of heavy metals		
	Time (hours)		
	24	48	96
Control	0	0	0
5	1.9 ± 2.1	3.2 ± 3.1	4.3 ± 2.4
10	9.3 ± 2.1	13.6 ± 3.7	22.5 ± 1.3
50	45.8 ± 2.1	56.6 ± 3.6	65.8 ±3.7
100	54 ± 2.1	71.1 ± 3.1	84.3 ± 2.4

Table (4): The uptake of Cu, Cd and Zn by *S. obliquus* after different time of exposure.

Concentration (µg.g^{-1}) algae	Cu			Cd			Zn		
	Time (hours)								
	24	48	96	24	48	96	24	48	96
Control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.15	0.24	0.07	0.10	0.21
10	0.10	0.27	0.47	0.15	0.43	0.87	0.12	0.23	0.54
50	1.03	1.45	2.12	1.67	2.93	3.90	1.18	2.10	2.73
100	1.71	1.83	2.60	2.90	3.32	4.24	1.92	2.71	3.40

Mohammed and Markert (2006) relate the decrease in growth rate in *Scenedesmus quadricauda* after addition of Cd to its attribution on the respiratory process. On the other hand, the zero effect of the lowest Cd concentration may be derive from the fact, Cd is nonessential element for metabolic activities of living organisms **(Tukaj et al. 2007).** While, the observed growth inhibition in algal cells, within high concentrations of Cd and Zn, results from interference with basic physiological processes.

Meanwhile, although copper considered as essential micronutrient for algal growth, it takes the second position in toxicity after cadmium (up to 58.9 % growth inhibition after 96h). According to **Sunda and Guillard (1976),** copper toxicity generally due to the presence of free copper ions in the water. This copper ions can influence the permeability, and as a result, the cell loss its potassium ions. At the same time, the result reflected that, Cu support growth only within the lowest concentration (5 µg Cu L^{-1}) as shown in this study. **Wong et al. (1979)** explain that, presence of Cu^{+2} in the growth media by low concentration could enhance the peroxidase activity, which involved in indole acetic acid degradation, a hormone widely known by its ability for stimulating growth. On the other side, the presence of low Zn concentration (5 µg L^{-1}) in the present study enhance the growth (up to 4.1 %) more than that recorded in the control. **Báscik-Remisiewicz et al. 2009** reported that, Zn promote growth rate, since Zn is a main metabolic requirement for microalgae where it acts as an important enzyme cofactor. That what explained the mild toxicity of Zn when compared with Cd, Cu and HM mix. Again, **Omar (2002)** reported that, low zinc concentrations (i.e. 1.5, 4.5 and 8.0 µg.L^{-1}) inhibit growth of *S. quadricauda*. However, higher concentrations support toxicity (20.6 - 37.7 % growth inhibition) as well as longer exposure period. This conclusion is in agreement with **Davies (1974)** suggestion, who reported that, the microalgal cell surface consists of a mosaic of anionic and cationic mutual sites acting as ion exchangers in the medium. So, zinc can affects the microalgal growth during the growth period as agreed by previous researchers **(Shariati and Yahyaabadi 2006** and **Imani et al, 2011)**. Nevertheless, in case of the heavy metal mixture the inhibition was magnified, reflecting the serious problem resulted from discharging different source of pollutants in the aquatic ecosystem.

The present experiment did not study the mechanism of copper toxicity, but **Stauber and Florence, (1987)** pointed out that, copper may affect the permeability of the cell and then disrupting both enzyme activity and cell division, hence reducing the cell growth.

4.1. Effects of metals on the photosynthesis

All the three tested heavy metals and its mixtures inhibited the growth of *S. obliquus*, and the effects were both dose and time-dependent, the toxic order was HM mix > Cd > Cu > Zn. Unlike the effect on the growth, the impacts on the photosynthesis were more complicated. **Dinesh et al., (2014)** also reported that, growth and photosynthesis are independent processes unrelated to each other. Thus, it is necessary to take both growth and photosynthesis into account when estimating the ecological risk of a toxicant, especially under sub-lethal concentrations. Where both zinc and copper enhance the production of chl.a and b within the

low concentration during the exposure period. The result which agree with **Verweij et al. (1992),** who reported that, both copper and zinc acts as micronutrients favoring some physiological activities within low concentrations and then supporting the algal growth.

On the whole inspite of some exception (increase of chl.a and b within low concentration of zinc and copper), the higher concentration of Cu and Zn beside Cd and HM mixture (by all concentrations) reduce caritenoid, chl.a and b. The acute inhibition of photosynthesis related to the role of high concentration of heavy metal which both interrupt the physiological properties of the cell and destruct the chloroplast **(Lamaia et al., 2005).** In fact, it is well known that Cd^{2+} disorganizes chloroplast causing the damage of photosynthetic pigments **(Leborans and Novillo, 1996).** High concentrations copper is highly toxic to the algae, affecting both photosynthetic activity and growth. While, Cu^{2+} can affect photosynthetic electron transport, oxidize membrane lipids, resulting in an increased quantity of active oxygen, thus affecting photosynthesis of the microalgae. Copper may interfere with mitochondrial electron transport, respiration, ATP production and photosynthesis, causing degradation of caritenoid, chlorophyll a and b. (**Stauber and Florence 1987).**

4.2. CAT activity:

Generally, concerning enzymatic activity, low concentrations of heavy metals have stimulated CAT activity, while the response is reflected in the case of high concentration (100 $\mu g.L^{-1}$). This phenomenon can be explained that, small amounts of heavy metals (spatially Zn and Cu) could be used in enzyme synthesis. **Stauber and Florence, 1987** and also **Wilde et al., 2006** reported that, the possible mode of zinc and cadmium toxicity are related to the cell membrane, where it may interrupt the uptake of calcium which is necessary for the Ca-ATPase activity during cell division.

4.3. GR activity

Anent GR activity, the recorded results showed that, except HM mixture, the heavy metals support its activity within all concentrations during the entire period of experiment. Previous studies suggested that, heavy metals can induce oxidative stress by generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) in aquatic organisms. Indeed, ROS production by exposure to Cd, Cu, and Zn, mainly superoxides and peroxides, was detected using fluoro-phores (**Pinto, et al. 2003; Tzure-Meng et al., 2009** and **Okamoto and Colepicolo, 1998)**. However, **Kim, et al., (2012)** reported that, The mechanisms by which heavy metals induces antioxidant responses and to what extent different plant species may share a common defense mechanism are not yet fully understood.

4.3. Up Take of heavy metals

Microalgae considered as an efficient organisms in heavy metal removal from the aquatic environment. They can eliminate metal ions from water in short time by biosorption in uncomplicated systems, without any problems of toxicity. Different microorganisms, have different ability to uptake the same metal, and also, the same microorganisms may be more or less damaged by different metals **(Matsunaga et al. 1999)**. *Scenedesmus sp* has the ability to uptake and accumulate heavy metal in their cells, and known as one of the most efficient microalgae in this process. The data illustrated in table (4) performed that, accumulation of cobalt, zinc and copper by *Scenedesmus obliquus* increased with increase of the heavy metals (Cu, Cd and Zn) concentrations as well as longer exposure period. Where, the uptake of any element from the surrounding media is mostly influenced by the amount present in the water **(Fathi et al. 2005)**. Also, it can be seen that the tested alga (*Scenedesmus obliquus*) accumulated an appreciable amounts of cadmium more than other that of copper and zinc. However, no significant difference was observed between copper and Zinc. Metal accumulation by *Scenedesmus* was shown to be in an order of $Cd^{2+} > Zn^{2+} > Cu^{2+}$. This noticeable high rang of uptake in case of Cd (0.09 at 5 $\mu g\ L^{-1}$ after 24h to 4.24 $mg.g^{-1}$ at 100 $\mu g\ L^{-1}$ after 96h) may due to the fact that, cadmium has no known function in cell metabolism at all, so it is solely up taken by adsorption. **Perez-Rama et al. (2002**) also reported that, Cd toxicity leads to severe disturbances in physiological processes, such as nitrogen fixation, photosynthetic activity and growth.

The internally accumulation of cadmium ion in microalgae **(Perez-Rama et al., 2002)** occurred in two phases of uptake process. The first phase is a rapid physicochemical adsorption of cadmium ion onto cell wall binding sites, which followed by period of steady intracellular uptake phase (energy dependent phase).

Acknowledgement

This work was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King AbdulAziz University, Jeddah, KSA under grant No. (130-299-D1435). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR technical and financial support.

References

1. Abou-Shanab R.A.I., Hwang J.H., Cho Y., Min B. and Jeon B.H. (2011): Characterization of microalgal species isolated from fresh water bodies as a potential source for biodiesel production, Appl. Energy 88-93.
2. Aebi H., (1984): Catalase in vitro. Meth Enzymol 105:121–130.
3. Ahluwalia, A.S. and Manjit, K., (1988): Effect of some heavy metal compounds on growth and

differentiation in a blue green and a green algae. Microbios.53 pp 37-45.
4. Amundsen, T., Forsgren, E. and Hansen, L. (1997): On the function of female ornaments: male bluethroats prefer colourful females. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B, 264, 1579–1586.
5. Andrews S and Sutherland RA (2004): Cu, Pb and Zn contamination in Nuuanu watershed, Oahu, Hawaii. Sci Total Environ 324:173–182
6. Báscik-Remisiewicz A, Tomaszewska E, Labuda K, Tukaj Z (2009): The effect of Zn and Mn on the toxicity of Cd to the green microalga Desmodesmus armatus cultured at ambient and elevated (2%) CO2 concentrations. Polish J. Environ. Stud. 18(5):775–780.
7. Beutler, E., (1969): Effect of Flavin Compounds on the Glutathione ReductaseActivity: In Vivo and In Vitro Studies. J Clin Invest., 48: 1957-1966.
8. Bishop, P. L., (2002): Pollution Prevention: Fundamentals and Practice. Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, 768pp.
9. Bradford M.M. (1976): A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizating the principle of protein-dye binding. Analytical Biochemistry 72(1–2):248–254.
10. Bryan, G.W. (1983): Brown seaweed, Fucus vesiculosus, and the gastropod, Littorina littoralis, as bioindicators of trace metal availability in estuaries.Sci. Total Environ. 28: 91–104.
11. Cain J.R., Paschal D.C., Hayden C.M. (1980): Toxicity and bioaccumulation of cadmium in the colonial green alga Scenedesmus obliquus. Arch Environm Contam Toxicol 9:9–16.
12. Company R, Serafim A, Bebianno MJ, Cosson R, Shillito B and Fiala-Medioni A. (2004): Effect of cadmium, copper and mercury on antioxidant enzyme activities and lipid peroxidation in the gills of the hydrothermal vent mussel Bathymodiolus azoricus. Mar Environ Res 58:377–381.
13. Costa A.C. and França F.P. (2003): Cadmium interaction with microalgal cells, cyanobacterial cells, and seaweeds: toxicology and bio-technological potential for wastewater treatment. Mar Biotechnol 5:149–156.
14. Couderchet M. and Böger P. (1993): Changes in fatty acid profile induced by herbicides. In: Target Assays for Modern Herbicides and Related Phytotoxic Compounds (P. Böger, G. Sandmann, eds.), Lewis Publ., Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp. 175-181.
15. Davies, A.G.(1974):The growth kinetics of Isochrysisgal- band in cultures containing sublethal concentrations of mercuric chloride. J. mar. biol. Ass.U.K. 54: 157-169.
16. Devriese M., Tsakaloudi V., Garbayo I., Leon R., Vilchez R. and Viga-J. (2001): Effect of heavy metals on nitrate assimilation in the eukaryotic microalga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii.Plant Physiol. Biochem., 39: 443-448.
17. Dinesh S., P. Santhanam, S. Ananth, A. Shenbaga, R. Nandakumar, B. Balaji, S. Jeyanthi, T. Jayalakshmi and P. Ananthi (2014): Effect of different dosages of zinc on the growth and biomass in five marine microalgae. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture. Vol. 6(1), 1-8.
18. Fathi, A.A., F.T. Zaki and H.A. Ibraheim, (2005): Response of tolerant and wild type strains of Chlorella vulgaris to Copper with special references to Copper uptake system. Protistology, 4: 73-78.
19. Finney, D. J. (1971): Probit analysis Cambridge University Press,London.
20. Goyer R.A. (1993): Lead toxicity: current concerns. Environ Health Perspect 100:177–187.
21. Holm, G. (1954): Chlorophyll mutations in barley. Acta Agric. Scand., 4, 457-471.
22. Imani S., Zarchi S.R., Hashemi M., Borna H, Javid A, Zand AM. and Abarghoue H.B. (2011): Hg, Cd and Pb heavy metal bioremediation by Dunaliella alga. J.Med. Plants Res.5(13):2775-2780.
23. Jongman, R. H.; Ter Break, C.J. and Van- Tongeren, O.F. (1987): Data analysis in community and landscape ecology. Pudoc. Wageningen: 299p.
24. Khallaf, E.A., Galal, M. and Authman, M. (1998): Assessment of heavy metals pollution and their effects on Oreochromis niloticus in aquatic drainage canals. J. Egypt. Ger. Soc. Zool. 26: 39-74.
25. Kim S., Mi-Young J. and Young-Mi L. (2012): Effect of Heavy Metals on the Antioxidant Enzymes in the Marine Ciliate Euplotes crassus. Environmental Risk Assessment and Health Science,1025-1032.
26. Lamaia, C., M. Kruatrachuea, P. Pokethitiyooka, E. S. Upat-hamb, and V. Soonthornsarathoola, (2005): Toxicity and accu-mulation of lead and cadmium in the filamentous green alga Cladophora fracta: A laboratory study. Sci. Asia, 31: 121-127.
27. Leborans, G. F., and A. Novillo, (1996): Toxicity and bioaccumu-lation of cadmium in Olishodiscus luteus (Raphidophyceae). Water Res., 30: 57-62.
28. Lichtenthaler, H.K. and Wellburn, A.R. (1983): Determinations of total carotenoids and chlorophylls a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 11, 591–592.
29. Matsunaga T, Takeyama H, Nakao T and Yamazawa A (1999): Screening of marine microalgae for bioremediation of cadmium-polluted seawater. J Biotechnol 70:33 –38.
30. McKinney J. and Rogers R. (1992): Metal bioavailability – Environ. Sci. Technol. 26: 1298-1299.
31. Mei M., Wangzhao Z., Zijian W., Geert W. (2003): Accumulation, assimilation and growth inhibition of copper on freshwater alga (Scenedesmus subspicatus 86.81 SAG) in the presence of EDTA and fulvic acid. Aquatic Toxicology 63 (2003) 221/228.
32. Mohammed M.H. and Markert B. (2006): Toxicity of heavy metals on Scenedesmus quadricauda

(Turp.) de Brébisson in batch cultures. Environ Sci Pollut Res 13:98 –104.
33. Moreno-Garrido I, Lubián LM and Soares AMVM (2000): Influence of cellular density on determination of EC50 in microalgal growth inhibition tests. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 47:112–116.
34. Nalewajko C. and Prepas E.E. (1996): Responses of phytoplankton photosynthesis and phosphorus kinetics to resuspended sediments in copper sulfate treated ponds. J. Environ. Qual., 25: 80-86.
35. Okamoto, O.K., and P. Colepicolo. (1998): Response of superoxide dismutase to pollutant metal stress in the marine dinoflagellate Gonyaulax polyedra. Comp. Biochem. Physiol.119C: 67-73.
36. Omar H.H. (2002): Bioremoval of zinc ions by Scenedesmus obliquus and Scenedesmus quadricauda and its effect on growth and metabolism. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 50:95–100.
37. Pena- Castro, J.M., Martínez-Jerónimo, F., Esparza-García, F. and Cañizares-Villanueva, R.O. (2004): Phenotypic plasticity in Scenedesmus incrassatulus (Chlorophyceae) in response to heavy metals stress. Chemosphere, 57: 1629-1636.
38. Perez-Rama, M., Alonso, J.A., Lopez, C.H. and Vaamonde, E.T.(2002): Cadmium removal by living cells of the marine microalga Tetraselmis suecica. Bioresour. Technol. 84, 265–270.
39. Pinto, E., Sigaud-Kutner, T.C.S., Leita O, M.A.S., Okamoto, O.K., Morse and D., Colepicolo, P.(2003): Heavy metal-induced oxidative stress in algae. J. Phycol. 39,1008–1018.
40. Rehman E Farah, R. Shakoori and E A. R. Shakoori (2006): Heavy metal resistant Distigma proteus (Euglenophyta) isolated from industrial effluents and its possible role in bioremediation of contaminated wastewaters Springer Science+Business Media.
41. Schlosser, U. G. (1994): S.G-Sammlung von Algenkulturen at the University of Göttingen. Catalogue of Strains 1994. Bot. Acta 107: 113-186.
42. Shariati M. and Yahyaabadi S. (2006): The effects of different concentrations of cadmium on the growth rate and beta-carotene synthesis in unicellular green algae Dunaliella salina. Iran.J.Sci.Techno. 30:57-63.
43. Soderlund, S., Forsberg, A. and Pedersen, M. (1988): Concentration of cadmium and other metals in Fucus vesiculosus and Fontinalis dalecarlica from the northern Baltic Sea and the southern Bothnian Sea. Envir. Pollut.51:197–212.
44. Stauber, J.L. and Florence,T.M. (1987): Mechanism of toxicity of ionic copper and copper complexes to algae.Mar. Biol.94: 511–519.
45. Stauber, J.L., and T. M. Florence, (1990): Mechanism of toxicity of zinc to the marine diatom Nitzschia closterium. Mar. Biol., 105: 519-524.
46. Stephen E., Ross I.L. and Hankamer B. (2013): Expanding the microalgal industry - continuing controversy or compelling case? Curr Opin Chem Biol 17: 444-452.
47. Sunda, W.G. and Guillard, R. R.(1976): Relationship between cupric ion avtivity and the toxicity of copper to phytoplankton. J. Mar. Res. 34:511-529.
48. Tukaj Z., Báscik-Remisiewicz A., Skowroń ski T and Tukaj C.(2007): Cadmium effect on the growth, photosynthesis, ultrastructure and phytochelatin content of green microalga Scenedesmus armatus : a study at low and elevated CO2 concentration. Environ Exper Bot 60:291–299.
49. Tzure-Meng WU; Yi-Ting HSU and Tse-Min L. (2009): Effects of cadmium on the regulation of antioxidant enzyme activity, gene expression, and antioxidant defenses in the marine macroalga Ulva fasciata. Botanical Studies, 50: 25- 34.
50. Verweij, W., Glazewski, R. and De Haan, H. (1992): Speciation of copper in relation to its bioavailability. Chem. Spec. Bioavail. 4: 43– 51.
51. Wang, J. L. (2002): Microbial Immobilization Techniques and Water Pollution Control. Science Press, Beijing, 326-332.
52. Wilde, K. L., J. L. Stauber, S. J. Markich, N. M. Franklin, and P. L. Brown, (2006): The effect of pH on the uptake and toxicity of copper and zinc in a tropical freshwater alga (Chlorella sp.) Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 51: 174-185.
53. Wong, P.T.S., Burnison, G. and Chau, Y.K. (1979): Cadmium toxicity to freshwater algae. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 23: 487-490.

9/26/2014