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Abstract: Requirements management is a very important factor in the success of software. Especially, requirements traceability plays important roles in requirements engineering. We propose two metrics to evaluate whether a certain software is implemented in accordance with its requirements or not. Our paper has 16 software projects of several public organizations in South Korea as well as their results of statistical analysis. They are shown that our metrics are helpful to software and project quality.
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1. Introduction

As the scope of software increases, stakeholder is becoming diverse, and knowledge of stakeholder is increasing, and expectation on software is increasing [1]. The scope of software is determined by requirements, and software increases in its scope with many requirements and becomes complex with complicated requirements [2]. As the scope of software increases, the management cost to identify the incorporation of requirements in software increases [3]. Namely, requirements management is a very important factor in the success of software, and its importance further increases as the scope increases.

The requirements traceability is an issue that has been emphasized since the past, and there are various studies and products that are related this [4]. However, requirements are not being traced and managed for various problems. Its examples include the practice of business implementation by non-expert at the site of information project implementation, the need to manage duplicated requirements from multi-stage service development, the theoretical complexity in its practical application, etc. [5].

We propose requirements traceability metrics to evaluate proper application of requirements to software and confirm that software projects in progress are being properly implemented. First of all, it visualizes the requirements deployment for each software development activities and clarify the scope of the method and metrics proposed in this study. Secondly, it presents a method of evaluating the incorporation, namely, deployment of particular requirements in artifacts of next activity. Thirdly, it proposes metrics for determining the deployment level of requirements based on the requirements deployment status.

Based on the case application of 16 public organizations, it shows the applicability of the proposed requirements traceability metrics. In addition, it presents the validity of proposed metrics through the comparison between the values of metrics obtained based on this study and the results of software/project quality evaluation.

2. Related Works

Gotel and Finkelstein [6] defined the requirements traceability as "the ability to explain and identify the life cycle of requirements. There are forward tracing and backward tracing in analysis, design, development, test, and operation". In other words, the requirements traceability refers to checking from requirements elicitation and how they are deployed throughout all stages.

Requirements traceability model that has advanced based on the definition of requirements tracing was established in considering various aspects of requirements. The first aspect is the “source” aspect of considering the changes in requirements themselves. This has advanced into requirements traceability model that becomes the main foundation of software version & configuration management system [7]. Permanency of requirements has been ensured through long-term tracing of the changes in requirements, which has positive effects on the cost.
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Requirements traceability model can be
summarized into the "source" aspect model that is the
change in requirements themselves, the "stakeholder"
aspect model from whom requirements are created
and utilized, and the "process" aspect model on the
procedure being created and utilized. There is the last
aspect that has not been presented specifically even
though being mentioned in existing studies. Namely,
specific "artifact" is materialized from "source"
through the "process" of various procedures by
"stakeholder." The requirements traceability metrics
presented in this study is different from the existing
studies in the sense that it considers the "artifact"
aspect.

3. Metrics for Measuring Requirements
Traceability

The forest herbs species in the oak and pine
forests belongs to 21 families. The total number of
species present in the oak forest and pine forest was
32 and 41, respectively.

This study presents the requirements
traceability metrics in the artifact aspect of software
requirements. Namely, it will be considered that
software requirements and outputs (artifact) that need
traceability have already been provided. In addition, a
validity of these requirements and output is not
included in the scope of this study. From the artifact
aspect, requirements are implemented and specified
as various outputs through the software life cycle
process. In this study, this will be referred to as the
'deployment of requirements'.

3.1 Software Life Cycle and Deployment of
Requirements

Software requirements are important data
that are created in early stage of software life cycle
and affects until the late stage [12]. Namely, this
means that they affect the entire stage of software life
cycle. Requirements can be seen as the object of
improvement or management in each stage of
software life cycle.

of software life cycle. It presents the overall road
map of software product and service from acquisition,
supply and development to operation, maintenance
and disposal. Software life cycle process is composed
by dividing into system context processes group and
software specific processes group. Since the scope of
this study is limited to software, processes in which
requirements are used are the main target among the
processes in the software specific processes group.
This standard lists the activities and tasks in each
process, and indicates main input & output. In this
standard, software requirements are created through
software requirements analysis process. Every
requirement needs to be assigned as software
component in software architectural design process,
which is specialized through software detail design
process and developed into artifact through software
implementation process. The following software
qualification testing process checks the requirements
fulfillment by artifact created earlier to finalize the
completion of software. Namely, software
requirements affect the entire process from design
and implementation to test after being created, and it
is important to trace and manage them.

Based on this perspective, requirements can
be deployed in various activities, and the level of
incorporation is gradually specializing. Effects of
requirements on other output could be indirect or
direct. For example, requirements have direct effects
in design stage. However, requirements have indirect
effects (by constructing the output of the design
stage) in implementation stage. Lastly, requirements
have indirect effects (by testing the output of the
implementation stage) in test stage, and have direct
effects (that could not be incorporated in the
implementation stage).

If a requirement does not have effects in any
of the design, implementation and test stages, it is
thought that this requirement has not been deployed.
For requirements that have not been deployed,
follow-up tasks are needed. As an example of such
tasks, previous stage needs to be performed once
again or it should be specified that the requirement
will not be deployed in this release.
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3.2 Requirements Deployment Decision Method

For the purpose of deciding the effects of requirements in the design, implementation and test stages, stakeholder of each stage needs to record the deployment of requirements. Such process of referred to as requirements tracing, and requirements deployment status needs to be recorded through the tracing technique limited to the focus of this study. An example of deployment status is as shown in [Figure 2]. In this deployment status, each requirement and output attribute needs to be included. Cross reference becomes possible through the deployment status attribute.

Deployment decision can be determined very simply. It is to check the record status of the reference point of other output in the status attribute. In the case of code created through implementation stage, however, it could be indirectly affected by requirement (indirectly to the output of design stage). Namely, tracing of reference point is needed. For example, if R1 has been deployed in the design document D1 and D1 has been deployed to the implementation code C1, it means that R1 has been deployed as C1. Namely, for at least two outputs of A and B (A is called input and B is called output based on creation time), the case in which an item of input A is connected to the items of output B for at least 1 is referred to as having been deployed.

Figure 3. Deployment Status Decision

3.3 Proposal of Metrics for Displaying Requirements Traceability

In this study, two metrics are proposed for displaying the requirements traceability. The first
metric is requirements deployment level for measuring the effects of a requirement on various outputs. The second metric is requirements deployment density for specifying the deployment of a requirement in specifics.

### 3.3.1 Requirements deployment level

The level of association of items included in input to output needs to be identified. Since the every item input needs to be deployed to output, this deployment level will be expressed as a certain percentage (0 ~ 1), and values close to 1 indicate that every item of input has been deployed to output. This can be expressed through the following [Formula 1].

\[
\text{Deployment Level} (A_{P1}, A_{P2}) = \frac{\text{f}_d\text{-count}(A_{P1} | A_{P2})}{\sum \text{f}_d\text{-count}(A_{P1-x} | A_{P2-n}) == \text{true}},
\]

However, \( \text{f}_d\text{-count}(A_{P1-x}, A_{P2-k}) = \{\text{true, false}\} \), this indicates that item \( A_{P1-x} \) (requirement) in the order of \( x \) of output \( A_{P1} \) (requirements specification) in the P1 stage has been deployed to output \( A_{P2-k} \) in the order of \( k \) of output \( A_{P2} \) in the Pn stage.

\( M \) indicates the number of every output of Pn. In \( \sum \), \( m = 1 \sim M \), \( A_{Pn-m} \) indicate output in the order of \( m \) of \( A_{Pn} \).

Since this study presents requirement traceability evaluation technique, \( X \) is fixed as requirements specification. In the case of P1, it is restricted as the analysis stage of making requirements specification. In the Pn stage of inputting requirements specification, however, various outputs can exist. Namely, \( A_{Pn} \) indicates that not one output but various outputs can exist. Since the concept of deployment metric is to determine the deployment of requirements, formula \( \text{f}_d\text{-count}(A_{P1} | A_{Pn}) \) is expanded as follows in this case.

[Formula 2] shows the “deployment level” of input associated with every output at a particular stage. The range is 0 ~ 1 and values close to 1 indicate that every artifact (input) of P1 has been deployed to every artifact (output) of stage P2. For example, the result of 0.97 from computing the deployment metric of stage P2 for 100 requirements indicates that 97 requirements have been deployed and specified to stage P2. In other words, it shows that 3 requirements have not been deployed.

### 3.3.2 Requirements Deployment Density

Considering various situations of deployment, there are limitations in measuring the tracing level with deployment level only. One of the limitations is a case in which a requirement is deployed to multiple outputs of the next stage. In such case, measuring with deployment level alone will lead to deployment of requirements to multiple outputs, which cannot be reflected by deployment level.

For the purpose of improve such situation, concept of density of the requirements deployment is introduced. In this study, 'deployment density' refers to the deployment metric of particular requirements as outputs in subsequent stage. It can be expressed as [Formula 3].

\[
\text{Deployment Density} (Rx, Pn) = \frac{\text{f}_d\text{-count}(Pn | Rx)}{\text{f}_d\text{-count}(Pn)}
\]

\( Pn \) is a particular stage, \( Rx \) is particular requirement, \( \text{f}_d\text{-count}(Pn) \) is the number of outputs in stage Pn, \( \text{f}_d\text{-count}(Pn | Rx) \) is the number of outputs deployed with \( Rx \) in stage Pn

The range of deployment density is above 0, and Deployment Density \( (Rx) = 0 \) indicates that requirement \( Rx \) has not been deployed, and 1 indicates that requirement \( Rx \) has been deployed to one output. For example, the result of 2 from computing the deployment density of requirement R1 at stage Pn indicates that R1 has been deployed in two outputs out of the entire outputs of Pn.

The mean of the deployment density of every requirement is called “mean deployment density”. For example, the result of 1.41 mean
deployment density at stage Pn with 3 outputs on 100 requirements indicates that a requirement is deployed to 141% of output items of Pn (1.41 items) in general.

4. Conclusion

This study proposed requirements traceability level measurement metrics from output centered perspective. The following limitations were identified while conducting this study. The proposed traceability metrics was found to have correlation with software quality, but specific plan to improve software quality has not been presented. Namely, the issue of requirements without traceability can be presented, but it has not presented a solution to such issue.

As for future study, the limitations mentioned earlier need to be resolved. First, it is necessary to present a solution when traceability issue has been identified and reveal that this can be helpful in improving traceability and software quality. Second, it is necessary to ensure the generality of the proposed study result through case studies on non-public projects.
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