Breast cancer in Iran

Aghdas Karimi¹, Fatemeh Homaei Shandiz², Gh. Reza. Sharifzadeh³, Fatemeh Zoubin⁴, Tara Fatemeh⁵ and Shaghayegh Rahmani⁶

- 1. PhD student reproductive health, Department reproductive health, nursing and midwifery school, Mashhad University of medical science, Mashhad, Iran
- 2. MD. Associate Professor in Radiation Oncology Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- 3. M. Sc. in Epidemiology, academic member, Department of social medicine, Faculty of medicine, Birjand University of medical sciences, Birjand, IRAN
 - 4. PhD student of Medical Education, Educational Development center, Isfahan, Iran.
 - 5. Assistant Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
- 6. patient safety research center, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. Corresponding Author: Gh. Reza. Sharifzadeh, +989151130199, sh79316@yahoo.com

Abstract: the aim of this investigation was to assess the risk factors associated with the breast cancer and among recently diagnosed cases of breast cancer. In this case – control study, 85 patients with breast cancer between three years period compared with 85 women without breast cancer. The risk factors includes: menarche age, marriage age, first pregnancy age, mean of breast feeding period, history of genital disease, OCP usage, abortion, smoking and addiction, educational level, positive history of breast oozing, painful milk secret in breast feeding period, positive family history of breast cancer malignant, positive history of regular self breast examination before breast cancer. odds ratio and other data analyzed with SPSS soft were positive familial history of breast cancer, smoking and addiction, lack use of self breast examination and painful milk secret in breast feeding period increase the risk of developing breast cancer in our study. The results showed that some of the breast cancer risk factors are preventable, such as changes in life style and regular self breast examination especially about persons with a familial history of breast cancer can influence early diagnosis and prevention.

[Aghdas Karimi, Fatemeh Homaei Shandiz, Gh. Reza. Sharifzadeh, Fatemeh Zoubin, Tara Fatemeh and Shaghayegh Rahmani. **Breast cancer in Iran.** *Life Sci J* 2013;10(7s):765-769] (ISSN:1097-8135). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 121

Keywords: Case-Control Study, Breast cancer, Risk factors

1. Introduction

All around the world, because of modern technology, life style has been changed and became more sedentary. Consuming higher amounts of fast foods and industrial beverages has been led to obesity and chronic diseases, such as cancer.

Cancer is considered as the third cause of death and breast cancer (BC) is the most frequent (23%) and most fatal form of malignancies among women and is a leading cause of 16% of all cancer – related deaths in women aged 20 59. (1) More than one million cases of BC are diagnosed annually all over the world. Breast cancer incidence rates are increasing in most regions of the world. (2) Changes in life style and mortality reduction from other diseases have been led to increase incidence of BC. Breast cancer is a worldwide major public health problem in women population, affecting both the developing as well as developed countries. (3) Nowadays 1 from 8 American, 1 from 12 European and 1 from 35 Iranian women are at the risk of BC. (4) Annually, about 7000 new cases of BC occur in Iran and 30000 women lose their lives because of BC. (5)

Considering the report of national cancer registry of Iran in 2007, the age specific rate (ASR) for BC incidence was 33/21 per 100000. BC is a heterogeneous disease which is based on clinical and pathological manifestations and responses to treatment.

Family history of BC, early menarche, late menopause, null- parity, and first pregnancy in_age over 35 years, are well established risk factors for breast cancer. The breast cancer has been accessed more among the women with recurrent miscarriages and whom taking oral contraceptives. Other research results showed significant relation between smoking and BC.

In Iran, there are few recent analytical studies on the risk factors associated with breast cancer. Knowledge of BC risk factors is necessary to identify groups that are prone to BC and facilitates designation of strategies for primary prevention. Thus, the aim of this investigation was to assess the risk factors associated with the breast cancer and among recently diagnosed cases of breast cancer.

2. Methods

This case control study has been conducted to determine the risk factors associated with breast cancer between 2006 and 2009 in Imam Reza (peace be upon him) Hospital of Birjand. First group included 85 women with the history of breast cancer which were confirmed by pathology. After obtaining consent form, all patients were interviewed by trained health experts and questionnaires were completed for them (The questionnaire has been sent to 10 medical experts in breast cancer before performing the study and their comments were applied in the questionnaire and its content validity has been confirmed). Questionnaire contained questions about the age of menarche, the age of marriage, the age of first pregnancy, number of parity, number of live births, history of abortion, the average duration of breast-feeding of children, medications and contraceptive history, genital system disorders, positive family history of breast cancer, smoking and drug abuse, performance of breast selfexamination and clinical manifestation of breast cancer. Control group was included 85 healthy women, without history of BC, who were referred to radiology ward of Vali Asr Hospital in Birjand to do screening for BC.

These two groups were matched in age, occupation and education level. This study was approved by the Ethic Review Board of Birjand University of Medical Sciences.

Collected data was compared and analyzed by one way Anowa test and paired sample t-test and also SPSS software (version 16). The odds ratio (OR), was calculated with a significance level of 5% and a confidence interval (CI) of 95%

3. Results

The average age in case group was $39/2 \pm 12/9$ years. It was $41/8 \pm 14$ years in the control group. In 57 cases (67/1%) cancer was located in left breast, in 24 cases (28/2%) it was located in right breast and in 4 cases (4/7%) it was found in both sides. There were not significant differences in average age, occupation, education level, number of parity, interval between menarche and first pregnancy, the age of menarche, the age of marriage, the age of the first birth, number of live births, the duration of lactation, the interval between menarche and marriage and the interval between pregnancies among both groups. [Table-1 & 2]

Table 3 compares the frequency of risk factors in both groups and estimates odds ratio, confidence interval and P - value. Based on the data in this table, Odds ratio in smoker and addicted women were 4/3 times more than those who did not use cigarettes and drugs (P = 0/05). Odds ratio in women with a positive family history was 13/8 times more than those without

a positive one (P = 0/002). Odds ratio in women who had painful withdrawal of milk during the breastfeeding was 4. 3 times more than those without this event (P = 0/02). In reviewing the following factors there was no significant difference between two groups: taking oral contraceptives, history of abortion, regular physical activity, genital system diseases and low milk during breastfeeding. [Table - 3].

4. Discussion

Regarding this study results, left breast was the dominant side for breast cancer involvement (67/1%) which was consistent in particular with the Kashfi and Bakhtiari studies. (6, 7) Many recent studies showed that smoking and drug abuse could increase the risk of breast cancer (8-11) which were confirmed our results. The Odd ratio in women addicted to drugs and tobacco is determined to be 4/3 times more than nonsmokers. Another important risk factor for breast cancer is a positive family history of breast cancer (6, 12-14). In this study, the Odds ratio of women with a positive family history versus those without a family history was 13. 8. The role of fertility dependent factors in breast cancer has been evaluated much more important than socio- economic, psychological and behavioral factors as well as dietary habits. (15) Many studies indicated that the following factors have an effective influence on breast_cancer: early menarche, menopause over age 50, marriage, rise of marriage age (> 19 years), the age of first delivery, reduction in number of live birth (≤ 3), reduction in duration of breastfeeding (<24 months), problems lactation, interval between pregnancies, time interval between last delivery and abortion. (14-18) In this study, only the painful withdrawal of milk during breastfeeding showed a significant relationship with breast cancer but no significant relation was found among remained above mentioned factors.

Regard to the effects of the oral contraceptives on breast cancer, conducted research results was different. Lotfi and colleagues stated the protective effects of drugs. (15) Study by Ozmen and colleagues gave rise to the same results. (13) But some of the researches demonstrated that, consumption of oral contraceptives increases the risk of breast cancer. (19-20) the results of this study showed that there is no significant relationship between the consumption of contraceptives and breasts cancer. The results were consistent with the studies of Holakouei, Marchbanks and Vessey. (11, 21, 22) Early diagnosis of breast cancer is one of the important factors that could make a significant contribution to the effectiveness of treatment. The public health awareness about the disease can also play a big role in preventing the disease. (23) In this study the knowledge of BSE

(Breast Self Examination) was evaluated in order to assess the level of awareness and the performance in patients in their disease. There was a significant difference between the studied groups regarding the Breast self-examination. In control group, it was 4. 3

times more frequent than case group. The study results were quite different from the Yavari's study, may be owing to the selection of control group from healthy women with more attention to their health. (24)

Table 1: frequency of the characteristics of women in case and control groups

variable		control	case	P value
		Count (%)	Count (%)	0/49
	Illiterate	25(29/4)	24(28/2)	
	Elementary school	26(30/6)	20(23/5)	
Education level	Guidance school	8 (9/4)	6 (7/1)	
	High school and Higher	26 (30/6)	35 (41/2)	
	unemployed	68 (80)	65(76. 5)	0/68
work status	Employed	11(12/9)	15 (17. 6)	
	Student	6(7/1)	5(5.9)	

Table 2: Characteristics of women in case and control groups

variable	control	case	P value
	Mean ± Std. Dev.	Mean ± Std. Dev.	0/26
marriage age (years)	1/1±13/8	1/8±14	0/65
Menstrual age (years)	4/6±18/1	3/6±18/5	0/1
Number of Parity	2/5±4/4	$2\pm 3/7$	0/25
Age at first birth (years)	3/3±19/5	3/5±20/2	0/49
Number of live births	2/2±3/7	1.8±3.5	0/49
The duration of breastfeeding(months)	4/4±21/1	5/4±20/5	0/09
The Interval between menarche and first pregnancy (years)	3/3±5/5	3/6±6/5	0/69
The Interval between Menarche and marriage (years)	4/7±4/5	3/4±4/8	0/92
The Interval between pregnancies (years)	1/2±3/2	1/4±3/1	0/26

Table 3: Comparing frequency and odds ratio of risk factors in case and control groups

Risk factor	case	control	Odds ratio and CI	P value
	Count (%)	Count (%)		
taking oral Contraceptives	45(52/9)	50(58/8)	OR=0/79	0/59
			CI=(0/43-1/4)	
smoker or addict	8(9/4)	2(2/4)	OR= 4. 3	0/05
			CI=(1/1-20/8)	
History of breast self-examination	7(8/2)	24(28/2)	0R=4. 38	0/001
			CI=(1/77-108)	
Abortion	28(35/4)	16(21/3)	OR=2	0/053
			CI=(0/99-4/1)	
genital system diseases	20(35/5)	17(20)	OR= 1/2	0/58
			CI=(0/59-2/56)	
Positive family history	12(14/1)	1(1/2)	OR=4. 3	0/02
			CI=(1/8-11/1)	
low milk during breastfeeding	14(17/1)	5(7/6)	OR=2. 5	0/09
			CI=(0/58-7/4)	
painful withdrawal of milk during the breastfeeding	13(15/9)	3(4/2)	OR=4/3	0/02
			CI=(1/18-15/9)	

In reviewing the history of genital diseases no significant difference was found among both groups so revealed discrepancy with results of previous studies (25), perhaps due to low volume of study groups and the patients's lack of awareness of genital disease history and also the differences in the prevalence of genital diseases in different parts of the world. One of the main limitations of this research was the study of confirmed patients who referred for the treatment instead of the new patients, due to the low number of new ones and on the other hand, inevitable prolongation of the study by adding the new cases. Although objectivity of researchers have attempted with a deep questioning to collect the required information, but due to the length of time from diagnosis to treatment the recall bias has been occurred in case and control groups. Therefore it is recommended that in subsequent studies for further validity of the research, use cases that recently have confirmed diagnosis and underwent treatment.

Considering that some risk factors such as changes in lifestyle are preventable for breast cancer. Following measures could be very useful for prevention of breast cancer: improving the knowledge and awareness of the society about the risk factors_such as smoking and drug use especially among those with a positive family history of_breast cancer, attention to perform breasts exams and early visit by physician.

Corresponding Author:

Gh. Reza. Sharifzadeh:
M. Sc. in Epidemiology, academic member,
Department of social medicine,
Faculty of medicine,
Birjand University of medical sciences,
Birjand, IRAN
+989151130199

Email: sh79316@yahoo.com

References

- 1. American cancer society. (2005). cancer facts and figures 2005. Atlanta, GA.
- 2. Butt Z, Haider SF, Arif S, Khan MR, Ashfaq U, Shahbaz U, Bukhari MH. Breast cancer risk factors: a comparison between pre-menopausal and post-menopausal women. J Pak Med Assoc. 2012 Feb; 62(2):120-4.
- 3. Yadav NK, Poudel B, Thanpari C, Chandra Koner B. Assessment of biochemical profiles in premenopausal and postmenopausal women with breast cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2012; 13(7):3385-8.
- 4. Jemal A, Center MM, Desantis C, Ward EM. Global patterns of cancer incidence and

- mortality rates and trends. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2010; 19:1893-1907.
- Mousavi SM, Montazeri A, Mohagheghi MA, Jarrahi AM, Harirchi I, Najafi M, Ebrahimi M. Breast Cancer in Iran: an epidemiological review. Breast J. 2007; 13(4):383-91.
- Kashafi F, Nykvfar AR. Mohammady R. Fertility risk factors causing breast cancer. Journal of reproductive and infertility 2002; 3(9): page 38-45. [Persian].
- 7. Bakhteyari A,Hajahmadi M. 5 year Assessment of Breast Cancer at Rajaii Hospital, Babolsar(2000-2004). the Iranian Journal of Obestericts,Gynecology and Infertility. 2006;9(1):47-54. [Persian].
- 8. HA M,Mabuchi K,Sigurdson AJ,Freedman DM,Linet MS,Doody MM,Hauptmann M. Smoking cigarettes before first childbirth and risk of breast cancer. Am J Epidemol 2007;166(1):55-61.
- 9. Gram IT, Braaten T, Terry PD, Sasco AJ, Adami HO, Lund E, Weiderpass E. Breast cancer risk among women who start smoking as teenagers. Cancer Epidemol Biomarkers Prev 2005:14(1):61-66.
- 10. Terry PD, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Cigarette smoking and breast cancer risk: a long latency period? Int J c Cancer 2002;100(6):723 -728.
- 11. Halakouie Naeini K, Ardalan A, Mahmoudi M, Motavalian A, Yahyapour Y. Risk factors of breast cancer in mazandaran province. Asian Pacific J Prev 2007;8(1):27-36.
- 12. Tsuchiya M, Iwasaki M, Otani T, Nitadori J, Goto K, Nishiwaki Y, Ochitomi Y, Tsugane S. Breast cancer in first-degree relatives and risk of lung cancer:assessment of the existence gene sex interaction. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2007;37(6):419-423.
- Ozmen V, Ozcinar B, Karanlic H, Cabioglu N, Tukenmez M, Disci R, et al. Breast cancer risk factors in Turkish women-a university Hospital Based nestesd case control study. World Journal of Surgical Oncology 2009;7(37): 134-137.
- Tehranian N, Shobeiri F, Hafezi pour F, Hagizadeh E. Risk factors for Breast Cancer in Iranian Women Aged Less than 40 Years. Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev2010;11(6):1723-25
- Lotfi MH, Charkhatti S, Shobairi S. Breast Cancer Risk Factors in an Urban Area of Yazd City-IRAN, 2006. Acta Medica Iranica 2008; 46(3):258-204.
- Van der Sangen MJ, Voogd AC, Van de poli-Franse LV, et al. Breast cancer in young women: epidemiology and treatment dilemmas.

- Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd 2008;152(46)2495-2500.
- 17. Reeves GK,Kan SW, Key TJ, nneland A, Olsen A, Overvad K,et al. Breast cancer risk in relation to abortion: Results from the EPIC study. Int J Cancer. 2006;119(7):1741-1745.
- Kuru B, Ozaslan C, Ozdemir P, Dinc S, Camlimbel M, Alagol H. Risk factors for breast cancer in Turkish women with early pregnancies and long –lasting lacation –a casecontrol study. Acta Oncol. 2002; 41(6); 556-561
- Rosenberg LU, Magnusson C, Lindstrom E, Wedren S, Hall P, Dickman PW. Menopausal hormone therapy and other breast cancer risk factors in relation to the risk of different histological subtypes of breast cancer: a casecontrol study. Breast Cancer Res. 2006; 8(1):R11.
- 20. Li CI, Malone KE, Porter PL, Weiss NS, Tang MT, Cushing –Haugen KL, Daling JR. Relation between long durations and different regimens of hormone therapy and risk of breast cancer, JAMA 2003;289(24):3254-3263.

- 21. Marchbanks PA, Mcdonald JA, Wilson HG, Folger SG, Mandel MG, Daling JR, et al. Oral contraceptive and the risk of breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346(26):2025-32.
- 22. Vessey M, Painter R. Oral contraceptive use and cancer. Finding in a large cohort study, 1968-2004. Br J Cancer 2006; 95(3):385-389.
- 23. Mohagheghi M, Mosavi-Jarrahi A. Cancer incidence in the population of Tehran Metropolis, 1998-2002. The cancer institute cancer research center: Tehran, Iran 2006[Persian].
- 24. Yavari P, Mosavizadeh MA Sadrolhefazi B, Khodabakhshi H, Madani H, Mehrabi Y. Reproductive characteristics and the risk of breast cancer: A case control study. Iranian Journal of Epidemiology 2006;1(2):11-19. [Persian].
- 25. Speroff L, Fritz MA. Clinical gynecologic endocrinology and infertility. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott /Williams & Wilkins, 2010.

12/2/2012