The *p*-Metric Space of χ^2 Defined by Musielak

S. Velmurugan¹, N. Saivaraju², and N. Subramanian³

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Shri Angalamman college of Engineering and Tech, Trichy, India-621 105. ³Department of Mathematics, Sastra Univversity, Thanjavur, India-613 401. ¹ksvelmurugan.09@gmail.com, ²saivaraju@yahoo.com, ³nsmaths@yahoo.com

Abstract: In the present paper we introduce the *p*-metric space of χ^2 multiplier defined by a Musielak modulus function. We study some topological properties and prove some inclusion relations between these spaces. Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [5] used the idea of Orlicz function to define the sequence space l_M which is called an Orlicz sequence space. Another generalization of Orlicz sequence spaces is due to Woo [31].

[S. Velmurugan, N. Saivaraju, and N. Subramanian. The *p*-Metric Space of χ^2 Defined by Musielak. Life Sci J 2013;10(3):310-317] (ISSN:1097-8135). <u>http://www.lifesciencesite.com</u>. 49

Key words and phrases: analytic sequence, modulus function, double sequences, χ^2 space, difference sequence space, Musielak – modulus function, *p*– metric space, duals.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A05,40C05,40D05.

1. Introduction:

(

Throughout w, χ and Λ denote the classes of all, gai and analytic scalar valued single sequence, respectively.

We write w^2 for the set of all complex sequences (x_{mn}) , where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of positive integers. Then, w^2 is a linear space under the coordinate wise addition and scalar multiplication.

Some initial works on double sequence spaces is found in Bromwich [2]. Later on, they were investigated by Hardy [3], Moricz [7], Moricz and Rhoades [8], Basarir and Solankan [1], Tripathy [11], Turkmenoglu [12], and many others.

We procure the following sets of double sequences:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{u}(t) &:= \left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^{2} : sup_{m,n \in N} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} < \infty \right\}, \\ C_{p}(t) &:= \left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^{2} : p - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} |x_{m,n} - l|^{t_{m}} = 1 \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \\ \mathbf{C}_{0p}(t) &:= \left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^{2} : p - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} = 1 \right\}, \\ L_{u}(t) &:= \left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^{2} : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} < \infty \right\}, \\ C_{bp}(t) &:= C_{p}(t) \cap M_{u}(t) \text{ and } C_{0bp}(t) = C_{0p}(t) \cap M_{u}(t); \\ \mathbf{THE} \ p-\mathbf{METRIC} \ \mathbf{SPACE} \ \mathbf{OF} \ \mathbf{\gamma}^{2} \ \mathbf{DEFINED} \ \mathbf{F} \end{split}$$

THE *p*-METRIC SPACE OF χ^2 DEFINED BY MUSIELAK

Where $t = (t_{mn})$ is the sequence of strictly positive real's t_{mn} for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p - lim_{m,n\to\infty}$ denotes the limit in the Pringshein's sense. In the case $t_{mn} = 1$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $M_u(t), C_p(t), C_{0p}(t), L_u(t), C_{bp}(t)$ and $C_{0bp}(t)$ reduce to the sets Mu, C_p , C_{0p} , L_u , C_{bp} and

 C_{0bp} , respectively. Now, we may summarize the knowledge given in some document related to the double sequence spaces. Gokhan and Colak [14,15] have proved that $M_u(t)$ and $C_p(t)$, $C_{bp}(t)$ are complete paranormed spaces of double sequences and gave the α -, β -, γ - duals of the spaces $M_u(t)$ and $C_{bp}(t)$. Quite recently, in her PhD thesis, Zelter [16] has essentially studied both the theory of topological double sequence spaces and the theory of summability of double sequences. Mursaleen and Edely [17] and Tripathy [11] have independently introduced that statistical convergence and Cauchy for double sequences and given the relation between statistical convergent and strongly Cesaro summable double sequences. Altay and Basar [20] have defined the spaces BS, BS(t), CS_p , CS_{bp} , CS_r and BV of double sequences consisting of all double series whose sequence of partial sums are in the spaces M_u , $M_u(t)$, C_p , C_{bp} , C_r and L_u , respectively, and also examined some properties of those sequence spaces and determined the α - duals of the spaces BS, BV, CS_{bn} and the $\beta(v)$ - duals of the spaces CS_{bp} and CS_r of double series. Basar and Server [21] have introduced the Banach space L_q of double sequences corresponding to the well-known space l_q of single sequences and examined some properties of the space L_q . Quite recently Subramanian and Misra [22] have studied the space $\chi^2_M(p,q,u)$ of double sequences and gave some inclusion relations.

The class of sequences which are strongly Cesaro summable with respect to a modulus was introduced by Maddox [6] as an extension of the definition of strongly Cesaro summable sequences. Connor [23] further extended this definition to a definition of strong A- summability with respect to a modulus where $A = (a_{n,k})$ is a nonnegative regular matrix and established some connections between strong A- summability, strong A- summability with respect to a modulus, and A- statistical convergence. In [24] the notion of convergence of double sequences was presented by A. Pringsheim. Also, in [25]-[26], and [27] the four dimensional matrix transformation $(Ax)_{k,l} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{kl}^{mm} x_{mn}$ was studied extensively by Robison and Hamilton.

We need the following inequality in the sequel of the paper. For $a, b \ge 0$ and 0 , we have

$$(a+b)^p \le a^p + b^p \tag{1.1}$$

The double series $\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn}$ is called convergent if any only if the double sequence (s_{mn}) is convergent, where $s_{mn} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} x_{ij} (m, n \in \mathbb{N})$.

A sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ is said to be double analytic if $\sup_{mn} |x_{mn}|^{1/m+n} < \infty$. The vector space of all double analytic sequences will be denoted by Λ^2 . A sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ is called double gai sequence if $((m+n)!|x_{mn}|^{1/m+n} \rightarrow 0)a$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. The double gai sequences will be denoted by χ^2 . Let $\phi = \{all finite sequences\}$.

Consider a double sequence $x = (x_{ij})$. The $(m, n)^{\text{th}}$ section $x^{[m,n]}$ of the sequence is defined by $x^{[m,n]} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} x_{ij} \mathfrak{T}_{ij}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$; where \mathfrak{T}_{ij} denotes the double sequence whose only non zero term is a $\frac{1}{(i+j)!}$ in the $(i,j)^{\text{th}}$ place for each $i,j \in \mathbb{N}$.

An FK-space (or a metric space) X is said to have AK property if (\mathfrak{I}_{mn}) is a Schauder basis for X. Or equivalently $x^{[m,n]} \rightarrow x$.

An FDK-space is a double sequence space endowed with a complete metrizable; locally convex topology under which the coordinate mappings $x = (x_k) \rightarrow (x_{mn})$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{N}$) are also continuous.

Let M and Φ are mutually complementary modulus functions. Then, we have:

- (i) For all $u, y \ge 0$, $uy \le M(u) + \Phi(y)$, (Young's ineuqality) [See[13]] (1.2) (ii) For all $u \ge 0$,
- $u\eta(u) = M(u) + \Phi(\eta(u)).$ (1.3)

(iii) For all $u \ge 0$, and $0 < \lambda < 1$ $M(\lambda u) \le \lambda M(u)$ (1.4) Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [5] used the idea

of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space

$$l_{M} = \left\{ x \in W: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_{k}|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},\$$

The space l_M with the norm

$$x \| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \le 1 \right\},$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For $M(t) = t^p (1 \le p < \infty)$, the spaces l_M coincide with the classical sequence space l_p .

A sequence $f = (f_{mn})$ of modulus function is called a Musielak-modulus function. A sequence $g = (g_{mn})$ defined by

$$g_{mn}(v) = \sup\{|v|u - (f_{mn})(u): u \le 0\}, m, n = 1, 2, ...$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielakmodulus function f. For a given Musielak modulus function f, the Musielak-modulus sequence space t_f and its sub-space h_f are defined as follows

$$t_f = \left\{ x \in w^2 : I_f \left(\left((m+n)! | x_{mn} | \right) \right)^{1/m+n} \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty \right\},$$

$$h_f = \left\{ x \in w^2 : I_f \left(\left((m+n)! | x_{mn} | \right) \right)^{1/m+n} \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty \right\},$$

where I_f is a convex modular defined by

 $I_{f}(x) = \sum_{m \in I_{g}} \sum_{n \in I_{g}} f_{mn} \left((m+n)! |x_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n}, x = (x_{mn}) \in I_{f} a$ We consider I_{f} equipped with the Luxemburg metric $d(x, y) = \sup_{k} \left\{ \inf_{m \in I_{g}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in I_{g}} \sum_{m \in I_{g}} u_{m} f_{m} \left(((m+n))! x \right)^{1/m+n} \right\} \right\} \leq 1$

Let
$$\lambda = \lambda_{\eta\mu}$$
 be a non-decreasing sequence of

positive real's tending to infinity with $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_{\eta+1}$, $\mu+1 \leq \lambda_{\eta\mu} + 1$. The generalized de la Vallee-Poussin means is defined by

$$t_{\eta\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} ((m+n)! x_{mn})^{1/m+n}$$

where $I_{\eta\mu}[(\eta\mu) - \lambda_{\eta\mu} + 1, \eta\mu]$. A sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ is said to be (V, λ) – summable to a number $t_{\eta\mu} \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta\mu \rightarrow \infty$.

If X is a sequence space, we give the following definitions:

(i) X' = the continuous dual of X;

(11)
$$X^{\alpha} = \left\{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn} x_{mn}| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \right\};$$

(iii)
$$\chi^{\beta} = \left\{ a = (a_m) : \sum_{m=1}^{m} a_m x_m x \in X \right\};$$

(iv)
$$\chi^{\gamma} = \left\{ a = (a_m) : \sup_{m \in X} x \in X \right\};$$

(v) let X bean FK – space $\supset \phi$; then $X^{f} = \{f(\mathfrak{I}_{mn}) : f \in X'\};$

(vi)
$$X^{\delta} = \begin{cases} a = (a_{nn}) : \sup_{nn} |a_{nn}x_{nn}|^{1/m+n} < \infty, \\ for each x \in X \end{cases}$$

 $X^{\alpha}X^{\beta}, X^{\gamma}$ are called α – (or Kothe – Toeplitz) dual of X, β – (or generalized – Kothe – Toeplitz) dual of X, γ – dual of X, δ – dual of X respectively. X^{α} is defined by Gupta and Kamptan [13]. It is clear that $X^{\alpha} \subset X^{\beta}$ and $X^{\alpha} \subset X^{\gamma}$, but $X^{\beta} \subset X^{\gamma}$ does not hold, since the sequence of partial sums of a double convergent series need not to be bounded.

The notion of difference sequence spaces (for single sequences) was introduced by Kizmaz as follows

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in w: (\Delta x_k) \in Z\}$$

for Z = c, c_0 and l_{∞} , where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here c, c_0 and l_{∞} denote the classes of convergent, null and bounded scalar valued single sequences respectively. The difference sequence space bv_p of the classical space l_p is introduced and studied in the case $1 \le p \le \infty$ by Basar and Altay and in the case $0 by Altay and Basar in [20]. The spaces <math>c(\Delta)$, $c_0(\Delta)$, $l_{\infty}(\Delta)$ and bv_p are Banach spaces normed by

$$||x|| = |x_1| + \sup k \ge 1 |\Delta x_k| \text{ and } ||x|| bv_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p\right)^{1/p}, (1 \le p < \infty)$$

Later on the notion was further investigated by many others. We now introduce the following difference double sequence space defined by

 $Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_{mn}) \in W^2 : (\Delta x_{mn}) \in Z\}$ where $Z = \Lambda^2$, χ^2 and $\Delta x_{mn} = (x_{mn} - x_{mn+1}) - (x_{m+1n} - x_{m+1n+1}) = x_{mn} - x_{mn+1} - x_{m+1n} + x_{m+1n+1}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. DEFINITION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a real vector space of dimension w, where $n \leq w$. A real valued function $d_p(x_1,...,x_n) = \left\| (d_1(x_1),...,d_n(x_n)) \right\|_p$ on X satisfying the following four conditions:

- (i) $\|d_1(x_1), ..., d_n(x_n)\|_p = 0$ if and only if $d_1(x_1), ..., d_n(x_n)$ are linearly de-pendent,
- (ii) $\left\| \left(d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n) \right) \right\|_p$ is invariant under permutation,

(iii)
$$\|(\alpha d_{l}(x_{l}),...,d_{n}(x_{n}))\| = |\alpha| \|d_{l}(x_{l}),...,d_{n}(x_{n})\|_{,} \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

(iv)
$$d_p((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)...(x_n, y_n)) =$$

 $(d_x(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^p + d_y(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n))^{1/p}$

(v)
$$\begin{cases} \text{for } 1 \le p < \infty; \text{ (or)} \\ \\ d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) := \sup \begin{cases} d_x(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ d_y(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

for $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$, $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in Y$ is called the *p* product metric of the Cartesian product of *n* metric spaces is the *p* norm of the *n*-vector of the norms of the *n* sub-spaces.

A trivial example of p product metric of n metric space is the p norm space is $X = \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the following Euclidean metric in the product space is the p norm:

$$\left\| \left(d_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \dots, d_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \sup \left(\left| \det \left(d_{nn}\left(x_{nn}\right) \right) \right| \right) = \sup \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \left| d_{11}\left(x_{11}\right) & d_{12}\left(x_{22}\right) & \dots & d_{1n}\left(x_{1n}\right) \right| \\ d_{21}\left(x_{21}\right) & d_{22}\left(x_{22}\right) & \dots & d_{2n}\left(x_{2n}\right) \\ \vdots & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ d_{n1}\left(x_{n1}\right) & d_{n2}\left(x_{n2}\right) & \dots & d_{nn}\left(x_{nn}\right) \right) \\ \end{array} \right)$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, ..., x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.

If every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $L \in X$, then X is said to be complete with respect to the p- metric. Any complete p- metric space is said to be p- Banach metric space.

Let X be a linear metric space. A function w: $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called Para normed, if

- 1. $w(x) \ge 0$, for all $x \in X$;
- 2. $w(x) \ge 0$, for all $x \in X$; w(-x) = w(x), for all $x \in X$;
- $2. \qquad w(-x) w(x), \text{ for all } x \in A,$
- 3. $w(x + y) \le w(x) + w(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$
- 4. If (σ_{mn}) is a sequence of scalars with $\sigma_{mn} \rightarrow \sigma$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ and (x_{mn}) is a sequence of vectors with $w(x_{mn} x) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, then $w(\sigma_{mn}x_{mn} \sigma x) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

A paranormed w for which w(x) = 0 implies x = 0 is called total paranorm and the pair (X, w) is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (sec [32], Theorem 10.42, p.183).

Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus function, $\left(X, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right)$ be a *p*metric space, $q = (q_{mn})$ be bounded sequence of

strictly positive real numbers and $u = (u_{mn})$ be any sequence of strictly positive real numbers. By S(p - X) we denote the space of all sequences defined over

$$(X, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_p)a$$
. In the present

paper we define the following sequence spaces:

$$\left[\chi_{f,V,u}^{2q} \left\| \left(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_p \right] = \lim_{\eta \mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta \mu}} \sum_{m \in I_\eta} \sum_{n \in I_\mu} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| \left((m+n)! | x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} = 0^{2} \right]^{q_{mn}}$$

$$\begin{split} \left[\Lambda_{f,V,u}^{2q} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] &= \sup_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| x_{mn} \right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right) \right]^{q_{m}} < \infty, \\ \text{If we take } f_{mn}(x) &= x, \text{ we get} \\ \left[\chi_{f,V,u}^{2q} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] &= \lim_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[u_{mn} \left(\left\| \left((m+n)! \right| x_{mn} \right) \right|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} = 0, \\ \left[\Lambda_{f,V,u}^{2q} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] &= \sup_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[u_{mn} \left(\left\| x_{mn} \right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] \right]^{q_{mn}} < \infty, \\ \text{If we take } q = (q_{mn}) = 1 \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ we get} \\ \left[\chi_{f,V,u}^{2q} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] &= \lim_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| ((m+n)! \left| x_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right) \right] &= 0, \\ \left[\Lambda_{f,V,u}^{2q} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] &= \sup_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| ((m+n)! \left| x_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right) \right] &= 0, \\ \left[\Lambda_{f,V,u}^{2q} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] &= \sup_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| x_{mn} \right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right) \right] &= 0, \\ \left[\Lambda_{f,V,u}^{2q} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] &= \sup_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| x_{mn} \right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right) \right] \\ &= 0, \\ \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| x_{mn} \right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] \\ &= 0, \\ \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| x_{mn} \right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right) \right] \\ \\ &= 0, \\ \left[u_{m$$

If we take $q = (q_{mn}) = 1$ and $u = (u_{mn}) = 1$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| \chi_{f,V,u}^{2q} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right\| &= \lim_{\eta \mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta \mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I} \left[f_{mn} \left(\left\| \left((m+n)! | x_{mn} | \right)^{1/m+n}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_{p} \right) \right] &= 0, \\ \left[\Lambda_{f,V,\mu}^{2q}, \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] &= \sup_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta \mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[f_{mn} \left(\left\| \left| x_{mn} \right|^{1/m+n}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] &= 0, \\ \end{split}$$

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If $0 \le q_{mn} \le \sup q_{mn} = H,K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ then

$$|a_{mn} + b_{mn}|^{q_{mn}} \le K \left\{ |a_{mn}|^{q_{mn}} + |b_{mn}|^{q_{mn}} \right\}$$

for all m, n and a_{mn} , $b_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}$. Also $|a|^{q_{mn}} \leq \max(1, |a|^{H})$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

The main aim of this paper is to introduce some multiplier sequence spaces defined by a Musielak-modulus function over *p*-metric spaces also study some topological properties and inclusion relation on above defined sequence spaces.

3. MAIN RESULTS 3.1. Theorem Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus function, $q = (q_{mn})$ be analytic sequence of positive real numbers and $u = (u_{mn})$ be any sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then the spaces $\left[\chi_{f,V,u}^{2q} \| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right]$ and $\left[\Lambda_{f,V,u}^{2q}, \| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right]$ are liner spaces.

Proof

It is routine verification. Therefore the proof is omitted.

3.2. Theorem

Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus funtion, $q = (q_{mn})$ be analytic sequence of positive real numbers and $u = (u_{mn})$ be any sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then spaces $\left[\chi_{f,V,u}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right]$ is a paranormed space with respect to the paranormed

defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in I_n} \sum_{n \in I_n} \right)$$

$$\left[u_{nm} f_{nm} \left(\left\| \left((m+n)! \middle| x_{nm} \right)^{1/m+n}, (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{nm}} \right]^{VH} \leq 1,$$
where $H = \max \left(1, \sup a_{n-1} \in \infty \right)$

where $H = \max(1, \sup_{mn} q_{mn} < \infty)$.

Proof

Clearly
$$g(x) \ge 0$$
 for $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f,V,u}^{2q} \| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right]$. Since $f_{mn}(0) = 0$, we get $g(0) = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that g(x) = 0, then

$$\inf\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{mn}f_{mn}\left(\left\|((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}},(d(x_{1}),d(x_{2}),...,d(x_{n-1}))\right\|_{p}\right)\right]^{q_{m}}\right)^{U^{H}}\leq 1\right\}=0$$

Suppose that $\left((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}\neq 0$ for each m,n of

Suppose that $((m+n)!|x_{mn}|)^{m+n} \neq 0$ for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $u_{mn}((m+n)!|x_{mn}|)^{1/m+n} \neq 0$, for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $\left\| u_{mn} \left((m+n)! | x_{mn} \right) \right\|_{p \to \infty}^{\nu_{m+n}} \left(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p \to \infty}$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} & \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{m\in I_{\mu}}\right)^{(m+n)}\sum_{m\in I_{\mu}}\left[\left|\left((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{(m+n)}, d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{m-1})\right|_{\mu}\right)^{(m)}\right]^{(m)} \rightarrow \infty^{2} \\ & \text{which is a contradiction. Therefore} \\ & ((m+n)!|x_{mn}|)^{1/m+n} = 0 \quad \text{for each } m, n \quad \text{and thus} \\ & ((m+n)!|x_{mn}|)^{1/m+n} = 0 \quad \text{for each } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ & \text{Let} \\ & \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left[\left|\left((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right|\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)}\right]^{(m)} \leq 1 \\ & \text{and} \\ & \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left[\left|((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right|\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)} \right]^{(m)} \leq 1 \\ & \text{Then by using Minkowski's inequality, we have} \\ & \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left[\left|((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right|\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)}\right]^{(m)} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left[\left|((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right|\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)} \right]^{(m)} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left[\left|((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right|\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)} \right]^{(m)} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[\left(u_{m}f_{m}\left(\left|((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right)\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)} \right]^{(m)} \\ & \leq \inf\left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left(\left|((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right)\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)} \\ & \leq \inf\left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left(\left|(((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right)\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)} \\ & \leq \inf\left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left(\left|(((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right)\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)} \\ & \leq \inf\left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left(\left|(((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right)\right|_{\mu}\right)\right]^{(m)} \\ & \leq \inf\left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{m}f_{m}\left(\left|(((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{U^{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}),$$

$$g(x+y) \le g(x) + g(y).$$

Finally, to prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Let λ be any complex number. By definition,

$$g(\lambda x) = \inf\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m \in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n \in I_{\mu}}\left[u_{mn}f_{mn}\left(\left\|((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{1/m+n}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\right\|_{p}\right)\right]^{q_{mn}}\right)^{1/H} \le 1\right\}$$

where $t = \frac{1}{|\lambda|}$. Since $|y|^{q_{mn}} \le \max\left(1, |\lambda|^{\sup p_{mn}}\right)$, we have
 $g(\lambda x) \le \max\left(1, |\lambda|^{\sup p_{mn}}\right) \inf\left(t^{q_{mn}/H} : \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}}\sum_{m \in I_{\eta}}\sum_{n \in I_{\mu}}\right)^{1/H} \le 1\right)$
 $\left[u_{mn}f_{mn}\left(\left\|((m+n)!|x_{mn}|\right)^{1/m+n}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\right\|_{p}\right)^{q_{mn}}\right)^{1/H} \le 1\right)$
This completes the proof.

3.3. Theorem

Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus function. Then the following statements are equivalent

$$\begin{split} &\mathbf{1} \left[\bigwedge_{j',j',n}^{2g} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] \subseteq \left[\bigwedge_{j',j',n}^{2g} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] \\ &\mathbf{iii} \right] \left[\bigwedge_{k',n'}^{2g} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] \subseteq \left[\bigwedge_{j',j',n'}^{2g} \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] \\ &\mathbf{iii} \right] \\ &\mathbf{sup}_{n,n'} \frac{1}{\zeta_{\eta,n'}} \sum_{m \in I_{p}} \sum_{m \in I_{p}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn'} \left\| \left\| u_{m,n'} \right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right]^{d_{m'}} < \infty \end{split}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \text{ is obvious, since} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{l',\mu}^{2q} \| (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \|_{p} \end{bmatrix} & \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{l',\mu}^{2q} \| (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \|_{p} \end{bmatrix}^{\cdot} \\ (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \text{ Suppose} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{l',\mu}^{2q} \| (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \|_{p} \end{bmatrix} & \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{l',\mu',\mu}^{2q} \| (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \|_{p} \end{bmatrix}^{\cdot} \\ \text{and let (iii) does not hold. Then} \\ & \sup_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{u}} \sum_{m \in I_{q}} \sum_{m \in I_{q}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| x_{m,n} \right\|^{l'm+n}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_{p} \right]^{q_{m}} = \infty^{\cdot} \\ & \text{and therefore there is a sequence } (\eta_{i} \mu_{j}) \text{ of positive integers such that } (3.1) \\ & \frac{1}{\lambda_{u}, \mu} \sum_{m \in I_{q}} \sum_{m \in I_{q}} \left[f_{md} u_{mn} \left[\frac{(lj)^{(m+n)}}{(m+n)!}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_{p} \right]^{q_{m}} + \frac{(lj)^{(m+n)}}{(m+n)!}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_{n,u_j} \sum_{m \in I_0} \sum_{n \in I_{n_j}} \left[J_{mu} u_m \left[\frac{1}{(m+n)!} (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1})) \right]_p \right] \right]}{i, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define $x = (x_{mn})$ by

$$x = (x_{mn}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(ij)^{-(m+n)}}{(m+n)!}, & 1 \le m \le I_{n_{j}}; 1 \le n \le I_{\mu_{j}}, & \text{if } i, j = 1, 2, 3, ...; \\ 0, & \text{if } m \ge I_{n_{j}}, n \ge I_{\mu_{j}}. \end{cases}$$

Then $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f,V,u}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_{p} \right]$ but
 $x = (x_{mn}) \notin \left[\Lambda_{f,V,u}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_{p} \right]$ which contradicts (ii). Hence

(iii) must hold.

(iii)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (i). Suppose
 $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{F,u}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right] and x = (x_{mn}) \notin \left[\Lambda_{f,F,u}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right].$ Then (3.2)
 $\sup_{q,u} \frac{1}{\lambda_{qu}} \sum_{m \in I_q} \sum_{m \in I_p} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| x_{m,n} \right\|^{1/m+n}, (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right] \right]^{q_m} = \infty^{-1}$
which contradicts (iii). Hence (i) must hold.

3.4. Theorem

Let $1 \le q_{mn} \le \sup_{mn} q_{mn} < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent. i) $\left[r_{mn}^{2q} \| (d(x) \ d(x)) - d(x) \| \right]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i} & \left[\left\| \mathcal{I}_{f, V, u}^{2}, \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] \\ & \subseteq \left[\mathcal{I}_{F, u}^{2q}, \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right], \\ \end{aligned} \\ \mathbf{ii} & \left[\left\| \mathcal{I}_{f, V, u}^{2q}, \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] \right] \\ & \left[\left\| \Lambda_{V, u}^{2q}, \left\| \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right] \right], \\ \end{aligned} \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &\inf_{q,\sigma}\frac{1}{\lambda_{q,\sigma}}\sum_{m\in I_{\tau}}\sum_{s\in I_{\mu}}\left|u_{ms}f_{ms}\left[\left\|\left|\left(d\left(x_{1}\right),d\left(x_{2}\right),...,d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right\|_{p}\right)\right]\right| > \\ &> 0. \end{split}$$

Proof

t

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose $\left[\chi_{f,Y,u}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_p\right] \subseteq \left[\Lambda_{Y,u}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_p\right]$ and let (iii) does not hold. Then (3.3)

$$\inf_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| \left\| x_{m,n} \right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1}) \right) \right\|_{p} \right) \right]^{q_{m}} = 0, t > 0.$$

We can choose an index sequence $(\eta_i \mu_j)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta,\mu_{j}}}\sum_{m\in I_{\eta_{j}}}\sum_{n\in I_{\mu_{j}}}\left[u_{mn}f_{mn}\left(\left\|\frac{(ij)^{-(m+n)}}{(m+n)!},(d(x_{1}),d(x_{2})...,d(x_{n-1}))\right\|_{p}\right)\right]^{\gamma_{mn}}>$$

 $\frac{(ij)^{(m+n)}}{(m+n)!}, i, j=1,2,...$ Define $x = (x_{mn})$ by

$$x = (x_{mn}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(ij)^{(m+n)}}{(m+n)!}, 1 \le m \le I_{\eta_i}; 1 \le n \le I_{\mu_j}, & \text{if } i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{if } m \ge I_{\eta_i}, n \ge I_{\mu_j}. \end{cases}$$

Thus by (3.3) we have $x = (x_{mn}) \in [\chi_{V,u}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_p]$ but $x = (x_{mn}) \notin [\Lambda_{V,u}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_p]$ which contradicts (ii). Hence (iii) must hold. http://www.lifesciencesite.com

(iii)
$$\Rightarrow (i). \text{ Let} \\ x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f,Y,\mu}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right] \text{ That is, (3.4)} \\ \inf_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_\eta} \sum_{n \in I_\eta} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| ((m+n)! | x_{m,n}|)^{1/m+n}, (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} = 0$$

Suppose (iii) hold and $x = (x_{mn}) \notin \left[\chi_{V,u}^{2q} \| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right]$. Then for some number $\mathcal{C} > 0$ and index $\eta_0 \mu_0$, we have $\left[f_{mn}(c_0) \right]^{q_m} \leq \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\| ((m+n)! |x_{m,n}|)^{1/m+n}, (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_m}$ and consequently (3.4)

$$\lim_{\eta\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[f_{mn}(c_0) \right]^{q_{mn}} = 0,$$

which contradicts (III). Hence

$$\left[\chi_{I,Y,\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_{\rho}\right] \subseteq \left[\chi_{I,\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_{\rho}\right]$$
This completes the proof.

3.5. Theorem

Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus function. Let $1 \le q_{mn} \le \sup_{mn} q_{mn} < \infty$. Then $\left[\Lambda_{f,F,n}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_{\rho}\right] \subseteq \left[\chi_{F,n}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_{\rho}\right]$ hold if and only if (3.5) $\lim_{q,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{q\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_p} \sum_{m \in I_p} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\|((m+n)!|x_{m,n}|)^{1/m+n}, (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1}))\|_{\rho}\right)\right]^{q_{mn}} = \infty$

Proof

Suppose

 $\begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{j'_{F,u}}^{2q} \| (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_{p} \end{bmatrix} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{F,u}^{2q} \| (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_{p} \end{bmatrix}$ and let (3.5) does not hold. There is a number $t_0 > 0$ and an index sequence $(\eta_i \mu_j)$ such that (3.6)

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta,\mu_{j}}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta_{j}}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu_{j}}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| ((m+n)! | \mathbf{x}_{m,n}| \right)^{1/m+n}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_{p} \right) \right]_{q}^{q_{m}}$$

$$\leq N < \infty, i = 1, 2, ...$$
Define $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{mn})$ by

$$x = (x_{nn}) = \begin{cases} (t_0)^{(m+n)}, 1 \le m \le I_{n_i}; 1 \le n \le I_{\mu_j}, & \text{if } i, j = 1, 2, 3, ...; \\ 0, & \text{if } m \ge I_{n_i}, n \ge I_{\mu_j}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f, V, \mu}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right]$
but $x = (x_{mn}) \notin \left[\chi_{V, \mu}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right].$ Hence
(3.5) must hold.
Conversely, if
 $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f, V, \mu}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right],$ then for each
s, η and μ

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta,\mu_{j}}} \sum_{m \in I_{n}} \sum_{n \in I_{nj}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| x_{m,n} \right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d\left(x_{1}\right), d\left(x_{2}\right), ..., d\left(x_{n-1}\right) \right) \right\|_{p} \right) \right]^{q_{m}} \leq N < \infty S$$
uppose that

 $x = (x_{mn}) \notin \left[\chi_{V,u}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right].$ Then for some

number $\epsilon_0 > 0$ we have

$$\left[f_{mn}(\epsilon_{0})\right]^{q_{mn}} \leq \left[u_{mn}f_{mn}\left(\left\|x_{m,n}\right\|^{1/m+n}, \left(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})\right)\right\|_{p}\right)\right]^{q_{n}}$$

and hence for *m*, *n* we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[f_{mn} \left(\epsilon_{0} \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \leq N < \infty ,$$

for some N > 0, which contradicts (3.5). Hence

$$\left\lfloor \Lambda_{\mathcal{J}_{Y,u}}^{2q} \| (d(x_1)d(x_2),...,d(x_{n-1})) \|_{p} \right\rfloor \subseteq \left\lfloor \chi_{\mathcal{J}_{u}}^{2q} \| (d(x_1),d(x_2),...,d(x_{n-1})) \|_{p} \right\rfloor$$

. This completes the proof. **3.6. Theorem**

Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus function. Let $1 \le q_{mn} \le \sup_{mn} q_{mn} < \infty$. Then $\left[\Lambda_{Y,u}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right] \subseteq \left[\chi_{f,Y,u}^{2q}, \left\| (d(x_1), d(x_2), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_p \right]$ [14] hold if and only if

(3.8)

$$\lim_{\eta,\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\eta\mu}} \sum_{m \in I_{\eta}} \sum_{n \in I_{\mu}} \left[u_{mn} f_{mn} \left(\left\| \left((m+n)! | x_{m,n} | \right)^{\nu_{m+n}}, (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), ..., d(x_{n-1})) \right\|_{\rho} \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \left[153 \right]$$

Proof: It is similar to above. Therefore we omit the proof.

REFERENCES

- M. Basarir and O. Solancan, On some double sequence spaces, J. Indian Acad. Math., 21(2) (1999), 193-200.
- [2] T.J.I'A. Bromwich, An introduction to the theory of infinite series Macmillan and Co. Ltd., New York, (1965).
- [3] G.H. Hardy, On the convergence of certain multiple series, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **19** (1917), 86-95.
- [4] M.A. Krasnoselskii and Y.B. Rutickii, Convex functions and Orlicz spaces, Gorningen, Nether-lands, **1961**.
- J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, Israel J. Math., 10 (1971), 379-390.
- [6] I.J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos.* Soc., 100(1) (1986), 161-166.
- [7] F. Moricz, Extentions of the spaces c and c_0 from single to double sequences, Acta. Math. Hung., **57(1-2)** (1991), 129-136.

http://www.lifesciencesite.com

- [8] F. Moricz and B.E. Rhoades, Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **104** (1988), 283-294.
- [9] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 5 (1953), 29-49.
- [10] W.H. Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, Canad. J. Math., 25 (1973), 973-978.
- B.C. Tripathy, On statistically convergent double sequences, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 34(3) (2003), 231-237.
- [12] A. Turkmenoglu, Matrix transformation between some classes of double sequences, *J. Inst. Math. Comp. Sci. Math. Ser.*, **12(1)** (1999), 23-31.
- [13] P.K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, Sequence spaces and series, Lecture notes, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 65 Marcel Dekker, *Inc.*, New York, 1981.
 - A. Gokhan and R. Colak, The double sequence spaces $c_2^{P}(p)a$ and $c_2^{PB}(p)$, Appl. Math. Comput., **157(2)** (2004), 491-501.

a. Gokhan and R. Colak, Double sequence spaces l_2^{∞} , ibid., **160(1)** (2005), 147-153.

- [16] M. Zeltser, Investigation of Double Sequence Spaces by Soft and Hard Analitical Methods, Dissertationes Mathematicae Universitatis Tartuensis 25, Tartu University Press, Univ. of Tartu, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Tartu, 2001.
- [17] M. Mursaleen and O.H.H. Edely, Statistical convergence of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 288(1) (2003), 223-231.
- [18] M. Mursaleen, Almost strongly regular matrices and a core theorem for double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 293(2) (2004), 523-531.
- [19] M. Mursaleen and O.H.H. Edely, Almost convergence and a core theorem for double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 293(2) (2004), 532-540.
- B. Altay and F. Basar, Some new spaces of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 309(1) (2005), 70-90.
- [21] F. Basar and Y. Sever, The space L_p of double sequences, Math. J. Okayama Univ, **51** (2009), 149-157.

- [22] N. Subramanian and U.K. Misra, The semi normed space defined by a double gai sequence of modulus function, *Fasciculi Math.*, **46** (2010).
- [23] J. Cannor, On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **32(2)** (1989), 194-198.
- [24] A. Pringsheim, Zurtheorie derzweifach unendlichen zahlenfolgen, *Math. Ann.*, 53 (1900), 289-321.
- [25] H.J. Hamilton, Transformations of multiple sequences, *Duke Math. J.*, **2** (1936), 29-60.
- [26] _____, A Generalization of multiple sequences transformation, *Duke Math. J.*, 4 (1938), 343-358.
- [27] _____, Preservation of partial Limits in Multiple sequence transformations, *Duke Math. J.*, **4** (1939), 293-297.
- [28] G.M. Robison, Divergent double sequences and series, *Amer. Math. Soc. Trans.*, **28** (1926), 50-73.
- [29] G. Goes and S. Goes, Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients, *Math. Z.*, **118** (1970), 93-102.
- [30] M. Gupta and S. Pradhan, On Certain Type of Modular Sequences space, *Turk J. Math.*, 32 (2008), 293-303.
- [31] J.Y.T. Woo, On Modular Sequence spaces, *Studia Math.*, **48** (1973), 271-289.
- [32] A. Wilansky, Summability through Functional Analysis, North-Holland Mathematical Studies, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, Vol. 85 (1984).
- [33] P. Chandra and B.C. Tripathy, On generalized Kothe-Toeplitz duals of some sequence spaces, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **33(8)** (2002), 1301-1306.

7/10/2013

http://www.lifesciencesite.com

- [34] B.C. Tripathy and S. Mahanta, On a class of vector valued sequences associated with multiplier sequences, *Acta Math. Applicata Sinica* (Eng. Ser.), **20(3)** (2004), 487-494.
- [35] B.C. Tripathy and M. Sen, Characterization of some matrix classes involving paranormed sequence spaces, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, **37(2)** (2006), 155-162.
- [36] B.C. Tripathy and A.J. Dutta, On fuzzy realvalued double sequence spaces $2l_F^P$, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, **46(9-10)** (2007), 1294-1299.
- [37] B.C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, Statistically convergent difference double sequence spaces, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, **24(5)** (2008), 737-742.
- [38] B.C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, Vector valued double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function, *Mathematica Slovaca*, **59(6)** (2009), 767-776.
- [39] B.C. Tripathy and A.J. Dutta, Bounded variation double sequence space of fuzzy real numbers, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **59(2)** (2010), 1031-1037.
- [40] B.C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, Double sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers defined by Orlicz function, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, **31 B(1)** (2011), 134-140.
- [41] B.C. Tripathy and P. Chandra, On some generalized difference paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences defined by modulus function, *Anal. Theory Appl.*, **27(1)** (2011), 21-27.
- [42] B.C. Tripathy and A.J. Dutta, Lacunary bounded variation sequence of fuzzy real numbers, *Journal in Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **24(1)** (2013), 185-189.