

## Flaws detection in steel plates Using Gabor Wavelet

Mostafa Sadeghi<sup>1</sup>, Faezeh Memarzadehzavareh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Islamic Azad University, Zavareh Branch, Zavareh, Iran

[Mostafa13h@gmail.com](mailto:Mostafa13h@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer, zavareh Branch Islamic Azad University, zavareh, Iran

[Fmemarzadeh@gmail.com](mailto:Fmemarzadeh@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** Defect detection in steel plates, is one of the most important quality control steps in steel process. Quality control of steel sheet for the purpose of improvement in product quality and maintaining competitive market is a matter of high importance. Surface defect detection devotes a high percentage of quality control to itself. In this paper a fast and highly accurate approach for detection of this kind of defects is offered by using image processing with the aid of 2D Gabor Wavelet and without any need to normal image or determining the quantity of images which are to be deleted. The result shows considerable improvement. [Mostafa Sadeghi, Faezeh Memarzadehzavareh. **Flaws detection in steel plates Using Gabor Wavelet.** *Life Sci J* 2013;10(2s):352-355] (ISSN:1097-8135). <http://www.lifesciencesite.com>. 61

**Keywords:** Image Processing, Gabor Wavelet, Quality control, steel process.

### Introduction

Quality control is an important issue in producing steel sheet. Detection of surface defect devotes a high percentage of quality control process to itself. Today, in most of sheet product lines, quality control is performed manually by expert people. The lack of an automatic quality control system causes reduction in efficiency, lack of sufficient precision, and increasing the expenditure. Image processing is the dominant technology in the field of inspecting different textures and recognizing available diversity. Capability of this technology, especially in this two contexts of detecting and categorizing the sample, opens the way to utilize this approach for quality control in industries such as textile, paper, ceramic. So far a great deal of research has been made in automatic detection of defects available on the surface of steel sheets [1,2]. Among other approaches used for locating the defects, we can name Laplace filter, gradient filter, and RAF filter [3,4]. Application of these filters on images makes the defective edges and unimportant details of the screen to be exposed with the same intensity. By morphology methods application defect edges are eliminated. In reference [5] an approach for detecting steel defects is introduced. In this method at first local entropy is applied on the image pixels, and then morphology approaches are used to distinguish the defects from background. Total attempts which are so far accomplished, are mostly with the focus on the features of color, shape and texture, in order to introduce appropriate methods for defect detection. In approaches based upon texture analysis, the main purpose is to provide a criterion for detection of image texture properties, such as softness, flatness, coarseness, etc. some studies have also been performed based on

Fractal Model[6] and co-occurrence matrix in defect detection of steel sheets



Hole, Wrinkle, Corrosion, Scrape (From Right to Left)

### Texture Analysis and Feature Experiment with Using Gabor Wavelet Today

In various stages of steel sheet production, different effects are made on the sheet surface. In order to assure a good quality of products, producers should detect unexpected defects to prevent them from occurring continually, and make sure that their products meet the requirements of the users [10,11]. In Mobarakeh Steel Complex of Isfahan, about 210 coded defects have been inspected from the viewpoint of similarity, lighting, features, imaging, and process algorithms. In this paper by considering such parameters as the frequency of defect occurrence, diversity in defect form, and importance of separation among them, 4 types of defects, namely hole, scrape, lateral wrinkle, and corrosion are chosen for inspection (Figure 1).

The dominant technology for detection and classification of objects based upon apparent features, is the technology of image processing. Generally speaking, the operation of image processing is performed in 2 steps: feature extraction &

classification. In the former, by determining the favored features, parameter selection, and method of extraction, these features are separated from the raw image to be optimized. In the latter, regions with similar texture are recognized and borders between different textures are determined. Of the most applicable methods used in the extraction of textural features, is the method of directional multi-frequency. In this approach Gabor Wavelet is extensively utilized. Gabor Wavelet extracts considerable textural features from image which includes both different directions and different frequencies [12,14]. This Wavelet due to begin optimal in both frequency and local domain, can utilize the benefits of signal processing in both domains [15-18]. If Gabor Wavelet is defined in local domain, it will be convoluted with the respected image and makes partial image. If it is defined in frequency domain, by taking a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) from respected image, transfers it to frequency domain and then multiplies it by the Gabor Wavelet in that domain. By transferring the product to local domain, partial image is provided. Since the convolution in local domain is performed less quickly than multiplication in frequency domain, in this paper we use Gabor Wavelet in latter domain to extract the image feature faster. Because most defect in steel surface are not made accidentally and production, a specific Gabor Wavelet may not be used for detection of any kind of defect. Therefore, in this paper we have Utilized Gabor Wavelet bank for feature extraction. Existence of various direction and frequencies in such a bank causes extract features to include lost of information about image texture, so that they can detect any defect in different frequency and direction very well. A 2-dimensional Gabor filter in locality domain is defined by (1):

$$w(x, y, \theta, \lambda, \varphi, \sigma, \gamma) = e^{-\frac{x'^2 + y'^2}{2\sigma^2}} \cos(2\pi \frac{x'}{\lambda} + \varphi) \quad (1)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta \\ y' &= -x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta \end{aligned}$$

There is not any analytical method to optimize the Gabor filter's bank. Therefore a long process for test and evaluation to yield the best configuration of this bank is needed. In our tests after computations, we conclude that a filter bank comprising 12 Gabor filters with frequencies  $\left\{ \frac{\Omega m}{8}, \frac{\Omega m}{4}, \frac{\Omega m}{2} \right\}$  and angles  $\{0^\circ, 45^\circ, 90^\circ, 135^\circ\}$  can extract tissue feature very well.  $\Omega m$  is the highest image frequency equal to half of the image size in frequency domain. In this paper by using

the above frequencies, the highest level of accuracy is prepared. Increasing the number of filters upper a limit can not improve the efficiency and just leads to computation overload.

### Related Works

Due to capabilities of image processing in the field of assessment of different tissues and their classification, So far in most industries such as textile, Ceramic and paper, this technology has been used for QC and defect detection [1,2,3]. The concentration of last works has been on features such as color and shape. In [4, 5] the tissue feature is used, too. In detection of steel sheet defects, this technology has been used [13]. Among the most important methods for image processing are edge detection and utilizing smart sensors [6] and zoning the images [9]. In these methods with focus on color and shape features, the surface defect is detected. Due to imperfect information yielded from these methods (especially in shape feature) the type of defect is not recognizable. Unlike the color and shape feature, the tissue feature can give us the needed information to detect the defect and its type [10]. Some methods to combine the color and tissue features are presented [11, 12]. These methods have treat to tissue and color as a common phenomenon. In [13] a new method is presented to extract the steel surface features by using arithmetical method like LBP. In [4] by application of image processing technology with the aid of Gabor wavelet for extraction of tissue features and comparison with normal image, as well as manually determining the quantity of partial image to be deleted, a good solution is offered. The problem of this method is the selection of appropriate normal image (s) with the same background; regarding the fact that determining number of partial images for deletion is done manually.

### Recommended Approach

In this paper a new approach is suggested in which classification of defects is based on the calculation of dispersion in partial images. The procedure is as follows: feature extraction by Gabor Wavelet bank is performed with 12 Wavelets. After feature extraction from the defective image, construction of partial image, and calculation of energy, partial images are selected for combination which include defective region distinctly. The category of images in which data dispersion is less, include regions of defect more distinctly, because this region causes the feature of these pixels to be more obvious than the rest parts of image. In images on which defect is not obvious, usually the difference of calculated energy is nearly zero and is not situated in the region of calculated dispersion. With algorithms presented images with lower dispersion are selected. In a defective image it is possible that all partial images are chosen, while in another image none of partial images are selected. In order to combine the chosen partial

images by this approach, two methods are used, namely simple addition and Bernoulli combination law. After the best partial images are selected, the combination step must perform to produce the feature plan. This work leads to detection of more defected regions and increasing SPC and SNS percentage. For combination of images, three methods are usual:

Simple addition rule

Bernoulli's combination rule

SBA rule

For three variables a, b and c, these three rules are defined as following:

Simple Addition rule

$$\text{comb}(a,b,c) = a + b + c$$

Bernoulli's rule

$$\text{comb}(a,b,c) = 1 - (1-a)(1-b)(1-c)$$

SBA rule

$$\text{comb}(a,b,c) = \frac{abc}{abc + (1-a)(1-b)(1-c)}$$

However we must note that the denominator of SBA formula can be zero and leads to unacceptable results. Between these three methods, SBA and simple addition have the minimum and maximum percentage of defect detection, respectively. Finally, after combining partial images, feature plan is made up. The computations in this method for dispersal criterion are based on variance. In these experiments after extraction of features by Gabor filter bank, making partial images and calculating the energy, variance of each partial image is calculated. Variance determines the square of differences between each vector and the average and divides it by the number of elements. Since the former method gave the best results, in this paper only results achieved by simple addition method is mentioned. In this method, statistical basis for calculation of dispersion in experiments conducted is Variance. In these experiments after feature extraction by Gabor Wavelets bank, constitution of partial images, and calculation of energy, the variance for each partial image is calculated. Experiments are implemented in MATLAB software. To calculate the variance, by this software, (3) was utilized.

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - \bar{x})^2 \quad (3)$$

The resulted variance for all partial image is divided by its maximum value to be situated in similar area in the interval [0 1]. This is performed because in some partial images, especially those with lower frequency, total value of pixels is less than the rest of partial images. If in this partial image there is an obvious defect, because the amount of its pixels is low,

the achieved value for the variance will also be low, which does not have appropriate result. After normalizing the variance values, in order to select partial images for combination with each other, we need a threshold level. If variance is higher than a definite level of threshold, that partial image is chosen for combination. With respect to the images, this threshold level is considered as 0.2 by using the low of simple addition to combine partial images; a feature map is constituted which is a useful tool.

### Set of Data and Experiment

To conduct such an experiment, we need various pictures which include different defects. The pictures used in this experiment consist of 100 real images 55 of which belongs to Kanpur university of India, and the other 45 are pictures taken from Mobarakeh Steel complex. In the experiments of this section, after feature extraction by Gabor Wavelet bank, construction of partial images, and calculation of energy, variance of each partial image is computed. The algorithm for selection of partial images with regions of defect is achieved based on variance value. Obviously, the image situated in the limits of favored variance includes defect regions. In figure 2 an example of corrosion defect is shown. After performing the algorithm of defect detection, figure 3 is achieved.



Corrosion Defect



Result of Applying the New Algorithm

The results from executing this algorithm are indicated in Table 1. In these experiments partial images are considered as non-normalized. Variances

are normalized by dividing into their maximum value in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Threshold level is 0.2 and combination of partial images is performed by simple addition.

### Conclusion

in this paper an approach is suggested to detect the location of defect on the surface of steel sheet by using Gabor Wavelet and variance. in the new approach by removing the need to normal image and automatic determining the number of partial images to be deleted, the speed of defect detection increases and mean while maintains the accuracy. In this paper quantity of partial images was inspected in 3 modes: normalized, non – normalized and quantized with the threshold value of 5. variance were calculated for each mode and all partial images Values of partial images are inspected both normalized and non-normalized in the limit of  $[0, 1]$ . The best elimination By normalizing the resulted variance of partial images, the values of variance changes and inappropriate partial images are selected for combination. All together, the amount of correct detection of defective regions is improved in this approach with respect to other approaches.

### RESULT OF APPLYING RECOMMENDED ALGORITHM

Image Type	Percentage of Correct Detection	
	Correct detection of defective regions (%)	Correct detection of no-defect regions (%)
Real Image of Hole	90.67	91.78
Generated Image of Hole	97.11	91.99
Real Image of Wrinkle	96.87	95.41
Generated Image of Wrinkle	98.91	93.25
Real Image of Corrosion	97.35	96.39
Generated Image of Corrosion	93.44	92.59
Real Image of Scrape	92.76	90.21
Generated Image of Scrape	91.48	95.05

### References

1. Chalasani, S., Segmentation and Performance Evaluation of Steel Defect Images, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian institute of Technology, Kanpur, Master's thesis, 2000.
2. Guha, P., Automated Visual Inspection of Steel Surface, Texture Segmentation and Development of a Perceptual Similarity Measure, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian institute of Technology, Kanpur, Master's thesis, April 2001.
3. Yun, P., Park, Y., Seo, B., Development of Real-time Defect Detection Algorithm for High-speed Steel Bar in Coil (BIC), SICEICASE International Joint Conference, Busan, Korea, 2006.
4. Jia, J. H., Murphey, Y., L., An Intelligent Real-time Vision System for Surface Defect Detection, Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR'04).
5. Yazdchi, M., Golibagh, A., Nazeri, A., Detection and Classification of Surface Defects of Cold RollinMill Steel Using Morphology and Neural Network, International Conference on Computational Intelligence for Modelling, Control and Automation (CIMCA 08), pp. 1071-1076, 2008.
6. Yazdchi, M., Yazdi, M., Golibagh, A., Steel Surface Defect Detection Using Texture Segmentation Based on Multifractal Dimension, The 1nd International Conference on Digital Image Processing (ICDIP 2009), pp. 346-350, 2009.
7. Bodnarova, A., Williams, J., Bennamoun, M., Kubik, K., Optimal Textural Features for Flaw Detection in Textile Materials, In Proceedings of IEEE TENCON'97 Conference, pp. 307-310, 1997.
8. Connors, R., McMillan, C., Lin, K., Identifying and Locating Surface Defects in Wood: Part of an Automated Timber Processing System, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, PP. 573-583, 1983.
9. Iivarinen, J., Rauhamaa, J., Visa, A., Unsupervised Segmentation of Surface Defects, In International Conference on Pattern Recognition, Vol. 4, pp. 356-360, 1996.
10. Iivarinen, J., Surface Defect Detection with Histogram-Based Texture Features, In SPIE Intelligent Robots and Computer Vision XIX: Algorithms, Techniques, and Active Vision, Vol. 4197, pp. 140-145, 2000.
11. Jarvinen, J., Real-Time Surface Inspection of Steel Strips, Machine Vision News, Vol. 7, pp. 1-5, 2002.

1/26/2013