# The Instability and of Multi Walled Carbon Nanotube with Small Number of Layers Probes Near Graphite Sheets

Alireza Vahdati<sup>\*</sup>, Mehdi Vahdati

Mechanical group, Naein Branch, Islamic Azad University, Naein, Iran; alirezavahdaty@yahoo.com

**Abstract:** In this paper the deflection and instability of a freestanding carbon nanotube (CNT) probe/sensor in the vicinity of the graphene layers are investigated. Modeling the buckling of multi walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) probes/actuators in the vicinity of thin and thick graphite has been carried out using numerical finite difference method. A hybrid nano-scale continuum model based on Lennard-Jones potential is applied to simulate the intermolecular force-induced deflection of MWCNT. Minimum nanotube-graphite initial gap and stable length of freestanding CNT are determined as basic parameters for engineering applications and nano-devices design. The stable length of MWCNT is determined as a function of its geometrical and material characteristics, initial gap and number of graphene layers.

[Vahdati A, Vahdati M. Numerical Study of the Buckling of Multi Walled Carbon Nanotube Probes Near Graphite Sheets. *Life Sci J* 2012;9(4):5597-5600] (ISSN:1097-8135). <u>http://www.lifesciencesite.com</u>. 832

Keywords: Nanomaterials; Buckling; CNT

### 1. Introduction

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have become the center of interest for many scientists due to their large application such as microscope probes/sensors and actuators/switches (Desquenes et al., 2002; Hwang and Kang 2005; Ke et al., 2005a). The extraordinary properties of MWCNTs have motivated engineers worldwide to explore their applications in different fields. With recent growth in nanotechnology, MWCNTs are increasingly used in developing atomic force microscope (AFM) probes (Li et al., 2008; Akita 2001; Cao et al., 2005) and nanoelectromechanical system (NEMS) switches (Baughman et al., 1999; Ke et al., 2005a; Snow et al., 2002). Consider a typical cantilever MWCNT probe/switch suspended near graphite surface with a small gap in between. As the gap decreases from micro to nano-scale, the van der Waals interaction deflects MWCNT to the surface. When the separation is small enough, nanotube buckles onto graphite. The prediction of the molecular force-induced instability of MWCNTs near the surface is a critical subject in design AFM probes and NEMS switches. With decrease in distance between the AFM probe and sample surfaces, the probe jumps into contact with the surfaces and renders its imaging performance (Snow et al., 2002; Jalili et al., 2004; Snow et al., 2002). Similarly, a NEMS switch might adhere to its substrate even without an applied voltage as a result of molecular force, if the minimum gap between the switch and substrate is not considered (Abadyan et al., 2010; Abdi et al., 2011; Koochi et al., ; 2010; 2011a; 2012; Soroush et al., 2010; Tadi Beni et al., 2011a; 2011b).

In order to study nanomaterials, several approaches are employed. Molecular dynamics (MD)

and molecular mechanics (MM) simulations could be used to study the mechanical behavior of carbonbased nanomaterials (Tsai and Tu 2010; Tserpes, 2007; Desquenes et al., 2002; Batra et al., 2007). However these methods are very time-consuming and might not be easily used in complex structures. An alternative reliable trend to simulate the instability behavior of MWCNT interacting with extremely large number of graphite atoms, is to apply nanoscale continuum models. A hybrid continuum model can be used to calculate the van der Waals energy, in lieu of the discrete Lennard-Jones potential, similarly (Desquenes et al., 2002; Batra et al., 2007; Gupta et al., 2008). Although continuum models are more time-saving than MM and MD, their approach often leads to nonlinear equations that might not be worked out by analytical methods, accurately (Desquenes et al.; Lin and Zhao 2005; Koochi et al., 2011b, 2011c).

In this paper, we utilize a hybrid continuum model to investigate the molecular force-induced deflection and buckling of the cantilever freestanding MWCNT probes/actuators suspended over graphite. The numerical finite difference method is implied to simulate the instability of MWCNT and the obtained results are compared with numerical data.

### 2. Theoretical Model

### 2.1. van der Waals interactions

Lennard-Jones potential is a suitable model to describe van der Waals interaction [23]. It defines the potential between atoms i and j by

$$\phi_{ij} = \frac{C_{12}}{r_{ij}^{12}} - \frac{C_6}{r_{ij}^6} \tag{1}$$

where  $r_{ij}$  is the distance between atoms *i* and *j* while  $C_6$  and  $C_{12}$  are the attractive and repulsive

constants, respectively. For distances higher than 3.4 Å, such as in this paper, the repulsive term decays extremely fast and can be neglected (Desquenes *et al.*, 2002). For the carbon-carbon interaction,  $C_6$ =15.2 eVÅ<sup>6</sup> (Girifalco *et al.*, 2000). A reliable continuum model has been established to compute the van der Waals energy by double-volume integral of Lennard-Jones potential(Ke and Espinosa, 2006) [25], that is

$$E_{vdW} = \int_{v_1} \int_{v_2} n_1 n_2 \left(-\frac{C_6}{r^6(v_1, v_2)}\right) dv_1 dv_2 \tag{2}$$

where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  represent the two domains of integration, and  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the densities of atoms in these domains, respectively. The distance between any two points on  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  is  $r(v_1, v_2)$ . Eq. (2) provides acceptable results for explaining the CNT-graphene attraction compared to that of direct pair wise summation through molecular dynamics in Eq. (1). In most applications it is practically assumed that the mean radius of MWCNT is much smaller than the distance between nanotube and the graphene surfaces. According to this assumption and using the mentioned continuum model, the intermolecular force per unit length of MWCNT,  $q_{vdW}$ , is simplified to (Desquenes *et al.*, 2002):

$$q_{vdW}(r) = 4C_6 \sigma^2 \pi^2 N_W R_W \sum_{r=D}^{D+(N-1)d} \frac{1}{r^5}.$$
 (1)

In characterizing ultra-thin films/layers by AFM nano-probes, the investigation of MWCNT behavior near a small number of layers and theire stable length can be treated as an important dilemma (Nemes-Incze et al., 2008; Koszewski et al., 2008; Švorčík et al., 2009). Therefore, this study is focused on this case which is very important in engineering problems. In order to derive a simple formula for a small number of layers we substitute *r* with D + Nd/2+ id and assume  $D + Nd/2 \pm id \approx D + Nd/2$ . Therefore we get:

$$\sum_{r=D}^{D+(\overline{N}-1)d} \frac{1}{r^5} = \sum_{i=-N/2}^{N/2} \frac{1}{(D+Nd/2+id)^5} . (2)$$
$$\approx \frac{N}{(D+Nd/2)^5}$$

This leads to:

 $q_{vdW}(D) \approx 4C_6 \sigma^2 \pi^2 N N_W R_W (D + Nd / 2)^{-5} .(3)$ 



Figure 1. Equivalent continuum model: a MWCNT over a graphite ground plane

### 2.2. Elastostatic domain

Based on continuum mechanics, a MWCNT is modeled by concentric cylindrical tubes. Young's modulus of MWCNT,  $E_{eff}$ , is typically 0.9-1.2 TPa (Gupta *et al.*, 2008) and the cross-sectional moment of inertia *I* is equal to  $\pi(R_o^4 - R_i^4)/4$  (Girifalco *et al.*, 2000). We have applied Euler theory to investigate the static elastic behavior of MWCNT. For MWCNTs with  $L/(2R_e) > 10$ , Euler theory provides fine results compared to those by MM simulations (Batra *et al.*, 2007; Ke *et al.*, 2005b). The effect of large displacement (finite kinematics) is not considered to derive the governing equation of MWCNT. The governing equation of a cantilever MWCNT can be defined as a boundary value problem:

$$E_{eff} I \frac{d^{4}U}{dX^{4}} = q_{vdW} (D - U)$$

$$= \frac{4C_{6}\sigma^{2}\pi^{2}NN_{W}R_{W}}{(D - U + Nd/2)^{5}}$$
(4a)

 $U(0) = \frac{dU}{dX}(0) = 0,$ (Geometrical B.C. at fixed end) (4b)

$$\frac{d^{2}U}{dX^{2}}(L) = \frac{d^{3}U}{dX^{3}}(L) = 0,$$

(Natural B.C. at free end) (4c)

where X is the position along MWCNT measured from the clamped end and U is the deflection of MWCNT. Equations (4a)-(4c) can be made dimensionless using the following substitutions:

$$x = X / L , (5a)$$

$$u = \frac{U}{D + Nd/2},$$
 (5b)

$$f_n = \frac{4C_6 \sigma^2 \pi^2 N N_W R_W L^4}{E_{eff} I (D + Nd / 2)^6}.$$
 (5c)

These transformations yield,

$$\frac{d^{4}u}{dx^{4}} = \frac{f}{(1-u(x))^{5}},$$
(6a)

$$u(0) = u'(0) = 0$$
, at  $x = 0$  (6b)

$$u''(1) = u'''(1) = 0$$
, at  $x = 1$ . (6c)

In all equations, prime denotes differentiation with respect to *x*.

## 3. Numerical Solution

In order to solve the boundary value problem of Eq. 6 a procedure based on finite difference method (FDM) is developed in this study for making meaningful comparisons. Following the standard FDM procedure, the beam is discretized into n equal sections (elements) separated by (n+1) nodes. For each element, the governing equation (6) in the discretized form can be written as:

$$\frac{d^{4}u}{dx^{4}} = \frac{u_{i-2} - 4u_{i-1} + 6u_{i} - 4u_{i+1} + u_{i+2}}{h^{4}}$$
(7)

where *h* is the grid spacing,  $w_i$  is the deflection of  $i^{\text{th}}$  grid. By substituting equation 7 in equation 5 we can obtaine:

$$\frac{u_{i-2} - 4u_{i-1} + 6u_i - 4u_{i+1} + u_{i+2}}{h^4} = F_i$$
(8)

where

$$F_i = \frac{f}{\left(1 - u_i\right)^5} \tag{9}$$

Applying equation (8) to all of the elements and incorporating the boundary conditions (eq 6-b and 6-c), a matrix form equation is obtained as:

$$[A]{u} = {F}$$
(10)

Where

$$\{u\} = [u_1, u_2, ..., u_n]^T$$
, (11)  
And

(12)

And

$$\{F\} = [F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n]^{r}$$

and A matrix can be defined as:

	7	-4	1	0	0		0	0	0	0	
[A]=	-4	6	-4	1	0		0	0	0	0	
	1	-4	6	-4	1		0	0	0	0	
	0	1	-4	6	-4		0	0	0	0	
	0	0	1	-4	6		0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	1	-4		0	0	0	0	
	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	·.	÷	÷	÷	:	
	0	0	0	0	0		-4	6	-4	1	
	0	0	0	0	0		1	-4	5	-2	
	0	0	0	0	0		0	1	-2	1	
	(1	3)								_	

Matlab commercial software is employed to numerically solve equation (10) for the nodal deflections that govern the overall deflection of the beam.

### 4. Results and Discussion

For any given MWCNT-graphite attraction (f), one can solve equation (6a) numerically to obtain the deflection (u) of MWCNT. However, for f greater than critical value of intermolecular force, i.e.  $f^*$ , no numerical solution exists and the MWCNT collapses.

As a case study, a cantilever SWCNT probe/switch with Young's modulus of 1 TPa (Strus *et al.*, 2008) is considered. In this case, *I* is approximated to  $\pi t R_W^3$ , where *t* is the thickness of SWCNT, typically about 0.35 (Strus *et al.*, 2008). Figure 2 depicts the variation of SWCNT stable length as a function of the nanotube radius and minimum initial nanotube-graphite gap. As seen, the

intermolecular attraction is more significant for SWCNT over thick graphite substrate compared to that of thin substrate. Figure 2 indicates that the effect of van der Waals attraction on MWCNT's buckling is very important at separations below 1 µm.



Figure 2. Detachment length of the SWCNT as a function of the nanotube radius for various minimum gaps

## 5. Conclusions

In summary, a nano-scale continuum model based on Lennard-Jones potential has been employed to investigate the buckling of cantilever MWCNT over graphene layers. Results indicate that van der Waals attraction can collapse the cantilever MWCNT at submicron separations especially in the case of large number of graphene layers. stable length of MWCNT has been determined as basic parameters for design and selecting components of nanosystems. It is found that the stable length of MWCNT highly depends on geometrical dimensions of MWCNT such as radius and number of walls. MWCNT-graphite distance and number of graphene layers. The developed approach avoids timeconsuming MM simulations and makes parametric studies possible.

# **Corresponding Author:**

Alireza Vahdati

Mechanical group, Naein Branch, Islamic Azad University, Naein, Iran E-Mail: <u>alirezavahdaty@yahoo.com</u>

### References

- 1. Desquenes M, Rotkin S V, Alaru N R. Calculation of pull-in voltages for carbon-nanotube-based nanoelectromechanical switches. Nanotechnology 2002; 13:120-131.
- Hwang H J, Kang J W. Carbon-nanotube-based nanoelectromechanical switch. Physica E 2005;27(1-2):163-157.
- 3. Ke C H, Pugno N, Peng B, Espinosa H D. Experiments and modeling of carbon nanotube-based

http://www.lifesciencesite.com

NEMS devices. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 2005;53:1314-1333.

- Li C, Thostenson ET, Chou TW. Sensors and actuators based on carbon nanotubes and their composites: A review. Composites Science and Technology 2008;68:1227–1249.
- Akita S. Nanotweezers consisting of carbon nanotubes operating in an atomic force microscope. Applied Physics Letters 2001;79:1591–1593.
- Cao Y, Liang Y, Dong S, Wang Y. A multi-wall carbon nanotube (MWCNT) relocation technique for atomic force microscopy (AFM) samples. Ultramicroscopy 2005;103(2):103-108.
- Baughman RH, Cui C, Zakhidov AA, Iqbal Z, Barisci JN, Spinks GM, Wallace GG, Mazzoldi A, Rossi DD, Rinzler AG, Jaschinski O, Roth S, Kertesz M. Carbon nanotube actuators. Science 1999;284:1340-1344.
- Ke CH, Pugno N, Peng B, Espinosa HD. Experiments and modeling of carbon nanotube-based NEMS devices. Journal of Mechanics and Physics of Solids 2005;53:1314-1333.
- Snow ES, Campbell PM, Novak JP. Atomic force microscopy using single-wall C nanotube probes. Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology: B 2002;20(3):822-827.
- Jalili N, Laxminarayana K. A review of atomic force microscopy imaging systems: application to molecular metrology and biological sciences. Mechatronics 2004;14(8):907-945.
- 11. Snow ES, Campbell PM, Novak JP. Single-wall carbon nanotube atomic force microscope probes. Applied Physics Letters 2002;80(11):2002-2005.
- Tsai JL, Tu JF. Characterizing mechanical properties of graphite using molecular dynamics simulation. Materials & Design 2010;31(1):194-199.
- Tserpes KI. Role of intertube spacing in the pullout forces of double-walled carbon nanotubes. Materials & Design 2007;28(7):2197-2201.
- 14. Desquenes M, Rotkin SV, Alaru NR. Calculation of Pull-in Voltages for Carbon-Nanotube-Based Nanoelectromechanical Switches. Nanotechnology 2002;13:120-131.
- Batra RC, Sears A. Continuum models of multiwalled carbon nanotubes. International Journal of Solids and Structures 2007;44:7577-7596.
- Gupta SS, Batra RC. Continuum structures equivalent in normal mode vibrations to single-walled carbon nanotubes. Computational Material Science 2008;43:715-723.
- 17. Lin WH, Zhao YP. Nonlinear behavior for nanoscale electrostatic actuators with Casimir force. Chaos Solitons and Fractals 2005;23:1777–1785.
- Lennard-Jones JE. Perturbation problems in quantum mechanics. Proceedings of Royal Society: A 1930;129:598-615.
- Girifalco LA, Hodak M, Lee RS. Carbon nanotubes, buckyballs, ropes, and a universal graphitic potential. Physical Review: B 2000;62(19):13104-13110.
- Ke C, Espinosa HD. Nanoelectromechanical systems (NEMS) and modeling. In: Rieth M, Schommers W, Gennes P D, editors. Handbook of Theoretical and

Computational Nanotechnology Valencia, CA: American Scientific Publishers, 2006 chapter 121.

- Ke CH, Espinosa HD, Pugno N. Numerical analysis of nanotube based NEMS devices—part II: Role of finite kinematics. Journal of Applied Mechanics 2005b;72:726-731.
- 22. Abadyan M, Novinzadeh A, Kazemi A S. Approximating the effect of Casimir force on the instability of electrostatic nanocantilevers. Physica Scripta 2010; 81:015801.
- 23. Abdi J, Koochi A, Kazemi A S, Abadyan M. Modeling the Effects of Size Dependency and Dispersion Forces on the Pull-In Instability of Electrostatic Cantilever NEMS Using Modified Couple Stress Theory. Smart Materials and Structures 2011; 20:055011 (9pp).
- Koochi A, Noghrehabadi A, Abadyan M, Roohi E. Investigation of the effect of van der Waals force on the instability of electrostatic Nano-actuators. International Journal of Modern Physics B 2011a; 25(29): 3965–3976.
- 25. Koochi A, Kazemi A S, Abadyan M. Simulating deflection and determining stable length of freestanding CNT probe/sensor in the vicinity of grapheme layers using a nano-scale continuum model. NANO 2011b; 6(5): 419–429.
- Soroush R., Koochi A, Haddadpour H, Abadyan M, Noghrehabadi A. Investigating the effect of Casimir and van der Waalsattractions on the electrostatic pullin instability of nanoactuators. Physica Scripta 2010; 82: 045801 (11pp).
- 27. Koochi A, Kazemi A S, Tadi Beni Y, Yekrangid A, Abadyan M. Theoretical study of the effect of Casimir attraction on the pull-in behavior of beamtype NEMS using modified Adomian method. Physica E 2010; 43(2): 625-632.
- Koochi A, Kazem A S, Noghrehabadi A, Yekrangi A, Abadyan M. New approach to model the buckling of carbon nanotubes near graphite sheets. Materials and Design 2011c; 32(5): 2949-2955.
- 29. Tadi Beni Y, Koochi A, Abadyan M. Theoretical study of the effect of Casimir force, elastic boundary conditions and size dependency on the pull-in instability of beam-type NEMS. Physica E 2011a;43(4): 979-988
- Tadi Beni Y, Abadyan M, Koochi A. Effect of the Casimir attraction on the torsion/bending coupled instability of electrostatic nano-actuators. Physica Scripta 2011b; 84:065801 (9pp).
- Koochi A, Kazemi A S, Abadyan M. Influence of Surface Effect on Size-Dependent Instability of Nano-Actuator in Presence of Casimir Force. Physica Scripta 2012;85:035804 (7pp).
- 32. Strus M C, Zalamea L, Raman A, Pipes R B, Nguyen C, Stach E A, Peeling Force Spectroscopy: Exposing the Adhesive Nanomechanics of One-Dimensional Nanostructures. Nano Letters 2008; 8(2):544-550.

12/21/2012

http://www.lifesciencesite.com