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Abstract: Offline clinical guidelines are normally designed to coordinate a clinical knowledge base, patient information and an inference engine to create case based guidance. In this regard, offline clinical guidelines are still popular among the healthcare professionals for updating and support of clinical guidelines. Although their current format and development process have several limitations, these could be improved with artificial intelligence approaches such as expert systems/decision support systems. This paper first, presents up to date critical review of existing clinical expert systems namely AAPHelpm, MYCIN, EMYCIN, PIP, GLIF and PROforma. Additionally, an analysis is performed to evaluate all these fundamental clinical expert systems. Finally, this paper presents the proposed clinical expert system design and development to help healthcare professionals for individual treatment.
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1. Introduction

The developments of Expert Systems (ESs) have drawn much attention of the research community in the last few decades. The possibility of expert's systems has additionally been generally acknowledged in clinical environment, beginning from a straightforward database inquiry to complex treatment proposal [1]. The logical writing gives the significant wellspring of learning joined by nearby and rehearsed based proof.

The information of expert's systems exists as rules. There are four zones during the time spent building up a rule based experts system [2,4].

The main issue in the process of expert system development is rule design and representation. This territory concentrates on the representation of a rule, such as type of expression, information sort, upkeep, nearby adjustment, and so forth. The second territory is rule securing, which is a procedure that encourages the information obtaining process specifically from a space experts. Thirdly, range is rule check & testing.

This procedure plans to guarantee the machine-interpretable rule is unambiguous and grammatically and also semantically right. Furthermore, we have to test rules utilizing existing patient information. The last procedure is rule execution, which concentrates on the execution time and guarantees the rule motor can keep running in different clinical spaces and in different modes. Expert's systems appear to be extremely useful in supporting clinical options; be that as it may, it is hard to get wellbeing experts to really utilize them, so Abbod et al. [3] abridges ten tenets for effective execution of expert's systems in clinical environment. These tenets are:

i. Speed of giving proposal
ii. Anticipate needs and convey progressively.
iii. Recognize that human services experts will firmly oppose ceasing (recommendations) (need to give elective choices to stay away from resistance)
iv. Changing bearing is simpler than ceasing.
v. Simple mediations work best a rule on a solitary screen.
vi. Acquire extra data just when wanted.
vii. Monitor affect, get input and react.
viii. Oversee and keep up learning based systems.

Expert's systems are likewise utilized as a part of different spaces of human services. For instance, Chawla and Gunderman [5] compress reconnaissance systems for early identification of bioterrorism-related maladies. Coordination of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and human services permits depicting and comprehension the changing spatial association of social insurance, looking at the relationship between wellbeing results and get to, and investigating how conveyance of medicinal services could be enhanced [6,7].The rest of the paper is organized further into
three main sections. Section 2, importance of AI in clinical guidelines, section 3 presents in detail background of computer interpretable guidelines, section 4 describes clinical expert systems and their merits/demerits; section 5 describes existing expert systems for clinical guidelines along with their shortcomings. Section 6 presents proposed expert system. Finally, section 7 covers conclusion.

2. Artificial Intelligence and Clinical Guidelines

The history relation of A.I and clinical guidelines is very old. The first practice started in early 80s. Clinical guidelines are supposed to represent the best clinical suggestions, records and practices. Subsequently, are presently standouts amongst the most focal ranges of research in Artificial Intelligence (AI) in pharmaceutical and in medicinal basic leadership. Clinical rules assume distinctive parts in the clinical procedure: for instance, they can be utilized to bolster doctors in the treatment of illnesses, or for scrutinizing, for assessment, and for training purposes.

2.1. Collaborative decision making

Cooperative decision making is a circumstance confronted when people all things considered settle on a decision from the available options. This choice is no more extended inferable from any single person who is an individual from the gathering. This is on the grounds that every one of the people and social gathering procedures, for example, social impact adds to the result. The choices made by gatherings are frequently unique in relation to those made by people. Bunch polarization is one clear illustration: bunches tend to settle on choices that are more extraordinary than those of its individual individuals, toward the individual slants [11,12]. However, during the application of clinical guidelines, there are moments when this type of decision is required. The selection among scientifically valid options during the medical process must be done based on the opinions of the parts involved (healthcare professionals and patients). Technology-assisted decision making may help the generation of ideas and actions, the choice of alternatives and the negotiation of solutions. The existence of CIGs and a tool for execution of medical guidelines enables the implementation of automated group decision making [13,14].

A group decision environment with a decision model will help healthcare professionals and patients clarify their position in the decision making process and assure that their perspectives and preferences are heard.

2.2. Expert Systems

A program that does a task that would some way or another be performed by a human. For instance, there are some expert systems that can analyze human diseases, make money related gauges, and timetable courses for conveyance vehicles. Some expert systems are intended to replace human specialists, while others are intended to help them [15,16].

Expert systems are a piece of a general class of PC applications known as computerized reasoning. To outline a specialist framework, one needs a learning designer, a person who concentrates how human specialists settle on choices and makes an interpretation of the tenets into terms that a PC can get it [17]. Typical examples of clinical expert systems are exhibited in Figure 1.
Fig 1. Typical Expert Systems [14]
2.3. Case-Based Reasoning (CBR)

Case-based reasoning (CBR), comprehensively understood, is the way toward taking care of new issues in light of the arrangements of comparative past issues. An auto technician who settles a motor by reviewing another auto that showed comparable side effects is utilizing case-based thinking. A legal advisor who advocates a specific result in a trial in view of lawful points of reference or a judge who makes case law is utilizing case-based thinking. In this, too, a designer replicating working components of nature (honing bio-mimicry), is regarding nature as a database of answers for issues. Case-based thinking is an unmistakable sort of similarity making [18,19].

It has been contended that case-based thinking is an intense strategy for PC thinking, as well as an unavoidable conduct in regular human critical thinking; or, all the more drastically, that all thinking depends on past cases by and by experienced. This view is identified with model hypothesis, which is most profoundly investigated in psychological science [20-22].

Case-based thinking has been formalized for motivations behind PC thinking as a four-stage process[23]:

Recover: Given an objective issue, recover from memory cases pertinent to settling it. A case comprises of an issue, its answer, and, normally, comments about how the arrangement was inferred. For instance, assume Fred needs to get ready blueberry flapjacks. Being a beginner cook, the most important experience he can review is one in which he effectively made plain hotcakes. The strategy he took after for making the plain hotcakes, together with supports for choices made en route [24].

Reuse: Map arrangement from past case to objective issues. This might include adjusting arrangement as expected to fit the new circumstance. In the flapjack illustration, Fred must adjust his recovered answer for incorporate the expansion of blueberries.

Reconsider: Having mapped the past answer for the objective circumstance, test the new arrangement in this present reality (or a reproduction) and, if essential, change. Assume Fred adjusted his flapjack arrangement by adding blueberries to the player. In the wake of blending, he finds that the player has turned blue, an undesired impact. This recommends the accompanying amendment: defer the expansion of blueberries until after the player has been scooped into the container [25].

Hold: After arrangement has been effectively adjusted to objective issue, store subsequent experience as another case in memory. Fred, as needs be, records his recently discovered strategy for making blueberry flapjacks, in this manner improving his arrangement of put away encounters, and better setting him up for future hotcake making requests. The average Case Base Reasoning is shown in Figure 2.

3. Computer-Interpretable Guidelines

Clinical rules are suggestions on the fitting treatment and care of individuals with particular maladies and conditions. Clinical rules depend on the best accessible confirmation. There is an arrangement of components that rule scientists might want to see rules get [26]. The goal of scientists is to change the static and uninvolved nature of rules. Clinical rules assume diverse parts in the clinical procedure: for instance, they can be utilized to bolster doctors in the treatment of sicknesses, or for scrutinizing, for assessment, and for training purposes. A wide range of systems and activities have been produced as of late with a specific end goal to acknowledge PC helped administration of clinical rules [27,28].
4. Clinical Expert Systems

4.1. What are Clinical Expert Systems?

Clinical expert systems help human experts in their work; be that as it may, they don't supplant their insight and abilities. Basic components of these systems that are intended to give quiet particular direction incorporate the learning base (e.g., ordered clinical data on analyses, sedate associations, and rules), a program for joining that learning with patient-particular data, and a correspondence instrument—at the end of the day, a method for entering tolerant information into the application and giving significant data (e.g., arrangements of conceivable judgments, tranquilize connection alarms, or preventive care updates) back to the clinician [29,30].

Expert systems could be actualized utilizing an assortment of stages (e.g. web based, neighbourhood PC, organized EMR, or a handheld gadget). Additionally, an assortment of figuring methodologies can be utilized. These methodologies may rely on upon whether the expert's systems is incorporated with the neighbourhood EMR, whether current information is accessible from focal archive or whether whole framework is housed outside neighbourhood site and is gotten to, yet not fused into neighbourhood EMR. On a fundamental level, any sort of expert's systems could use any of these basic computational models, techniques for get to, or gadgets. The decisions among these components may depend more on the kind of clinical systems as of now set up, merchant offerings, work process, security, and monetary limitations than on the sort or reason for the experts systems [31-33].

4.2. Significance of Clinical Expert Systems
Clinical expert's systems are methodically created articulations to help medicinal services experts and patients about clinical conditions [34]. At first, rules are just in light of the agreement of gatherings of specialists; however with the development of confirmation based clinical practice, different methods are incorporated into rule improvement. The Delphi and Ostensible gathering strategies are a portion of the procedures that are later incorporated into the improvement procedure are still utilized today.

Presently, clinical expert systems advancement is focused on around a broad research of the writing and exhaustive examination of experimental proof. The procedure typically begins with the decision of the rule theme or subject, in light of the issues that persuade the advancement. Clinical rules can be created to an extensive variety of subjects and clinical territories, including wellbeing conditions bound to illnesses and efficient expenses. To pick the subject, it is important to do a preparatory check of the accessible confirmation keeping in mind the end goal to find out the legitimacy of the topic [35-38].

A large number of the innovation contrasts depicted in the past few decades. The accompanying elements might be more pertinent to clinician client / helping in execution:

(1) Essential needs or issue and objective territory of look after which experts is being considered (e.g., enhance general productivity, distinguish ailment early, guide in precise analysis or convention based treatment, or avoid hazardous antagonistic occasions influencing the patient);  
(2) Main choice is whether experts could consider need or issue distinguished patient from others [40-43].

Experts can give support to clinicians at different stages in the care procedure, from preventive care through determination and treatment to checking and development. Experts as actualized today could incorporate, for instance, arrange sets custom-made for specific conditions or sorts of patients (preferably in light of confirmation based rules and tweaked to reflect individual clinicians' inclinations), access to rules and other outside databases that can give data applicable to specific patients, updates for preventive care, and alarms about conceivably perilous circumstances that should be addressed[44,45].

The most well-known utilization of experts is for tending to clinical needs, for example, guaranteeing exact findings, and screening in a convenient way for preventable sicknesses, or turning away unfriendly medication occasions. In any case, experts can likewise conceivably bring down expenses, enhance productivity, and decrease understanding burden. Truth be told, experts can now and then address each of the three of these ranges at the same time. For instance, by alarming clinicians to possibly duplicative testing. For more mind boggling intellectual assignments, for example, demonstrative basic leadership, the point of experts is to help, as opposed to supplant, the clinician though for different errands, the experts may calm clinician of the weight of remaking requests for every experience. The experts may offer proposals, yet clinician must channel data, survey recommendations, & choose whether make a move / what move to make? [46,47].

4.3 Expert's Impact

Current area concentrates on assessments of the effect of experts on human services quality, utilizing Donabedian's great meaning of value including structure, process, and results of medicinal services. Donabedian upheld that authoritative results, for example, cost and productivity, and in addition singular patient wellbeing results, be assessed. Donabedian's model is extended via Carayo and her associates' plan of structure, which incorporates individuals, association, innovations, assignments, and environment. This extended meaning of structure is utilized here with the goal that experts affect on cost and productivity are tended to and included as a major aspect of effect on structure [48,49]. As delineated underneath, assessment of effect incorporates mind process and patient wellbeing results. Basic results are likewise tended to beneath.

Most distributed assessments of the effect of experts on medicinal services quality have been directed in inpatient as opposed to mobile settings, and most have been in huge scholarly therapeutic focuses, frequently utilizing "homegrown" systems, where there is a culture that is acclimated to their utilization and satisfactory assets (counting ability, time, and
foundation) to fabricate and look after them. Albeit numerous business EMRs have expert's capacities, there has been minimal orderly research on the results or even on the execution procedures of business experts in group settings. These oversights, and the restricted concentration in charge of them, are especially risky since most doctors' facilities will send business systems later on, and their way of life and assets are probably going to vary from those of expansive scholastic therapeutic focuses. Moreover, the effect of experts in mobile settings needs more consideration. A portion of the undertakings inside the AHRQ Ambulatory Safety and Quality Program are starting to address this need [50-52].

The exploration on experts has other critical confinements. To start with, in spite of the fact that various experts' suggestions have been distributed, similarly, most research has inspected impacts of experts on the procedure of care (as opposed to the results or structure) and has concentrated principally on clinician basic leadership. Finally, indicative projects have had restricted use by and by settings. At last, after effects of examination to date are blended as far as viability of experts for specific conditions or specific sorts of experts. These impediments indicate holes in the writing. In spite of the fact that the studies demonstrating the capacity of experts to anticipate prescription mistakes (off base choices) have been reliably positive, the consequences of research studies on the capacity of experts to turn away unfavourable medication occasions (mischief to the patient) have had a tendency to be blended. Few of the studies looking at the effect on wellbeing results are RCTs, many studies are ineffectively outlined, and not all studies indicated factually critical impacts. Expert's concentrates on that concentrate on giving analytic choice support have likewise demonstrated blended results, and less of these systems have been assessed practically speaking settings. In any case, contemplates contrasting experts demonstrative proposals and experts clinicians' examinations of testing clinical cases have demonstrated that the symptomatic experts can help even experts doctors to remember conceivably imperative analyses they didn't at first consider [57,58].

A portion of the blended results have come about because of methodological issues, for example, roof impacts (execution is as of now great preceding actualizing experts) or low factual energy to recognize measurably.

4.4 Impact on Care Process and Patient Health Outcomes

The meta-investigations of alarms and updates for choice support have been genuinely reliable in demonstrating that they can change clinician basic leadership and activities, diminish drug blunders, and advance preventive screening and utilization of proof based proposals for pharmaceutical solutions. The information on how those choices influence tolerant results are more restricted, in spite of the fact that various studies have demonstrated beneficial outcomes. By and large, the outcomes demonstrate the capability of experts to enhance the nature of care [55,56].

In spite of the fact that the studies demonstrating the capacity of experts to anticipate prescription mistakes (off base choices) have been reliably positive, the consequences of research studies on the capacity of experts to turn away unfavourable medication occasions (mischief to the patient) have had a tendency to be blended. Few of the studies looking at the effect on wellbeing results are RCTs, many studies are ineffectively outlined, and not all studies indicated factually critical impacts. Expert's concentrates on that concentrate on giving analytic choice support have likewise demonstrated blended results, and less of these systems have been assessed practically speaking settings. In any case, contemplates contrasting experts demonstrative proposals and experts clinicians' examinations of testing clinical cases have demonstrated that the symptomatic experts can help even experts doctors to remember conceivably imperative analyses they didn't at first consider [57,58].

A portion of the blended results have come about because of methodological issues, for example, roof impacts (execution is as of now great preceding actualizing experts) or low factual energy to recognize measurably.

The accompanying area audits the after effects of RCT studies and different investigations of experts. Since the greater part of studies manage process and patient wellbeing results, these viewpoints is talked about initially, trailed by an exchange of structure [54].

Fig 3: The PROforma task model

Plans are the essential building pieces of a rule & might composed of any number of undertakings of any sort, including different arrangements. Options are taken at focuses where alternatives are introduced, such as whether to treat a patient or complete further examinations. Activities are regularly clinical methodology, (for example, the organization of an infusion) which should be completed. Enquiries are commonly asks for additional data or information,
required before the rule can continue. PROforma programming comprises of a graphical editorial manager to bolster the creating procedure, and a motor to execute the rule particular. The motor can likewise be utilized as an analyzer amid the application improvement stage. A PROforma editorial manager bolsters the development of a rule as far as the four undertaking sorts. Utilizing the symbols appeared as a part of the outline, systems of errands can be created that speak to arrangements or strategies did after some time. In the manager, legitimate and worldly connections between assignments are caught normally by connecting them as required with bolts. Any procedural and restorative learning required by the rule all in all or by an individual assignment is entered utilizing formats connected to every errand. The subsequent populated graphical structure is naturally changed over into a database prepared for execution [85-86].

4. 5. GLARE

GLARE stands for Guide Line Acquisition, Representation and Execution. Following an analysis of some of the existing expert systems, it is observed that this area of research is still fresh. Due to these various shortcomings, none of the models is largely adopted by the health informatics community. The level of complexity is another issue to be addressed [87]. Table 1 presents an up-to-date review of the existing expert systems.

The maintenance and library partitions possess administrative information about the guideline, namely authoring and version number. The constructs of the maintenance partition are title, (file) name, author, version, institution, date of last modification and validation status. The validation status contains information about the approval of the guideline in a local institution and it may have three possible values: testing, research, production and expired. The transition from testing to production means a shift of responsibility from the institution that developed the MLM to the local institution where the guideline will be applied. The library compartment contains constructs used for a detailed description of the guideline and among them the attribute purpose enables the expression of the medical objective of the MLM [88].

The main constructs of the knowledge compartment are data, evoke, logic and action. The data construct is used to obtain the values of the concepts referred in the MLM from the information system of the healthcare institution. The evoke construct contains the events that execute MLM and such events are related with the medical parameters in data. The decision criteria are expressed in the logic construct through if-then-else rules and sets of logical, mathematical and temporal operators. When a rule is assessed to the value true, a given procedure of the construct action is proposed. These procedures may include messages/alerts or the execution of other MLMs. This approach reveals great modularity and gives transparency to the decision making process, but given its simplicity, the ability to capture the full content of a medical guideline is compromised. Arden Syntax is mainly used in alert and monitoring systems, like the ones provided by the Regenstrief Institute. It is defined in Backus-Naur Form (BNF), a notation technique used to describe the syntax of computation languages. Currently the development of Arden Syntax by HL7 is based on XML [89,90].
Table 1 Existing Expert Systems for clinical guideline development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expert Systems</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Decision Model</th>
<th>Standards adopted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAPHelp</td>
<td>Rule based</td>
<td>Bayesian approach</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCIN</td>
<td>Decision support system (DSS)</td>
<td>Rule based</td>
<td>XML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMYCIN</td>
<td>DSS</td>
<td>Rule-based</td>
<td>PUFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLIF</td>
<td>Decision based on patient condition</td>
<td>Event-based and rule-based</td>
<td>RDF, HL7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP</td>
<td>Query, plan, decision,</td>
<td>Rule-based</td>
<td>UMLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROforma</td>
<td>Context based decision</td>
<td>Event based</td>
<td>XML,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLARE</td>
<td>Query based decision</td>
<td>Rule-based</td>
<td>XML, ICD-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Proposed Clinical Expert System

An expert system is a program that prevails upon information of some experts with a view to taking care of issues or giving exhortation. The way toward building expert system is frequently called information designing. The learning designer is included with all parts of a specialist framework. Building expert system is for the most part an iterative procedure. The parts and their association will be refined through the span of various gatherings of the information build with the experts and clients system. A general structure of the proposed expert system is exhibited in Figure 4.

![Fig 4. Proposed Expert System’s Structure](image)

5.1 Knowledge

Hence, we established the following knowledge acquisition procedure with the assistance of our expert (a physician). Examine all guidelines to be implemented and create variable nodes. Most of the time an inclusion, exclusion criterion would generate a node itself. Complex criteria could be broken down to simpler random variables. Superficial variables can also be created that will act as intermediate nodes to combine related variables. Find all the common nodes to
protocols and draw arcs between them where there is a cause and effect relationship [92].
We asked the expert to provide as many conditional and prior probabilities as possible. Look for nodes in individual inclusion exclusion criteria that can cause the common nodes or are effects of the common nodes and draw appropriate arcs between them. Group the criteria using the model shown in Figure 5.

![Knowledge acquisition process diagram](image_url)

**Fig 5. Knowledge acquisition process [91]**

### 5.2. Proposed Decision Model

Expert systems have also been neglected in the current medical approaches. The current systems do not complement the decision schemes proposed by their models with techniques to infer the confidence in the outputs of the decision process. Furthermore, the problem of incomplete and uncertain information mentioned in previous sections of this paper remains unaddressed [93,94].

Expert systems use knowledge and inference procedures to solve difficult problems. They have to mimic the adaptation capabilities of human beings in order to find solutions to new problems [95]. There are four fundamental components of expert system [96]: knowledge acquirement part, knowledge base, inference engine and an interface. The knowledge representation in expert system applies concepts of logics to create structured formalisms and inference rules. The knowledge itself may be introduced in the system by human experts as rules, obtained from past experience through learning algorithms or both [97].

The proposed system structure is easy to understand. An interface manager passes user requests to the inferencing mechanism and allows access to the knowledge base. It also stores information about the state of the session. The inferencing mechanism
requests the hypergraph manager, which builds the hypergraphs it needs. The classes that make up these subsystems are all constructed from a number of basic classes, such as linked lists, hash tables and matrices.

The proposed expert system is not composed purely and simply of classes, but of collections of classes that form sub-systems. The separate subsystems could be altered internally without affecting the others, as long as their interface to those others is unchanged. The interfaces evolve quickly as the user demands new features. However, if the interfaces are kept small the efforts of change management is localized [98].

The system is not hierarchical in the sense that a structured program is. Although the interface manager could be described as a top level function, it performs many functions and these may be changed. Hence, the proposed expert system is exhibited in Figure 3.

This loose and simple organization would not have resulted from a top-down procedure-oriented methodology. It is therefore, possible to remove any subsystem and replace it. For example, the Hypergraph Manager and Inferencing Mechanism could be removed and replaced with an ordinary backtracking mechanism [99].

A new Interface Manager could be designed and fitted onto the rest of the system, without changing other subsystems. It has made the production of successive versions easy. Strength of the system is that it produced classes which could be reused in other applications, almost as a side effect. Knowledge base and interface classes might be reusable in other applications. These properties mean that successive versions of the system could be developed without having to start from scratch. This is important because new demands from users continually arise from their experience with the system. Additionally, object-oriented programming languages prefer to procedural languages because they have inheritance mechanisms. Inheritance allows one class to include another as part of its definition. Unwanted plans could be over fitted and new parameters could be added. The inheriting class is known as the subclass and the parent class the super class. A super class may be virtual i.e. it cannot be used to create objects, as it does not fully defined one. It serves only as a repository for definitions and codes that subclasses share.

This decision model leaves the door open for further research on the complementarily that other techniques that manage uncertainty, namely Bayesian Networks, Dempster-Shafer Theory and fuzzy Logic, may offer to the QoI, since they manage uncertainty in different ways. The implementation of such a decision model is essential in order to capture context of the execution of guidelines and provide measures of confidence in the outputs [100].

The development of such a decision model is but a step in the construction of a wider decision platform, represented in Fig. 6, where healthcare professionals, members of the same medical team, possibly dispersed across different locations, can discuss a case of a patient in the context of an intelligent environment.

Through the use of AI techniques it is possible to perceive information about the state of stakeholders, namely their attitudes and emotions and thus determine the type of interaction they are developing. If one throws into the equation relevant knowledge, from exterior sources, concerning the health condition (that is the object of the discussion) and guideline recommendations, a group decision environment is established for healthcare professionals to discuss if a guideline is suitable for the situation at hand and mediate/negotiate solutions. Having all this information enables the medical team, in cases of non-compliance of guidelines, to build new strategies and adapt their content to maximize the probability of a successful treatment.
Fig 6. Decision model for the execution of clinical guidelines in proposed Expert System.

The development of such a decision model is but a step in the construction of a wider decision platform, represented in Fig. 3, where healthcare professionals, members of the same clinical team, possibly dispersed across different locations, can discuss the case of a patient in the context of an intelligent environment [101].

Through the use of AI techniques it is possible to perceive information about the state of stakeholders, namely their attitudes and emotions and thus determine the type of interaction they are likely to develop knowledge, from exterior sources, concerning the health condition (that is the object of the discussion) and guideline recommendations, a group decision environment is established for healthcare professionals to discuss if a guideline is suitable for the situation at hand and mediate/negotiate solutions. Having all this information enables the clinical team, in cases of non-compliance of guidelines, to build new strategies and adapt their content to maximize the probability of a successful treatment [102-103].

6. Conclusion

There are several benefits of using expert systems to assist healthcare professionals in order to cure patients. Initially, systems knowledge is autonomic. Thus, healthcare professionals do not need to put enough efforts, labour in constructing and knowledge base updating. When constructing or maintaining the knowledge source, the only desired action is to train the model with data. Secondly, the extracted knowledge is the real world better. Hence, this paper has presented decision support system to help healthcare professionals for efficient clinical treatment. This is an ongoing research line with several experts working on the implementation of useful expert systems and the development of execution engines.

It is also observed that there is a need for a decision model that addresses the aspects of the contextualization of guideline execution and the handling of incomplete and uncertain information. However, opinions of healthcare professionals have their own worth and should be included in the whole process of development of knowledge base of the expert system. Additionally, one of the current issues of the guidelines is that they are too rigid and do not give space for innovation and change. This decision model of the expert systems should be flexible to incorporate up-to-date changes.
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