

Effect of Egyptian Bread Prepared by Different Types of Flour on Diabetic Rats and Its Glycemic Index in Diabetic Patients

¹Hany Helmy and ²Hanaa F. El-Mehiry

¹Home Economics Dept., Faculty of Specific Education, Zagazig University, Egypt

²Home Economics Dept., Faculty of Specific Education, El- Mansoura University, Egypt

Hanyhelmy2007@yahoo.com

Abstract: The blood glucose response to a food is not accurately predicted by the content of available carbohydrate in the food. Also Glycemic index (GI) is a useful index to describe the extent to which certain food can increase the blood glucose in human. The present study was carried out to estimate the effect of Egyptian bread prepared by different types of flour on diabetic rats and its glycemic index in diabetic patients. Seven different types of bread were examined which prepared by different mixture of flours (wheat, corn and rice). A total of 45 male healthy rats, weighing between (140-150gm) were divided into 9 groups. All rats were subcutaneous injected by alloxan as 150 mg/kg body weight rats to induce hyperglycemic except rats of negative control. The negative control and positive control groups (1 and 2) fed on basal diet without submailintion, all diabetic treated groups (1-9) fed on basal diet containing deferent type of bread as a source of carbohydrates. Then samples were collected to examine serum glucose and lipids profile. In addition the present human study was conducted among 50 diabetic out patients attending Six October Hospital was assessed by measured height, weight, age, body mass index, as well as blood analysis of glucose by One Touch USA. For all patients Blood glucose were estimated as fasting and after 2 hours from eating 50 g carbohydrates of different types of bread, and also blood glucose were estimated as fasting and after 2 hours from eating 50 g of glucose, to calculate glycemic index. The observed results revealed a significant ($p \leq 0.05$) decrease in serum glucose and lipids profile (total lipids, total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL-c and VLDL-c) and also significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in HDL-c were observed for all diabetic groups fed on diets containing different types of bread comparing with diabetic rats fed on basal diet (control positive). The best results were found in group which fed on bread (whole wheat + whole corn + white riceflours 1:1:1), followed by group which fed on bread (whole wheat flour 100%). Moreover, the lowest values of glycaemic index (61 ± 7.00) were showed for bread (whole wheat + whole corn + white riceflour 1:1:1) followed by groups which fed on bread (whole wheat + white riceflour 1:1) and (whole wheat flour 100%) (63 ± 6.00) and (64 ± 7.00) in diabetic II respectively. In conclusion, using of breads had low-GI carbohydrates would be beneficial to patients with type 2 diabetes.

[Hany Helmy and Hanaa F. El-Mehiry. **Effect of Egyptian Bread Prepared by Different Types of Flour on Diabetic Rats and Its Glycemic Index in Diabetic Patients.** *Life Sci J* 2012;9(3):2264-2272] (ISSN:1097-8135). <http://www.lifesciencesite.com>. 325

Key words: Egyptian bread – Glycemic index – diabetes – corn flour – rice flour – whole wheat – alloxan.

1-Introduction

It is increasingly accepted that the blood glucose response to a food is not accurately predicted by the content of available carbohydrate in the food, as measured in traditional food analysis. At the same time, the need for food values that will complement available carbohydrate values, to enable dietary control of postprandial glycemic responses, has become recognized (*Seidell, 2000*). Type 2 diabetes, formerly known as non-insulin-dependent diabetes (NIDDM), accounts for most cases of diabetes mellitus worldwide. It is estimated that in 2000 there were approximately 150 million individuals with the disease and that this number is likely to double by 2025 (*King, et al., 1998*). Type 2 diabetes is the fourth or fifth leading cause of death in most developed countries and there is growing evidence that it has reached epidemic proportions in many developing and newly industrialised countries (*King, et al., 1998*). The

lowest rates of type 2 diabetes are found in rural communities where people retain traditional lifestyles (*Amos, et al., 1997*).

Accordingly, in 2004 the American Association of Cereal Chemists (AACC) established an ad hoc committee on the definition of glycemic carbohydrates charged with the task of providing “a measurable definition that will enable manufacturers to communicate the glycemic response in grams per serving of food.” After lengthy and stimulating discussion, the committee proposed several recommendations and definitions, including, “Glycemic impact is the weight of glucose that would induce a glycemic response equivalent to that induced by a given amount of food” (*Miller-Jones, 2007*). This is a simple but profound definition. It has important implications for the meaning of terminology surrounding the glycemic potency of foods, for the way glycemic potency is measured, for the

management of data arising from the measurements, and for ways it can be used in controlling postprandial glycemia. Although the AACC definition of glycemic impact is simple, it requires a change in thinking, from food carbohydrates to entire foods, and from static index values to intake-sensitive values, and from unit-free values to nutrient-like values that represent glycemic effects with weight units (*Monro.2006*).

Studies carried out in people with diabetes shed further light on the possible roles of dietary fiber and GI. Many studies have shown that glycaemic control is improved and the total LDL cholesterol reduced on relatively high carbohydrate, low fat diets including naturally occurring fiber-rich foods compared with relatively low carbohydrate, higher fat diets. A similar benefit has also been shown when comparing diets with similar carbohydrate: fat ratios, but with the experimental diet being appreciably higher in fiber. Feeding supplements of dietary fiber for several weeks has also been shown to lower both post-prandial glycaemia, insulin levels (*McIntosh and Miller (2001) and Anderson (1986)*). Numerous studies have illustrated beneficial effects of a diet comprising foods with a low GI in type 2 diabetics (*Frost et al., (1994)*). Furthermore, some studies have found that foods with a high GI increase fasting triacylglycerol concentrations, even when the amount of carbohydrate is kept constant (*Brand-Mille (1994)*).

Some studies have a significant results in vitro and different results in vivo, thus this study was carried out to estimate the effect of Egyptian bread prepared by different types of flour on diabetic rats and it's glycemic index in diabetic patients.

2. Materials and Methods:

Grains:

Grains (wheat, corn and rice) used in this research was obtained from local market, Cairo, Egypt.

Alloxan:

Alloxan used was obtained from Hoffman La'roch Company. Alloxan has been used to produce diabetes in experimental animals by destroying the insulin-secreting islet cells of the pancreas. (*Malekinejad, et l.,(2012)*).

Preparation of bread:

Type (1): Egyptian commercial bread form local bakeshop.(72% exertion wheat flour 100%)

Type (2): whole wheat flour 100%.

Type (3): whole corn flour 100%.

Type (4): whole wheat flour and whole corn flour by (1:1).

Type (5): whole wheat flour and white rice flour by (1:1).

Type (6): whole corn flour and white rice flour by (1:1).

Type (7): whole corn flour and whole corn flour and white rice (1:1:1).

Grains (wheat, corn and rice) were ground, mix as the previous types, knead by water and baked in oven at 260°C to 400°C.

Preparation of diet.

The basal diet consisted of protein (13%), fat (4%), salt mixture (3.5%), vitamin mixture (1%), choline (0.2%), cellulose (5%) and the remainder was starch (*Reevse et al., (1993)*).

Experimental animal design:

A total of 45 male healthy rats, weighing between (140-150gm) were divided into 9 equal groups.all rats were subcutaneous injected by alloxan as 150 mg/kg body weight rats to induce hyperglycemic except rats of negative control. Animal, groups were as follows:

1. Fed on basal diet as a "negative control"
2. Fed on basal diet as a diabetic rats without treated "positive control "
3. Fed on basal diet (diabetic rats) containing type (1) of bread as a source of carbohydrates.
4. Fed on basal diet (diabetic rats) containing type (2) of bread as a source of carbohydrates.
5. Fed on basal diet (diabetic rats) containing type (3) of bread as a source of carbohydrates.
6. Fed on basal diet (diabetic rats) containing type (4) of bread as a source of carbohydrates.
7. Fed on basal diet (diabetic rats) containing type (5) of bread as a source of carbohydrates.
8. Fed on basal diet (diabetic rats) containing type (6) of bread as a source of carbohydrates.
9. Fed on basal diet (diabetic rats) containing type (7) of bread as a source of carbohydrates.

Blood sampling.

At the end of experiment rats were starved for 12 hr, then sacrificed under ether anesthesia. Blood samples were collected from the aortic vein into clean dry centrifuge tubes and were stored at room temperature for 15 minutes, put into a refrigerator for 2 hour, then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rpm to separate serum. Serum was carefully aspirated and transferred into dry clean Wasser –man tubes by using a Pasteur pipette and kept frozen at (-20c) till analysis, organs (liver, kidney,heart and spleen) were separated to calculate organs to body weight % at the end of the experiment period.

Human study:

Subject: the present study was conducted among 50 diabetic out patients attending Six October Hospital, Dokky, Cairo, Egypt. The sample was randomly selected and divided into two groups as the follows:

Group 1: type I diabetic patients (n = 22 (men 9&women 13)) Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus (IDDM).

Group 2: type II diabetic patients (n= 28(men 12&women 16)) Noninsulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus (NIDDM). Ages for patients arranged between (40 to 65) years old.

Study Design:

In the present study patients was assessed by measured height, weight, age, body mass index, as well as blood analysis of glucose by One Touch USA. For all patients, Blood glucose were estimated as fasting and after 2hour from eating 50g carbohydrates of different types of bread, and also blood glucose were estimated as fasting and after 2 hour from eating 50 g of glucose to calculate glycemic index.

Glycemic Index:

The following review will provide an overview of the concepts of GI and GL, describe their limitations and discuss their applications for dietary planning and disease prevention.

* Common/popular definitions of GI:

- the rate of digestion and absorption of a carbohydrate-rich food
- blood glucose raising capacity of a carbohydrate-rich food

The operational definition of GI demonstrates the physiological complexity of the measure and illustrates why it is so infrequently used, particularly in the popular press.

* Operational (accurate) definition of GI:

- the incremental area under the blood glucose curve (AUC) after the ingestion of 50 grams of a test food, expressed as a percentage of the AUC of an equal amount of a reference food (generally glucose or white bread) *Jenkins et al.,(1981)*.

Blood glucose response to 50 g test food (e.g., beans)

$$GI = \frac{\text{Blood glucose response to 50 g test food}}{\text{Blood glucose response to 50 g reference food}} \times 100$$

Blood glucose response to 50 g reference food (e.g. glucose).

As the definition indicates, the GI of a given food (i.e., the “test” food) is calculated by comparing the glycemic response to a 50 gram portion of the food with that of an equal portion of a reference food and multiplying that ratio by100. The calculated GI values are then categorized as low, medium and high; the numerical value that is representative of these categories depends on the reference food used (i.e., white bread or glucose).

Glycemic Index Categories

Category	Glucose Reference*	White Bread Reference*
Low	< 55	< 60

Medium	55-70	60-85
High	> 70	> 85

* The reference food is arbitrarily assigned a GI of 100. Both glucose and white bread have been used as reference foods. Glucose has a glycemic response that is 40% greater than that of white bread; conversely, white bread has a GI that is 71% of glucose. (*Jenkins et al., (1981)*).

Anthropometric measurements:

The anthropometric measurements used in this study included weights and heights from which body mass index (BMI) was calculated. *National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (2012)*

Body Mass Index (BMI):

Body mass index (BMI) as an indicator of obesity, was calculated according to the following formula. *National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (2012):*

$$BMI = \frac{\text{weight (kg)}}{\text{height (m)}^2}$$

The grades of obesity utilizing the BMI.

Desirable range	BMI (20 < 25)kg / m ²
Grade I obesity	BMI (25 < 30) kg / m ²
Grade II obesity	BMI (30 < 40) kg / m ²
Grade III obesity	BMI ≥ 40 kg / m ²

The analytical methods of blood serum

1-Determination of serum glucose:

Serum glucose was determined according to *Burrin and price, (1985)*.

2-Determination of total cholesterol

Serum cholesterol was determined according to the enzymatic method described by *Allain et al.,(1974)*.

3-Determination of triglycerides

The triglycerides in serum were colorimetrically determined according to *Wahlefeld, (1974)*.

4-Determination of high density lipoprotein

(HDL) cholesterol:

The HDL-c was determined according to *Albers et al.,(1983)*.

5-Determination of very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) cholesterol

The concentration of VLDL-c was estimated according to the Friedewald's equation *Fridewald et al., (1972)*.

$$VLDL-c = \frac{\text{triglycerides}}{5}$$

6-Determination of low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol:

According to *Fridewald et al. (1972)*, low density lipoprotein cholesterol can be calculated as follows:

$$LDL-c = \text{Total cholesterol} - (\text{HDL-c}) - (\text{VLDL-c})$$

Statistical Analysis:

Results were expressed as the mean ± SD. Data were statistically analyzed for variance using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) *Granfeldt et al.,(1995)*.

3. Results and Discussions:

As shown in table (1), it could be observed that significant ($p \leq 0.05$) decrease in food intake and body weight gain ratio were showed in diabetic rats fed on basal diet (control positive) compared with healthy rats fed on basal diet (control negative). In addition, significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in food intake were showed in diabetic groups (5, 8&9) fed on diet containing type 3(whole corn flour), type 6 (whole corn +white riceflour 1:1) & type7 (whole wheat +whole corn +white rice1:1:1) of bread respectively, while other types of bread had no significant ($p \leq 0.05$) difference in food intake compared with control positive. Moreover, significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in body weight gain ratio were found in all diabetic groups fed on diets containing different types of bread comparing with diabetic rats fed on basal diet (control positive). The results may be due to the effect of fiber in cereals and legumes which reduced the risk for chronic diseases, this explanation is in line with the study by (*Kushi et al. (1999)*). Some of these include micronutrients such as selenium and vitamin E, antioxidants, phytochemicals, isoflavins and lignans. Since many of these factors occur together in cereals it is difficult to determine the precise benefits of each. While the benefits of the 'whole' grains have been demonstrated to reduce risk of CHD in women in the Nurses Health Study (*Liu et al. (1999)*).

From the data in table (2), it could be observed that significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in organs (liver, kidney, heart and spleen) to body weight gain ratio were showed for diabetic rats fed on basal diet (control positive) compared with healthy rats fed on basal diet (control negative). In addition, significant ($p \leq 0.05$) decrease in organs (liver, kidney, heart and spleen) to body weight gain ratio were observed for all diabetic groups fed on diets containing different types of bread comparing with diabetic rats fed on basal diet (control positive).

From the data in table (3), it could be observed that significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in serum glucose and lipids profile (total lipids, total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL-c and VLDL-c) and also significant ($p \leq 0.05$) decrease in HDL-c were showed for diabetic rats fed on basal diet (control positive) compared with healthy rats fed on basal diet (control negative). In addition, significant ($p \leq 0.05$) decrease in serum glucose and lipids profile (total lipids, total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL-c and VLDL-c) and also significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in HDL-c were observed for all diabetic groups fed on diets containing different types of bread comparing with diabetic rats fed on basal diet (control positive). The best results were found in group (9) which fed on diet containing type 7 of bread (whole wheat + whole corn + white riceflours 1:1:1), followed by group (4) which fed on diet containing type 2 of bread (whole wheat

flour 100%). The results are agreement with *Arturo, et al., (2004)* which conclude that a low GI diet with more than 23g fiber per day may help to improve dyslipidemia in individuals with type 2 diabetes. And also there is an important body of evidence in support of a therapeutic potential of a low-GI carbohydrate diet taken during the 3 meals, but not only once at breakfast, in subjects with type 2 diabetes and dyslipidemia. (*Brand et al. (1998), Wolever et al. (1992), Jarvi et al. (1999) and Frost et al. (1999)*).

From the data in table (4), it could be observed that significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in age were showed for men diabetic II compared with men diabetic I, while non-significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in age were showed for women diabetic II compared with women diabetic I. In addition, significant ($p \leq 0.05$) increase in body mass index BMI were observed for men and women of diabetic II compared with men and women diabetic I respectively. The results were agreement with previous studies which concluded that the protective association in the extreme quintiles revealed a risk ratio of 0.64–0.72, after correcting for related variables such as age, BMI, smoking and physical activity. Two of the studies reported that glycaemic load is associated with risk of diabetes. They showed an increased relative the risk of type 2 diabetes of 2.2 in women and 2.1 in men, with a combination of low cereal fiber intake and a high glycaemic load. (*Salmeron et al. (1999) and Salmeron et al. (1999)*).

Fom the data in table (5), it could be observed that lowest values of glycaemic index were showed for type 2 of bread (whole wheat flour 100%)(63 ± 6.00) this result agreement with similler the study by *David et al., (2012)* showed that cereal fiber in the diet may be a marker for another component of whole grains that imparts health advantages or a healthy lifestyle. Another study showed that 30 gm of whole wheat having GI value equals to 65 and glcaemic load equals to 7.4 while GI of mixed grain bread is 34 and its GL is 4.3 *Fiona et al., (2008)*. Followed by type 3 (whole corn 100%) (68 ± 9.00) and type 4 (whole wheat + whole corn 1:1) (68 ± 2.00) in diabetic I respectively. While, lowest values of glycaemic index were showed for type 7 of bread (whole wheat + whole corn + white rice flour 1:1:1) (61 ± 7.00) followed by type 5 (whole wheat + white rice flour 1:1)(63 ± 6.00) and type 2 (whole wheat flour 100%)(64 ± 7.00) in diabetic II respectively. Another study by *Hu et al., (2012)* revealed that the higher consumption of white rice is associated with a significantly increased risk of type 2 diabetes, especially in Asian (Chinese and Japanese) populations. While *Fiona et al., (2008)* found that white rice has GI equals to 58 and rice flour is 95.

On the other hand, the highest values of glycaemic index were showed for type 6 of bread (whole corn + white rice flour 1:1) (79 ± 2.00) followed by type 7 of bread (whole wheat + whole corn + white rice flour 1:1:1) (76 ± 6.00) in diabetic I, while highest values of glycaemic index were showed for type 6 of bread (76 ± 7.00) followed by type 3 of bread (whole corn flour 100%) (75 ± 5.00) in diabetic II. This result in line with the study which revealed

that the corn is a nutrient-rich food classified as a starch on the Diabetes Food Pyramid, along with grains, potatoes, peas and beans. With a glycemic index (GI) of 42, corn is also classified as a low-GI food, meaning that corn raises blood sugar by a relatively small amount. The healthy effects of eating corn may be negated, however, by consuming the wrong type of corn products *Emilia (2012)*.

Table (1): Effect of Egyptian bread prepared by different types of flour on food intake (FI) and body weight gain ratio (BWG%) of diabetic rats

Groups	FI (g/ day)	BWG %
Group (1): Control -	A 17.20± 0.83	A 20.60± 0.89
Group (2): Control +	Cd 11.80± 1.09	E 11.40± 1.34
Group (3): Bread 1	d 11.40 ± 1.34	Bcd 15.40± 0.54
Group (4): Bread 2	D 10.80± 1.09	Cd 14.0 ± 1.41
Group (5): Bread 3	A 16.40± 1.67	Bc 16.40± 1.67
Group (6): Bread 4	Bc 13.60± 1.67	Bcd 16.00± 2.91
Group (7): Bread 5	Bcd 12.20± 0.83	Bcd 15.80± 2.49
Group (8): Bread 6	B 13.80± 1.78	Cd 14.00± 1.41
Group (9): Bread 7	A 16.60± 0.89	B 17.60± 1.67

Values with the same letters indicate no significant different ($p \leq 0.05$) and vice versa

Table (2): Effect of Egyptian bread prepared by different types of flour on organs to body weight gain ratio of diabetic rats

Groups	Liver / B.W. %	Kidney / B.W. %	Heart / B.W. %	Spleen / B.W. %
Group (1): Control -	C 3.35±0.20	D 0.606±0.01	D 0.350± 0.01	D 0.396±0.04
Group (2): Control +	A 4.82±0.35	A 0.768±0.02	A 0.464± 0.04	A 0.510±0.04
Group (3): Bread 1	B 4.16±0.46	Bc 0.656±0.01	Bc 0.414±0.02	Bc 0.434±0.02
Group (4): Bread 2	B 4.10±0.45	D 0.606±0.01	Bc 0.408±0.02	Cd 0.426±0.01
Group (5): Bread 3	B 4.08±0.16	A 0.736±0.04	C 0.406±0.01	bc 0.438±0.01
Group (6): Bread 4	Bc 3.82±0.47	Cd 0.636±0.01	C 0.390±0.02	cd 0.414±0.01
Group (7): Bread 5	Bc 3.85±0.47	B 0.692±0.02	C 0.400±0.01	bc 0.434±0.02
Group (8): Bread 6	B 4.06±0.47	Cd 0.636±0.01	Ab 0.448±0.02	b 0.464±0.02
Group (9): Bread 7	B 4.03±0.64	Cd 0.620±0.02	Bc 0.422±0.03	bc 0.442±0.01

Values with the same letters indicate no significant different ($p \leq 0.05$) and vice versa

Table (3): Effect of Egyptian bread prepared by different types of flour on serum glucose, total lipids, total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein, high density lipoprotein, very low density lipoprotein of diabetic rats

Groups	Glucose mg/dl	Total lipids g/l	T.Ch mg/dl	T.G mg/dl	LDL-c mg/dl	HDL-c mg/dl	VLDL-c mg/dl
Group (1): Control -	E 97.00± 4.58	Cd 2.67± 0.33	E 96.73± 0.83	F 147.18± 1.58	E 20.19± 0.46	ab 47.10± 1.14	f 29.43± 0.31
Group (2): Control +	A 189.60± 4.93	A 4.25± 0.52	A 168.26± 10.96	A 216.00± 11.40	A 87.63± 12.26	e 37.43± 3.53	a 43.20± 2.28
Group (3): Bread 1	D 123.00± 7.58	Bc 2.91± 0.01	Bc 139.40± 13.66	C 188.30± 2.38	Bc 61.56± 13.46	de 40.20± 0.83	c 37.64± 0.49
Group (4): Bread 2	F 89.80± 0.83	B 2.78± 0.18	E 104.13± 5.36	D 172.20± 4.38	E 28.89± 6.78	d 40.80± 2.49	d 34.44± 0.87
Group (5): Bread 3	E 100.80± 2.38	Bc 2.98± 0.04	Cd 133.00± 17.40	D 176.80± 4.02	Cd 54.81± 14.53	cd 42.82± 3.00	d 35.36± 0.80
Group (6): Bread 4	C 134.20± 6.57	B 3.35± 0.42	B 149.40± 5.36	Bc 192.00± 2.73	B 69.10± 5.68	cd 41.90± 2.35	bc 38.40± 0.54
Group (7): Bread 5	E 96.00± 3.16	Cd 2.75± 0.19	e 108.12± 7.54	D 173.95± 3.55	E 25.51± 8.69	a 47.85± 1.95	d 34.76± 0.69
Group (8): Bread 6	Bc 139.00± 1.73	Bc 3.02± 0.13	D 127.00± 7.58	Bc 189.40± 2.51	D 47.08± 7.97	cd 42.04± 2.74	bc 37.88± 0.50
Group (9): Bread 7	F 89.00± 1.87	D 2.33± 0.38	E 102.0± 2.73	E 161.00± 5.47	E 25.60± 2.60	bc 44.20± 2.388	e 32.20± 1.09

Values with the same letters indicate no significant different ($p \leq 0.05$) and vice versa

T.Ch. Total cholesterol

T.G. Triglycerides

L.D.L-c Low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

H.D.L-c High density lipoprotein cholesterol.

V.L.D.L-c very low density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Table (4): Anthropometric measurements of Diabetic patients (mean ± SD)

	sex	Number	Age	weight	height	BMI
Diabetic I	men	9	36.00 ± 22.34 b	86.67 ± 30.14 a	168.33 ± 7.64 a	25.57 ± 8.32 c
	Women	13	52.40 ± 13.61 a	72.80 ± 4.38 b	163.00 b ± 5.66	27.52 ± 3.19 b
Diabetic II	men	12	55.88 ± 10.36 a	74.86 ± 9.67 b	165.29 ± 5.50 a	27.46 ± 3.64 b
	women	16	57.5 ± 9.29 a	87.50 ± 23.98 a	161.00 ± 4.97 b	31.52 ± 6.95 a

Values with the same letters indicate no significant different ($p \leq 0.05$) and vice versa.

Table (5): Glycemic index of Egyptian bread prepared by different types of flour

Type of bread	Diabetic (I)		Glycemic Index	Diabetic (II)		Glycemic Index
	Number	Hours		Number	Hours	
Type (1)	22.00	2.00	71 ± 6.00 c	28.00	2.00	72 ± 7.00 b
Type (2)	22.00	2.00	63 ± 6.00 d	28.00	2.00	64 ± 7.00 c
Type (3)	22.00	2.00	68 ± 9.00 c	28.00	2.00	75 ± 5.00 a
Type (4)	22.00	2.00	68 ± 2.00 c	28.00	2.00	65 ± 9.00 c
Type (5)	22.00	2.00	69 ± 3.00 c	28.00	2.00	63 ± 6.00 cd
Type (6)	22.00	2.00	79 ± 2.00 a	28.00	2.00	76 ± 7.00 a
Type (7)	22.00	2.00	76 ± 6.00 b	28.00	2.00	61 ± 7.00 d

Glycemic index of Glucose = 100

Values with the same letters indicate no significant different ($p \leq 0.05$) and vice versa.

Conclusion:

The present study concluded that the blood glucose response to a food is not accurately predicted by the content of available carbohydrate in the food but also Glycaemic index (GI) is a useful index to describe the extent to which certain food can increase the blood glucose in human. It's suggested using types of breads had low-GI carbohydrates would be benefit to patients with type 2 diabetes.

Corresponding author

Hany Helmy

Home Economics Dept., Faculty of Specific Education, Zagazig University.Egypt
Hanyhelmy2007@yahoo.com

References:

1. **Albers, N.; Benderson, V. and Warnick, G. (1983):** Enzymatic determination of high density lipoprotein cholesterol: Selected Methods. Clin. Chem., (1983) 10:91-99.
2. **Allain, C.C.; Poon, L.S. and Chan, C.S. (1974):** Enzymatic determination of total serum cholesterol. Clin. Chem, (1974) 20, 470-475.
3. **Amos AF, ; McCarty DJ; and Zimmet P. (1997):** The rising global burden of diabetes and its complications: estimates and projections to the year 2010. Diabetic Medicine 1997; 14: S7–85.
4. **Anderson JW.(1986):** Fiber and health: an overview. American Journal of Gastroenterology 1986;81:892–7.
5. **Arturo Jimenez-Cruz; Wilfred H. (2004):** Turnbull, Montserrat Bacardi-Gasco'n and Perla Rosales-Garay. A high-fiber, moderate-glycemic-index, Mexican style diet improves dyslipidemia in individuals with type 2 diabetes. Nutrition Research 24 (2004) 19–27.
6. **Bessesen DH. (2001):** The role of carbohydrates in insulin resistance. Journal of Nutrition 2001; 131: 2782S–6S.
7. **Bouchard C.; Tremblay A, Despres JP, Nadeau A, Lupien PJ, Theriault G, Dussault J, Moorjani S, Pinault S, and Fournier G. (1990):** The response to long-term overfeeding in identical twins. New England Journal of Medicine 1990; 322: 1477–82.
8. **Brand JC.; Colagiuri S, Crossman S, Allen A, Roberts DC, and Truswell AS. (1991):** Low-glycemic index foods improve long-term glycemic control in NIDDM. Diabetes Care 1991; 14: 95–101.
9. **Brand-Miller JC. (1994):** The importance of glycemic index in diabetes. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 1994; 59(Suppl.): 747S–52S.
10. **Bray GA and Popkin BM. (1998):** Dietary fat intake does affect obesity. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 1998; 68: 1157–73.
11. **Burrin JM.; and Price CP. (1985):** Measurement of blood glucose. Ann Clin Biochem 1985 Jul; 22 (Pt 4):327-42.
12. **David J. A.; Jenkins, MD,Cyril W. C. Kendall, PHD, Livia S. A. Augustin, MSC, Margaret C. Martini, PHD et al., (2012):** Effect of Wheat Bran on Glycemic Control and Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease in Type 2 Diabetes. Clinical Nutrition and Risk Factor Modification Center, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
13. **Department of Health. Dietary Reference Values for Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom. (1991):** Report of the Panel on Dietary Reference Values of the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy. London: HMSO, 1991.
14. **Emilia Klapp, R.D., B.S. (2012):** Rice Nutritional Values and Facts Diabetics Can Use. 2011. www.TheDiabetesClub.com.
15. **Englyst H.; Kingman S, and Cummings J. (1992):** Classification and measurement of nutritionally important starch fractions. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 1992; 46: 33S–50S.
16. **FAO/WHO (1998):** Carbohydrates in Human Nutrition. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation. FAO Food and Nutrition Paper 66. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 1998.

17. **Feskens EJ.; Loeber JG, Kromhout D. (1994):** Diet and physical activity as determinants of hyperinsulinaemia: the Zutphen Elderly Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1994;140:350–60.
18. **Fiona S.; Atkinson, Kaye Foster-Powell, and Jennie C. (2008):** International tables of glycemic index and glycemic load value: Brand-Miller in the December 2008.
19. **Fontvieille AM.; Rizkalla SW, Penforis A, Acosta M, Bornet FR, and Slama G. (1992):** The use of low glycaemic index foods improves metabolic control of diabetic patients over five weeks. *Diabetic Medicine* 1992; 9: 444–50.
20. **Foster-Powell K.; and Miller JB. (1995):** International tables of glycemic index. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1995; 62: 871S-890S.
21. **Foster-Powell K; Holt SH, and Brand-Miller JC. (2002):** International table of glycemic index and glycemic load values: 2002. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002; 76:5-56.
22. **Fridewald, W.T.; Leve, R.I. and Fredrickson, D.S. (1972):** Estimation of the concentration of low density lipoprotein. *Clin. Chem.* (1972) 18:499-502.
23. **Frost G.; Leeds A, Dore J, et al (1999):** Glycemic index as a determinant of serum HDL-cholesterol concentration. *Lancet* 353:1045-1048, 1999.
24. **Frost G.; Wilding J, and Beecham J. (1994):** Dietary advice based on the glycemic index improves dietary profile and metabolic control in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetic Medicine* 1994; 11: 340–67.
25. **Granfeldt Y.; Bjo"rck I, Hagander B.(1991):** On the importance of processing conditions, products thickness and eggs addition, for the glycemic and hormonal responses to pasta—a comparison with white bread made from pasta ingredients. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1991; 45: 489.
26. **Granfeldt Y.; Hagander B, and Bjo"rck I. (1995):**Metabolic responses to starch in oat and wheat products. On the importance of food structure, incomplete gelatinization or presence of viscous fiber. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1995; 49: 189–99.
27. **Grundy SM. (1999):** The optimal ratio of fat-to-carbohydrate in the diet. *Annual Review of Nutrition* 1999; 19: 325–41.
28. **Grundy SM.; and Denke M. (1990):** Dietary influences on serum lipids and lipoproteins. *Journal of Lipid Research* 1990; 31: 1149–72.
29. **Holm J.; Koellreutter B, and Wursch P. (1992):** Influence of sterilization, drying and oat bran enrichment of pasta on glucose and insulin responses in healthy subjects and on the rate and extent of in vitro starch digestion. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1992; 46: 629.
30. **Hu EA. ; Pan A, Malik V, Sun Q. (2012):** White rice consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes: meta-analysis and systematic review. Department of Nutrition, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA.
31. **Jacobs DR.; Meyer KA, Kushi LH, and Folsom AR. (1998):** Whole-grain intake may reduce the risk of ischemic heart disease death in postmenopausal women: the Iowa Women's Health Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1998; 68: 248–57.
32. **Jarvi A.; Karlstrom B, Granfeldt Y, et al (1999):** Improved glycaemic control and lipid profile and normalized fibrinolytic activity on a low-glycemic index diet in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 22:10-18, 1999.
33. **Jenkins DJ., and Jenkins AL.(1985):** Dietary fiber and the glycemic response. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* 1985; 180: 422–31.
34. **Jenkins DJ.; Wolever TM, Kalmusky J, et al. (1986):** Low-glycemic index diet in hyperlipidemia: use of traditional starchy foods. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1987; 45: 66–71.
35. **Jenkins DJ; Wolever TM, Taylor RH, Barker H, Fielden H, Baldwin JM, Bowling AC, Newman HC, Jenkins AL, and Goff DV. (1981):** Glycemic index of foods: a physiological basis for carbohydrate exchange. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1981; 34: 362-366.
36. **Jeppesen J.; Schaaf P, Jones C, Zhou MY, Chen YD, and Reaven GM. (1997):** Effects of low-fat, high-carbohydrate diets on risk factors for ischemic heart disease in postmenopausal women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1997; 65: 1027–33.
37. **King H.; Aubert RE, and Herman WH (1998):** Global burden of diabetes, 1995–2025: prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21: 1414–31.
38. **Krauss RM.; Eckel RH, Howard B, et al. (2000):** AHA dietary guidelines revision 2000: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Nutrition Committee of the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2000, 2000; 102: 2284–99.
39. **Kushi LH.; Meyer KA, and Jacobs DR. (1999):** Cereals, legumes, and chronic disease risk reduction: evidence from epidemiologic studies. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1999;70(Suppl):451S–8S.
40. **Liu S.; Stampfer MJ, Hu FB, Giovannucci E, Rimm E, Manson JE, Hennekens CH, and Willett WC. (1999):** Whole-grain consumption and risk of coronary heart disease: results from the Nurses' Health Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1999; 70: 412–9.
41. **Lovejoy J.; DiGirolamo M.(1992):** Habitual dietary intake and insulin sensitivity in lean and obese adults. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1992; 55: 1174–9.
42. **Malekinejad, H.; Rezabakhsh, A.; Rahmani, F.; Hobbenaghi, R.(2012):** Silymarin regulates the cytochrome P450 3A2 and glutathione peroxidases in

- the liver of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. 2012 words 6466.
43. **Marshall JA., Hamman RF, and Baxter J. (1991):** High-fat, low carbohydrate diet and the aetiology of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: the San Luis Valley Diabetes Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1991; 134: 590–603.
 44. **McIntosh M., and Miller C. A (2001):** diet containing food rich in soluble and insoluble fiber improves glycemic control and reduces hyperlipidemia among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nutrition Reviews* 2001; 59: 52–5.
 45. **Mensink RP., and Katan MB.(1992):** Effect of dietary fatty acids on serum lipids and lipoproteins: a meta-analysis of 27 trials. *Arteriosclerosis and Thrombosis* 1992; 12: 911–9.
 46. **Meyer KA., Kushi LH, Jacobs DR, Slavin J, Sellers TA, and Folsom AR. (2000):** Carbohydrates, dietary fiber, and incident type 2 diabetes in older women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2000; 71: 921–30.
 47. **Miller-Jones J. (2007):** Glycemic response definitions. *Cereal Foods World*, 2007;52:54–5.
 48. **Monro JA. (2006):** Assessing the glycemic potency of foods and its relevance to industry and the consumer. *Intl Rev Food Sci. Tech.* 2006; Winter 2005/ 2006:60–5.
 49. **National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (2012):** Calculate your Body Mass Index. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. Saturday, 2012 <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/index.htm>. USA.gov.
 50. **National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. (2001):** Diet and exercise dramatically delay type 2 diabetes. Press release of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. August 8, Accessed November 29, 2001 at <http://www.nih.gov/news/pr/aug2001/niddk-08.htm>.
 51. **Nestle PJ., Carroll KF, and Havenstein N. (1970):** Plasma triglyceride response to carbohydrates, fats and caloric intake. *Metabolism* 1970; 19: 1–18.
 52. **Parks EJ. and Hellerstein MK. (2000):** Carbohydrate-induced hypertriglycerolemia: historical perspective and review of biological mechanisms. *American Journal of Nutrition* 2000; 71: 412–33.
 53. **Rasmussen OW; Thomsen C, Hansen KW, Vesterlund M, Winther E, and Hermansen K. (1993):** Effects on blood pressure, glucose, and lipid levels of a high-monounsaturated fat diet compared with a high-carbohydrate diet in NIDDM subjects. *Diabetes Care* 1993;16:1565–71.
 54. **Reaven GM. (1997):** Do high carbohydrate diets prevent the development or attenuate the manifestations (or both) of syndrome X? A viewpoint strong against. *Current Opinion in Lipidology* 1997; 8: 23–7.
 55. **Reeves, P.G.; Nielsen, F.H. and Fahmy, G.G. (1993):** Purified diets for laboratory rodents: Final report of the American Institute of Nutrition ad hoc writing committee on the reformulation of the AIN-76 A Rodent diet. *J. Nutrition*, (1993) 123:1939-151.
 56. **Richards MK.; Paeratakul S, Bray GA, and Popkin BM. (2001):** Current theories regarding the influence of diet and the control of obesity. In: Wilson T, Temple NJ, eds. *Nutritional Health: Strategies for Disease Prevention*. Totowa, NJ: Human Press Inc., 2001, 135–50.
 57. **Salmeron J. ; Ascherio A, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Spiegelman D, Jenkins DJ, Stampfer MJ, Wing AL, and Willett WC. (1997):** Dietary fiber, glycemic load and risk of NIDDM in men. *Diabetes Care* 1997; 20: 545–50.
 58. **Salmeron J.; Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Wing AL, and Willett WC.(1997):** Dietary fiber, glycemic load, and risk of noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1997;277:472–7.
 59. **Seidell JC (2000):** Obesity, insulin resistance and diabetes—a worldwide epidemic. *Br J Nutr* 2000;38(suppl):S5–8.
 60. **Sullivan A. (2000):** Healthy eating: something to chew over? *Nursing Standards* 2000; 14: 43–6.
 61. **Trowell HC. (1973):** Dietary fibre, ischaemic heart disease and diabetes mellitus. *Proceeding of the Nutrition Society* 1973; 32: 151–7.
 62. **Trowell HC. (1975):** Dietary fiber hypothesis of the aetiology of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes* 1975; 24: 762–5.
 63. **Tsunehara CH.; Leonetti DL, and Fujimoto WY. (1990):** Diet of secondgeneration Japanese-American men with and without non-insulin-dependent diabetes. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1990; 52: 731–8.
 64. **Tuomilehto J.; Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hamalainen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Louheranta A, Rastas M, and Salminen V, (2001):** Uusitupa M and for the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Group. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2001;344:1343–50.
 65. **Wahlefeld, A.W. (1974):** Enzymatic Determination of Triglycerides. *Methods of Enzymatic Analysis*. (1974) Vol. 5, HU. Bergmeyer, Ed. Academic Press, New York, pp. 1831-1835.
 66. **Wolever TMS.; Jenkins DJA, Vuksan V, Jenkins AL, Buckley GC, Wong GS, and Josse RG. (1992):** Beneficial effect of a low glycemic index diet in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine* 1992; 9: 451–8.