#### Temperature Effect on Corrosion Inhibition of Carbon Steel in Formation Water by Non-ionic Inhibitor and Synergistic Influence of Halide Ions

K.Z. Mohammed, A. Hamdy\*, A. Abdel-wahab, N .A. Farid

Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute (EPRI), Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt amalhamdy66@hotmail.com

Abstract: The inhibitive effect of nonionic surfactant namely nonylphenoxy poly (ethyleneoxy) ethanol (NPPE) on carbon steel corrosion in oilfield formation water at temperature range 303-333 K was studied using electrochemical polarization technique. The inhibition efficiency increases with increasing the concentrations of NPPE but decreases with the increase in temperature. Potentiodynamic polarization studies revealed that the NPPE acts as a mixed inhibitor. Adsorption of NPPE on the carbon steel surface in oilfield formation water follows the Langmuir isotherm model. The activation energy and the thermodynamic parameters for the inhibition process were calculated and discussed. The inhibition efficiency of NPPE synergistically increased on addition of halide ions in the order KCl< KBr <KI.

[K.Z. Mohammed, A. Hammdy, A. Abdel-wahab, N.A. Farid. **Temperature Effect on Corrosion Inhibition of Carbon Steel in Formation Water by Non-ionic Inhibitor and Synergistic Influence of Halide Ions**. Life Sci J 2012;9(2):424-434]. (ISSN: 1097-8135). <u>http://www.lifesciencesite.com</u>.

**Keywords:** Nonionic surfactant; oilfield formation water; corrosion; synergistic inhibition; carbon steel; thermodynamic parameters

#### 1. Introduction

The main reason of corrosion problems in the oil industry is attributed to the presence of formation water (oilfield water), which accompanies the oil production. It has been shown that corrosion is related to the water content which contains a various corrosive agents including carbon dioxide, hydrogen sulphide, organic acids and salts such as chlorides and sulfates [1].

Carbon steels are the most commonly used pipeline materials in petroleum production. However, carbon steels are very prone to corrosion in environments containing acid solutions [2]. The corrosion of acid solution on the steel materials causes considerable cost. In order to reduce the corrosion of metal, several techniques have been applied, where among that utilization of organic compounds and more specifically surfactants are gaining high space as corrosion inhibitors. Surfactants are very beneficial reagents and their presence at very low quantity in any medium providing desirable properties to processes in all industries such as, petrochemical, food, paint and coating industry [3].

A fundamental property of surfactants is their ability to form micelles (colloidal sized clusters) in solution. This property is due to the presence of both hydrophobic and hydrophilic groups in each surfactant molecule.Surfactants accumulate in special order at the interfaces and modify the interfaces and thus, control, reduce, or prevent reactions between a substrate and its surroundings, when added to the medium in small quantities. Different surfactant groups have been reported to present corrosion inhibitory potential which depends on the classification of surfactants, the substrate type, inhibitor concentration [4-12], time of immersion in inhibitor solution [13], the type of acid, pH [14], presence of salts [15-23], co-surfactant [24], temperature [25–27] and inhibitor structure [28]. Nonionic surfactants are often used because of their lower critical micelle concentration (CMC), their higher degree of surface-tension reduction, and their relatively constant properties in the presence of salt, which result in better performance and lower concentration requirements [5].

Most acid inhibitors are known for their specificity of action. However, the combination of inhibitors is more likely to provide multiple effects required effective corrosion inhibition. for Synergistic inhibition studies for corrosion inhibitors for metals have been advocated as an effective means of decreasing the amount of inhibitor usage, diversifying the application of the inhibitor and improving the inhibitive force of the inhibitor. Interestingly, addition of halide salts to acid solutions containing any organic compound had been reported to result in a synergistic effect thereby inhibiting iron corrosion [25]. Corrosion inhibition synergism results from increased surface coverage as a result of ion-pair interactions between the organic cation and the anions. Synergistic effect of halide ions on the corrosion inhibition of metals using various substances have been reported by some research groups [29-31].

The synergistic effect was found to increase in the order  $I \rightarrow Br \rightarrow Cl^{-}$ . The highest synergistic effect associated with iodide ions has been attributed to their large size and ease of polarizability hence can be chemisorbed onto metal surface [32].

# 2. Experimental

# 2.1. Materials

Tests were performed on carbon steel (CS) of the following composition (wt. %): 0.05% C, 0.28% Mn, 0.023% P, 0.019% S, 0.02% Si, and the remainder Fe. Nonylphenoxy poly (ethyleneoxy) ethanol (NPPOE) was used as inhibitor; it was obtained from commercial source.

#### 2.2. Test Solutions

The test solution used was oil field formation water kindly provided by The Gulf of Suez Petroleum Company (GUPCO). A typical chemical composition is given in Table (1). The concentration range of NPPOE employed was  $(4x10^{-5} \text{ to } 40 \text{ x } 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ . Deionized water was used for the preparation of all reagents. The halides used (KCl, KBr, and KI) were all BDH laboratory supplies chemicals, England .Solutions with 0.01M concentration of KCl, KBr and KI were used for the synergistic studies. The studies were carried out at temperature range of 303–333 K.

#### 2.3. Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical experiments were carried out in the conventional three-electrode cell with a platinum counter electrode (CE) and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode. The working electrode (WE) used was in the form of a square CRS embedded in PVC holder using epoxy resin so that the flat surface is the only exposed surface in the electrode, and has an area of 1.0 x 1.0 cm. Before running the experiment the electrode was abraded with emery paper (grade 320-500-800-1200) on test face, rinsed with distilled water, degreased with acetone, and dried with a cold air stream. A computer controlled EG&G PAR 273A Potentiostat/galvanostat (Princeton Applied Research) was used for the electrochemical measurements. Each experiment was repeated at least three times to check the reproducibility. Polarization curves were recorded potentiodynamically, at the scan rate of 1 mV/s, in the range of +250 mV to -250mV versus OCP potential.

# 3. Results and Discussion

# 3.1. Polarization studies

The inhibition process of the (NPPOE) for the corrosion of carbon steel in oilfield formation water was analyzed by polarization experiments.

Some examples of both the Tafel anodic and cathodic polarization curves for carbon steel in oilfield formation water at different concentrations of the nonionic surfactant (NPPOE) and different temperatures are shown in Figure (1). It is clear that the presence of the inhibitor shifts the corrosion potential to the noble direction, i.e.decreases the corrosion rate. This may be ascribed to adsorption of the inhibitor over the corroded surface. Corrosion parameters such as, the corrosion current density  $(I_{corr})$ , corrosion potential  $(E_{corr})$ , anodic and cathodic Tafel slopes ( $b_a$   $b_c$  respectively), were calculated from Figure (1) and the values are listed in Table (2). From these data, it is clear that the corrosion current decreases with the increase of the inhibitor concentration. The presence of (NPPOE) surfactant does not remarkably shift the corrosion potential while, both the anodic and cathodic Tafel slopes change with the increase of the inhibitor concentration. Therefore, the nonionic surfactant (NPPOE) can be classified as mixed-type inhibitor in oilfield formation water. These results are in good agreement with the results obtained for other organic compounds in acidic solutions [33-35].

The inhibition efficiency (IE %) and the degree of surface coverage ( $\theta$ ) were calculated according to the following equations [36]:

IE % = 
$$\left(1 - \frac{I}{I_{\circ}}\right) \times 100$$
 (1)

$$\theta = 1 - (I / I_{\circ})$$
 (2)

where  $I_o$  and I are the corrosion current density values in the absence and presence of inhibitor, respectively, determined by extrapolation of Tafel lines to the corrosion potential.

Values of the inhibition efficiency were calculated and listed in Table (2), which reveal that the inhibition efficiency ( $\eta$  %) increases with the increment of the inhibitor concentration.

# 3.2. Adsorption considerations

Basic information on the interaction between inhibitors and metal surface can be provided using the adsorption isotherms [37]. The adsorption of an organic adsorbate at metal–solution interface can occur as a result of substitutional adsorption process between organic molecules presented in the aqueous solution (Org <sub>(sol)</sub>), and the water molecules previously adsorbed on the metallic surface (H<sub>2</sub>O <sub>(ads)</sub>) [38]:

 $Org_{(sol)} + xH_2O_{(ads)} = Org_{(ads)} + xH_2O_{(sol)}$ (3)

Where  $Org_{(sol)}$  and  $Org_{(ads)}$  are the organic species in the bulk solution and adsorbed one on the metallic surface, respectively,  $H_2O_{(ads)}$  is the water molecule adsorbed on the metallic surface and x is the size ratio representing the number of water molecules replaced by one organic adsorbate. In order to obtain the adsorption isotherm, the degree of surface coverage,  $\theta$ , for different concentrations of inhibitor in oilfield formation water solutions has been evaluated by the following equation [39]:

$$\theta = I_{\text{corr.}}^{\text{o}} - I_{\text{corr.}} / I_{\text{corr.}}^{\text{o}}$$
(4)

The  $\theta$  values are presented in Table (2). According to the Langmuir's isotherm, the surface coverage ( $\theta$ ) is related to inhibitor concentration (C) by the following equation [39]:

 $C_{inh}/\theta = 1/K_{ads} + C_{inh}$ (5)

Where K<sub>ads</sub> is the equilibrium constant of the inhibitor adsorption process and can be calculated from the intercept lines on the  $C_{inh}/\theta$ -axis. As seen from Figure (2), the plot of  $C_{inh}/\theta$  versus  $C_{inh}$  yields a straight line with a correlation coefficient more than 0.99, showing that the adsorption of these inhibitors in oilfield formation water is fitted to Langmuir adsorption isotherm.

The data reported in Table (3) reveals that, the adsorptive equilibrium constant (K) decreases with increasing the temperature indicating that, it is easy for inhibitor to adsorb onto the carbon steel surface at relatively lower temperature, but as the temperature increases. the adsorbed inhibitor tends to desorption. These results suggest that, the inhibition of carbon steel in oilfield formation water by the NPPOE is an adsorptive process. This isotherm assumes that the adsorbed molecules occupy only one site and there are no interactions between the adsorbed species [40].

#### 3.3. Thermodynamic parameters

Generally, the organic molecules inhibit corrosion by adsorption at the metal-solution interface and the adsorption process depends on the molecule's chemical composition, the temperature and the electrochemical potential at the metalsolution interface [40].

Thermodynamic parameters play an important role in understanding the inhibition mechanism. The standard adsorption heat  $(\Delta H^0)$  could be calculated according to the Van't Hoff equation [41]: Ln K= -  $\overline{\Delta} H^{o}_{ads} / R T$  + constant (6)Where, R is the gas constant (8.314 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>), T the absolute temperature .To obtain the adsorption heat, the regression between ln K and 1/T was dealt with. Clearly, the adsorption heat can be obtained by using the slope of the regression (-  $\Delta H^{o}_{ads}/R$ ), and the relationship between ln K and 1/T is shown in Figure (3).

Figure (3) indicates that there is a good linear relationship between lnK and 1/T with a correlation coefficient higher than 0.99, meaning that it is safe to utilize the Van't Hoff equation to calculate the adsorption heat. Under the experimental conditions, the adsorption heat can be approximately regarded as the standard adsorption heat ( $\Delta H^{o}_{ads}$ ).

It is well known that the standard adsorption free energy ( $\Delta G^{o}_{ads}$ ) is related to the equilibrium constant of adsorption (K), and  $\Delta G^{o}_{ads}$  can be calculated by the following equation (42):

$$\Delta G^{0}_{ads} = - RT \ln (55.5 K_{ads})$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

Where R is the gas constant (8.314 J  $K^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup>), and T is the absolute temperature (K). The constant value of 55.5 is the concentration of water in solution expressed in M. A plot of  $\Delta G^{o}_{ads}$  versus T (Figure 4) gave the heat of adsorption ( $\Delta H^{o}_{ads}$ ) and the standard adsorption entropy ( $\Delta S^{o}_{ads}$ ) according to the thermodynamic basic equation:  $\Delta G^{o}_{ads} = \Delta H^{o}_{ads}$ (8)

$$_{\rm ls}$$
-T $\Delta S^{\rm o}_{\rm ads}$ .

Figure (4) clearly shows that the good dependence of  $\Delta G^{o}_{ads}$  on T, indicating the good correlation among thermodynamic parameters. The thermodynamic data obtained for NPPE in oilfield formation water using the adsorption isotherm are depicted in Table (3).

The negative sign of  $\Delta G^0_{ads}$  indicates that the inhibitors are spontaneously adsorbed on the metal surface [40, 43]. Generally, the magnitude of  $\Delta G^{0}_{ads}$ around  $-20 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  or less negative, leads to the assumption that an electrostatic interaction exists between the inhibitor and the charged metal surface (i.e. physisorption). Standard free energy of adsorption ( $\Delta G^0_{ads}$ ) around -40 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> or more negative indicates that a charge sharing or transferring from organic species to the metal surface occurs to form a coordinate type of bond (i.e. chemisorption) [44].

In the present study, the  $\Delta G^0_{ads}$  values obtained for the (NPPOE) in oilfield formation water solution ranges between -33.2 and – 36.8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, which are lower than -40 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> but higher than -20 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. This indicates that the adsorption is neither typical physisorption nor typical chemisorption but it is complex mixed type. That is the adsorption of inhibitor molecules on the carbon steel surface in the present study involves both physisorption and chemisorption (comprehensive adsorption) but physisorption is the predominant mode of adsorption. This assumption is supported by the data obtained from temperature dependence of inhibition process, reported in Table (2), which shows that the inhibition efficiency of the NPPOE studied decreases with increase in temperature (physisorption) [45]. Thus, we conclude that the adsorption for the inhibitor studied (NPPOE) on the carbon steel in oilfield formation water is complex in nature and predominantly physisorption. Moreover, the calculated  $\Delta G^0_{ads}$  values show that an electrostatic interaction exists between the charged molecules and the charged metal surface.

As for the value of  $\Delta S^0$  in Table 3, the sign of  $\Delta S^0$  is positive, which indicates that the adsorption process is accompanied by an increase in entropy. This could be explained as follows, The adsorption of organic inhibitor molecules from the aqueous solution can be regarded as a quasi-substitution process between the organic compound in the aqueous phase [Org<sub>(sol)</sub>] and water molecules at the

electrode surface [H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>(ads)</sub>] [38]. In this situation, the adsorption of organic inhibitor is accompanied by the desorption of water molecules from steel surface. Thus, while the adsorption process for the inhibitor is believed to be exothermic and associated with a decrease in entropy of the solute, the opposite is true for the solvent. The thermodynamic values obtained are the algebraic sum of the adsorption of organic molecules and desorption of water molecules .Therefore, the gain in entropy is attributed to the increase in solvent entropy [46].

#### 3.4. Kinetic parameters

The adsorption phenomena have been explained by using thermodynamic parameters, to further elucidate the inhibition properties of the inhibitor, the kinetic model was employed. The thermodynamic functions for dissolution of carbon steel in the absence and in the presence of various concentrations of NPPOE were obtained by applying the Arrhenius equation and the transition state equation [47]: I corr. =  $RT/Nh \exp(-\Delta H_a^0/RT)$ I corr. =  $RT/Nh \exp(\Delta S_a^0/R) \exp(-\Delta H_a^0/RT)$ (9)

(10)

Where  $E_a^0$  is the apparent activation energy, A the pre-exponential factor,  $\Delta H_a^0$  the apparent enthalpy of activation,  $\Delta S_{a}^{0}$  the apparent entropy of activation, h the Planck's constant and N the Avogadro number.

Arrhenius plots of Ln I<sub>corr</sub>.vs. 1/T for the blank and different concentrations of NPPOE are shown in Figure (5). The plots obtained are straight lines and the slope of each straight line gives its activation energy. The negative slope of (E<sub>a</sub>) indicates the adsorption of the inhibitor on the electrode surface. The regression between ln Icorr and 1/T was calculated and the parameters were calculated and presented in Table (4), it can be seen that apparent activation energy increased with increasing concentration of NPPOE. Also, it is clear that E<sub>a</sub> values in the presence of inhibitor are higher than that in the absence of inhibitor indicating higher activation energies for the metal dissolution reaction. Hence, the process is activation controlled. The increase in apparent activation energy with NPPOE concentration thereby indicates a more efficient inhibiting effect that can be attributed to the thickening of the electric double layer and supports the hypothesis that molecules can form micelles on the metal surface.

The increase in apparent activation energy  $E_a$ may be interpreted as physical adsorption [48]. Szauer and Brand [49] explained that the increase in activation energy can be attributed to an appreciable decrease in the adsorption of the inhibitor on the carbon steel surface with increase in temperature and a corresponding increase in corrosion rates occurs

due to the fact that greater area of metal is exposed to the acidic solution environment.

Figure (6) shows a plot of ln ( $I_{corr.}$  /T) vs. 1/T. A straight lines were obtained with a slope equal to  $(-\Delta H_a^o /R)$  and intercept equal to (Ln R/Nh +  $\Delta S^{o}_{a}/R$ ), from which the values of  $\Delta H^{o}_{a}$  and  $\Delta S^{o}_{a}$ were calculated and listed in Table (4). Inspection of these data reveals that the thermodynamic parameters  $(\Delta H^o_a \text{ and } \Delta S^o_a)$  of dissolution reaction of carbon steel in oilfield formation water in the presence of NPPOE are higher than in the absence of inhibitor. The positive sign of enthalpies reflect the endothermic nature of steel dissolution process meaning that dissolution of steel is difficult [50].On comparing the values of the entropy of activation  $\Delta S^{\circ}_{a}$  given in Table (4), it is clear that entropy of activation increased positively in the presence of NPPOE than in the absence of inhibitor. The increase of  $\Delta S^{o}_{a}$  reveals that an increase in disordering takes place on going from reactant to the activated complex [51].

# 3.5. Synergistic effect of halide ions

Synergistic inhibition effect of inhibitors takes place when the total action of compounds is higher than the sum of each one individually [52]. To elucidate the synergistic influence of halide ions on the corrosion inhibition of carbon steel in oilfield formation water by NPPOE, certain concentration of the inhibitor  $(10x10^{-5})$  was studied in the absence and presence of 1 x 10<sup>-2</sup> M KI, KCl and KBr to clarify this phenomenon. The synergistic inhibitive effect brought about by the inhibitor and halide ions are shown in Fig.(7) .The electrochemical parameters of this study are presented in Table (5). It is clear that the addition of halide salts to the inhibitor solution enhanced inhibition and a marked change in the inhibition efficiency occurred from 61.2 to 93 %, 90 % and 87.8 % in presence of KI, KBr and KCl respectively with a noticeable increase in the surface coverage. A shift in Ecorr.values to more anodic potentials is observed on addition of iodide salts. As Ecorr.shifted to a more noble direction, Icorr.decreased considerably from 18.69 to 3.354, 4.928 and 5.998 µAcm<sup>-2</sup> for KI, KBr and KCl respectively. These values are coinciding with the polarization resistance values which increased considerably with the presence of halide salts; thus the corrosion inhibition effect of NPPOE appeared to be synergistically enhanced by the presence of the halide ions. The synergistic influence may be explained as follows: halide ions are initially chemisorbed on the metal surface and therefore, the surface becomes negatively charged. The positively charged part of the inhibitor molecule tends to become oriented towards the adsorbed anions preferentially by coulombic attraction onto the metal surface where iodide ions already have been chemisorbed. This behavior suppresses the corrosion rate by stabilizing the adsorbed anion ( $\Gamma$ ) and the positively charged part of the inhibitor molecule, increasing the surface coverage of the inhibitor and this enhances the efficiency of the inhibition.

The synergistic parameter (S) was calculated using the following equation [53]:

$$S = (1 - I_{1+2}) / (1 - I_{1+2})$$

Where, ,  $I_1$  is inhibition efficiency of halide,  $I_2$  is the inhibition efficiency of the inhibitor,  $I_{1+2} = I_1 + I_2$  and  $\Gamma_{1+2}$  is inhibition efficiency of inhibitor in combination with the anion. The value of (S) parameter was calculated for the case of each halide salt:

 $S_{KI} = 1.56$ ,  $S_{KBr} = 1.67$  and  $S_{KCI} = 1.88$ 

The (S) values in the three cases for KI, KBr and KCl respectively are more than unity, indicating clearly that, the enhanced inhibition efficiency is due to synergistic effect of halide and NPPOE (53).

# 4. Conclusions

- NPPOE acts as a good corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel in oilfield formation water.
- The inhibition efficiency of NPPOE increases with increasing the inhibitor concentration but decreases with temperature.
- The adsorption of NPPOE obeys Langmiur adsorption isotherm. The adsorption process is a spontaneous and exothermic process accompanied by an increase of entropy.
- All the values of free energy are negative as well as less than 40 KJmol<sup>-1</sup>, indicating the spontaneous physical adsorption of the inhibitors on the metal surface.
- Addition of halide salts synergistically increased the inhibition efficiency of NPPOE in the order KCl<KBr< KI.

Corrosive elements	Concentration	Test method ASTM		
TDS, mg/l	122670	D-1888		
Sodium as Na <sup>+</sup> , µg/g	36699	D-3561		
Potassium as K <sup>+</sup> , µg/g	714	D-3561		
<b>Calcium as Ca<sup>2+</sup>,</b> µg/g	3632	D-511		
<b>Magnesium as Mg<sup>2+</sup></b> , µg/g	4125	D-511		
Chloride as Cl <sup>-</sup> , µg/g	59981	D-512		
Sulphate as SO <sup>2-</sup> 4, µg/g	120	D-516		

Table (	1)	: Chemical	analysis	of the	tested	oilfield	formation wate	r.
	-,	· onemen			eesee a	01111010	ioi matton mate	

(11)

 Table (2): Electrochemical polarization parameters for the corrosion of carbon steel in oilfield formation Water containing various concentrations of (NPPOE) at different temperatures 293, 313 and 333K.

T (K)	Conc. (10 <sup>-5</sup> M)	R (mpy)	E <sub>corr.</sub> (mV)	I <sub>corr</sub> (μ A cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$b_a$ (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )	- b <sub>c</sub> (mVdec <sup>-1</sup> )	R <sub>p</sub> , (K Ω cm)	IE (%)	θ
	Blank	15.89	-694.9	34.65	158.9	234.1	1.27	-	-
	4	9.629	-691.5	21.0	103.5	194.6	1.34	39.0	0.390
	6	8.228	-685.2	17.94	116.7	176.6	1.646	48.0	0.480
293	8	6.610	-680.8	14.41	106.8	188.1	2.041	58.4	0.584
270	10	5.705	-655.5	12.44	85.58	182.9	1.875	64.1	0.641
	20	3.299	546.0	7.193	81.5	155.4	2.904	79.2	0.792
	40	1.643	-512.3	3.583	71.16	111.0	3.667	89.7	0.897
	Blank	22.49	-693.9	49.03	121.2	243.3	0.7176	-	-
	4	15.23	-668	33.20	124.2	204.7	0.9452	32.3	0.323
	6	12.85	-645.6	28.01	191.4	229.3	1.571	42.9	0.429
313	8	10.61	-675.6	23.12	105.9	187.7	1.182	52.8	0.528
	10	8.572	-608	18.69	140.3	165.2	1.527	61.9	0.619
	20	6.652	-588.6	15.07	96.82	212.4	1.987	70.4	0.704
	40	3.593	-512.6	7.833	66.68	204.3	2.540	84.0	0.840
	Blank	29.06	-707.3	63.35	134.6	484.5	0.6223	-	-
	4	20.22	-699.1	44.08	115.2	353.9	0.8110	30.4	0.304
	6	18.50	-693	40.34	120.3	241.5	0.9248	36.3	0.363
333	8	15.82	-658	34.48	117.3	245.6	0.9774	45.7	0.457
555	10	12.75	-699.3	27.81	122.4	214.5	1.171	56.1	0.561
	20	10.21	-679.6	22.27	112.5	204.1	1.433	64.9	0.649
	40	5.973	-634.2	13.02	98.64	195.9	1.794	79.4	0.794

Temp. (K)	K <sub>ads</sub> (M <sup>-1</sup> )	slope	$\mathbf{R}^2$	ΔG <sup>°</sup> <sub>ads</sub> (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	ΔH <sup>°</sup> <sub>ads</sub> (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{\Delta S^{o}_{ads}}{(J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1})}$
293	15760	0.9554	0.9995	-33.2	-11.5	95
313	13020	1.002	0.9962	- 35.0	-11.5	95
333	10885	1.035	0 9949	- 36.8	-11.5	95

# Table (3): Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of (NPPOE) inhibitor in oilfield formation water on the carbon steel at different temperatures.

Table (4): The values of activation parameters  $E_a$ ,  $\Delta H^0_a$  and  $\Delta S^0_a$  for carbon steel in formation water in the absence and presence of different concentrations of NPPE.

Concentration (M)X10 <sup>-5</sup>	$E_a (kJ mol^{-1})$	$\Delta H^0_{a}$ ( kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta S^{0}_{a} (J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1})$	
Blank	12.5	10.39	-186.3	
4	14.5	12.47	-182.6	
6	16.6	14.55	-177.9	
8	17.8	16.63	-172.7	
10	16.6	14.55	-187.6	
20	22.9	20.79	-164.1	
40	27.0	24.94	-155.8	

 Table (5): Electrochemical polarization parameters for the carbon steel in oilfield formation water containing NPPOE at concentration  $10x10^{-5}$  M in the absence and presence of  $1 \times 10^{-2}$  M halide salt at 40 °C.

Inh.Conc. (M)	R (Mpy)	E <sub>corr,</sub> (mV)	I <sub>corr</sub> (µAcm <sup>-2</sup> )	b <sub>a</sub> (mVdec <sup>-1</sup> )	-b <sub>c</sub> (mVdec <sup>-1</sup> )	R <sub>p</sub> (KΩcm <sup>-2</sup> )	IE (%)	θ
Blank	22.49	-693.9	49.03	121.2	243.3	0.7176	-	-
10x10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.572	-608	18.69	140.3	165.2	1.527	61.2	0.612
+ KI	1.538	-495.9	3.354	73.63	120.5	4.815	93	0.930
+ KBr	2.60	-515.9	4.928	98.54	120.9	4.107	90	0.900
+ KCl	2.751	-539.2	5.998	90.21	137.9	3.188	87.8	0.878



Nonylphenoxy poly (ethyleneoxy) ethanol (NPPOE)

Scheme (1)

E, mV (SCE)



Fig. (1)- Potentiodynamic polarization curves of carbon steel in oilfield formation water with different concentrations range of NPPOE at different temperatures: (1) blank, (2)  $4x10^{-5}$ , (3)  $10x10^{-5}$  and (4)  $40x10^{-5}$  M.



Fig. (2)- Curves fitting of the corrosion data for carbon steel in oilfield formation waters in the presence of NPPOE according to Langmuir adsorption isotherm at different temperatures.



Fig. (3)-Vant"Hoff plot for the carbon steel/NPPOE/oilfield formation waters.



Fig( 4). Variation of  $\Delta G_{ads}^{0}$  versus T on carbon steel in oilfield formation water containing NPPOE inhibitor



Fig. (5)-Arrhenius plots related to the corrosion rate of carbon steel in oilfield formation waters in absence and presence of different concentrations of NPPOE at various temperatures.



Fig. (6)-Transition state plot of (Ln I<sub>corr.</sub>/ T) versus 1/T at different concentrations of NPPOE inhibitor in oilfield formation water.



Log I, mACm<sup>-2</sup>

Fig.(7)-Potentiodynamic polarization curves for carbon steel in oilfield formation water inhibited with NPPOE at concentration  $10x10^{-5}$  M in absence and presence of halide salts at concentration  $1x10^{-4}$  M at 40 °C, (a) blank, (b) KCl, (c) KBr and (d) KI.

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Amal Hamdy Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute (EPRI), Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt amalhamdy66@ hotmail.com

#### References

- Ranney M.W. (1978): Fuel Additives for International Combustion Engines; Recent Developments Noyes Data Corporation: New Jersey.
- [2] Lopez D.A., S.N. Simison, S.R. de Sanchez (2003): The influence of steel microstructure on CO<sub>2</sub> corrosion. EIS studies on the inhibition efficiency of benzimidazole. *Electrochim. Acta*, 48:845-854.
- [3] Hegazy M.A., A.S. El-Tabei , A.H. Bedair, M.A. Sadeq (2012): An investigation of three novel nonionic surfactants as corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, *Corros.Sci.*54:219-230.
- [4] Deyab M.A. (2007): Effect of cationic surfactant and inorganic anions on the electrochemical behavior of

carbon steel in formation water, *Corros. Sci.*, 49: 2315–2328.

- [5] Deng X. Li, S., G. Mu, H. Fu, F. Yang(2008): Inhibition effect of nonionic surfactant on the corrosion of cold rolled steel in hydrochloric acid, *Corros. Sci.*, 50: 420– 430.
- [6] Li X., S. Deng, H. Fu, G. Mu(2009):Inhibition effect of 6benzylaminopurine on the corrosion of cold rolled steel in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution Corros. Sci., 51: 620–634.
- [7] Obot I.B., N.O. Obi-Egbedi, S.A. Umoren(2009): The synergistic inhibitive effect and some quantum chemical parameters of 2, 3-diaminonaphthalene and iodide ions on the hydrochloric acid corrosion of aluminium, *Corros. Sci.*, 51:276–282.
- [8] OkaforP.C.,Y. Zheng(2009): Synergistic inhibition behaviour of methylbenzyl quaternary imidazoline derivative and iodide ions on mild steel in H2SO4solutions, *Corros. Sci.*, 51:850–859.
- [9] Karlsson P.M., A. Baeza, A.E.C. Palmqvist, K. Holmberg(2008): Surfactant inhibition of aluminium

pigments for waterborne printing inks, Corros. Sci., 50:2282-2287.

- [10] Gopi D., K.M. Govindaraju, V.C.A. Prakash, D.M.A. Sakila, L. Kavitha(2009): A study on new benzotriazole derivatives as inhibitors on copper corrosion in ground water *Corros. Sci.*,51:2259–2265.
- [11]Migahed M.A., E.M.S. Azzam,S.M.I. Morsy(2009): Electrochemical behaviour of carbon steel in acid chloride solution in the presence of dodecyl cysteine hydrochloride self-assembled on gold nanoparticles, *Corros. Sci.*, 51:1636–1644.
- [12]Alsabagh A.M., M.A. Migahed, Hayam S. Awad(2006): Reactivity of polyester aliphatic amine surfactants as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in formation water (deep well water), *Corros. Sci.*, 48:813–828.
- [13] Ma H., S. Chen, B. Yin, S. Zhao, X. Liu(2003): Impedance spectroscopic study of corrosion inhibition of copper by surfactants in the acidic solutions *Corros. Sci.*, 45:867–882.
- [14] Soror T.Y., M.A. El-Ziady(2002): Effect of acetyl trimethyl ammonium on the corrosion of carbon steel in acids, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 77:697–703.
- [15] Li X., L. Tang, L. Li, G. Mu, G. Liu(2006): Synergistic inhibition between o-phenanthroline and chloride ion for steel corrosion in sulphuric acid *Corros. Sci.*, 48:308– 321.
- [16] Jeyaprabha C., S. Sathiyanarayanan, G. Venkatachari (2006): Influence of halide ions on the adsorption of diphenylamine on iron in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solutions, *Electrochim. Acta*, 51:4080–4088.
- [17] Umoren S.A., O. Ogbobe, I.O. Igwe, E.E. Ebenso(2008): Inhibition of mild steel corrosion in acidic medium using synthetic and naturally occurring polymers and synergistic halide additives, *Corros. Sci.* 50:1998–2006.
- [18] Bouklah M., B. Hammouti, A. Aouniti, M. Benkaddour, A. Bouyanzer(2006): Synergistic effect of iodide ions on the corrosion inhibition of steel in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> by new chalcone derivatives , *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 252 :6236–6242.
- [19] Umoren S.A., E.E. Ebenso(2007): The synergistic effect of polyacrylamide and iodide ions on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel in H2SO4,*Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 106:387–393.
- [20]Asefi D., M. Arami, A.A. Sarabi, N.M. Mahmoodi(2009): Corrosion inhibition effect of cationic surfactant on steel in acid medium and synergistic effect of chloride ion and some alcohols, *J. Color. Sci. Tech.*, 4:257–263.
- [21]Sathiyanarayanan S., C. Jeyaprabha, G. Venkatachari(2008): Influence of metal cations on the inhibitive effect of polyaniline for iron in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 107 :350–355.
- [22]Sathiyanarayanan S., C. Jeyaprabha, S. Muralidharan, G. Venkatachari (2006): Inhibition of iron corrosion in 0.5 M sulphuric acid by metal cations, *Appl. Surf . Sci.*, 252 :8107–8112.
- [23] Asefi D., M. Arami, A.A. Sarabi, N.M. Mahmoodi (2009): The chain length influence of cationic surfactant and role of nonionic co-surfactants on controlling the corrosion rate of steel in acidic media *Corros. Sci.* 51: 1817–1821.
- [24]Qiu L.-G., A.-J.Xie, Y.-H.Shen(2005): Understanding the effect of the spacer length on adsorption of gemini surfactants onto steel surface in acid medium, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 246:1–5.

- [25]Qiu L.-G., Y.-M. Wang, X. Jiang (2008): Synergistic effect between cationic gemini surfactant and chloride ion for the corrosion inhibition of steel in sulphuric acid, *Corros. Sci.*, 50: 576–582.
- [26] Chen Q., D. Zhang, R. Li, H. Liu, Y. Hu (2008): Effect of the spacer group on the behavior of the cationic Gemini surfactant monolayer at the air/water interface, *Thin Solid Films*, 516:8782–8787.
- [27]Huang W., J. Zhao (2006): Adsorption of quaternary ammonium gemini surfactants on zinc and the inhibitive effect on zinc corrosion in vitriolic solution ,Coll. Surf. A 278: 246–251.
- [28] Qiu L.-G.,A.-J. Xie, Y.-H. Shen (2005): A novel triazole-based cationic gemini surfactant: synthesis and effect on corrosion inhibition of carbon steel in hydrochloric acid, Mater.Chem.Phys.91:269-273.
- [29] Shibli S.M.A., V.S. Saji(2005): Co-inhibition characteristics of sodium tungstate with potassium iodate on mild steel corrosion Corros. Sci., 47: 2213.
- [30] Solmaz R., M.E. Mert, G. Kardas, B. Yazici, M. Erbil(2008): Adsorption and Corrosion Inhibition Effect of 1,1'-Thiocarbonyldiimidazole on Mild Steel in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Solution and Synergistic Effect of Iodide Ion, *Acta Phys. Chim. Sin.*, 24 :1185.
- [31] Jeyaprabha C., S. Sathiyanarayanan, S. Muralidharan, G. Venkatachari (2006): Corrosion inhibition of iron in 0.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> by halide ions *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 17:61.
- [32] Ebenso E.E. (2003): Synergistic effect of halide ions on the corrosion inhibition of aluminium in H2SO4 usingacetylphenothiazine,Mater.Chem. Phys. 79: 58–70.
- [33] Prabhu R.A., T.V. Venkatesha, A.V. Shanbhag, G.M. Kulkarni, R.G. Kalkhambkar (2008): Inhibition effects of some Schiff's bases on the corrosion of mild steel in hydrochloric acid solution, *Corros. Sci.*, 50 :3356.
- [34] Bentiss F., M. Traisnel, M. Lagrene' e(2000): The substituted 1, 3, 4-oxadiazoles: a new class of corrosion inhibitors of mild steel in acidic media, *Corros. Sci.*, 42:127.
- [35] Negm N.A., A.M. Al Sabagh , M.A. Migahed , H.M. Abdel Bary, H.M. El Din (2010): ffectiveness of some diquaternary ammonium surfactants as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in 0.5 M HCl solution, Corros. Sci. 52: 2122–2132.
- [36]Ravichandran R., S. Nanjundan, N. Rajendran(2004): Effect of benzotriazole derivatives on the corrosion of brass in NaCl solutions, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 236:241–250.
- [37]Ehteram A., Noor, H. Aisha Al-Moubaraki(2008): Thermodynamic study of metal corrosion and inhibitor adsorption processes in mild steel/1-methyl-4[4'(-X)styryl pyridinium iodides/hydrochloric acid systems *Mater. Chem. Phys.*,110 :145-154.
- [38] Naderi E., A.H. Jafari, M. Ehteshamzadeh, M.G. Hosseini(2009): Effect of carbon steel microstructures and molecular structure of two new Schiff base compounds on inhibition performance in 1 M HCl solution by EIS, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*,115: 852–858.
- [39]Li X.H., S.D. Deng, H. Fu(2009): Synergism between red tetrazolium and uracil on the corrosion of cold rolled steel in  $H_2SO_4$  solution, *Corros. Sci.*, 51:1344–1355.
- [40] Avci G. (2008): Corrosion inhibition of indole-3-acetic acid on mild steel in 0.5 M HCl, *Colloids Surf.*, A 317:730–736.

- [41] Li X.H., S.D. Deng, H. Fu, T.H. Li (2009): Adsorption and inhibition effect of 6-benzylaminopurine on cold rolled steel in 1.0 M HCl, *Electrochim. Acta* 54:4089– 4098.
- [42] Solmaz R., G. Kardas, M. C, ulha, B. Yazici, M. Erbil(2008): Investigation of adsorption and inhibitive effect of 2-mercaptothiazoline on corrosion of mild steel in hydrochloric acid media, *Electrochim. Acta* 53:5941– 5952.
- [43]Migahed M.A., I.F. Nassar(2008): Corrosion inhibition of Tubing steel during acidization of oil and gas wells, *Electrochim. Acta.*, 53:2877–2882.
- [44] Benali O., L. Larabi, M. Traisnel, L. Gengembra, Y. Harek(2007): Electrochemical, theoretical and XPS studies of 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole adsorption on carbon steel in 1 M HClO<sub>4</sub>, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 253 :6130– 6139.
- [45] Solomon M.M., S.A. Umoren, I.I. Udosoro, A.P. Udoh(2010): Inhibitive and adsorption behaviour of carboxymethyl cellulose on mild steel corrosion in sulphuric acid solution, *Corros. Sci.*, 52(4):1317.
- [46] Ateya B., B. El-Anadauli, F.El. Nizamy(1984): The adsorption of thiourea on mild steel, *Corros. Sci.*, 24 :509–515.
- [47] Li X.H., S.D. Deng, H. Fu, G.N. Mu(2008): Synergistic inhibition effect of rare earth cerium (IV) ion and anionic

4/4/2012

surfactant on the corrosion of cold rolled steel in  $H_2SO_4$  solution, *Corros. Sci.*, 50:2635.

- [48]El Sherbini E.F. (1999): Effect of some ethoxylated fatty acids on the corrosion behaviour of mild steel in sulphuric acid solution, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 60:286.
- [49] Szauer T., A. Brand(1981): On the role of fatty acid in adsorption and corrosion inhibition of iron by amine fatty acid salts in acidic solution, *Electrochim. Acta*, 26:1257-1260.
- [50]Guan N.M., L. Xueming, L. Fei(2004): Synergistic inhibition between o-phenanthroline and chloride ion on cold rolled steel corrosion in phosphoric acid, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 86:59.
- [51]Khamis E., A. Hosney, S. El-Hadary (1995): Thermodynamics of Mild Steel Corrosion Inhibition in Phosphoric Acid by ethylene Trithiocarbonate, *Afinidad* 456:95.
- [52]Khamis E., E.S.H. El-Ashry, A.K. Ibrahim(2000): Synergistic action of vinyl triphenyl phosphonium bromide with various anions on corrosion of steel, *Br. Corros. J.*, 35:150-154.
- [53] Pavithra M.K., T.V. Venkatesha, K. Vathsala, K.O. Nayana(2010): Synergistic effect of halide ions on improving corrosion inhibition behaviour of benzisothiozole-3-piperizine hydrochloride on mild steel in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> medium, *Corros. Sci.*, 52:3811–3819.