

## Assemblage structure of stream fishes in the Kumaon Himalaya of Uttarakhand State, India

Ram Krishan Negi and Tarana Negi\*

Department of Zoology & Environmental Sciences, Gurukula Kangri University, Haridwar U.K. India 249404

\*Department of Zoology, Govt. P.G. College, Panchkula (HR)

E mail: negi\_gkv@rediffmail.com

### Abstract

The fish assemblage structure was analyzed in the streams of Kumaon Himalaya of Uttarakhand State, India. Seven sites were sampled by using different fishing gears during Jan, 2007 to December 2008. The physical features like stream habitat, stream classifications, fish assemblage at different sites, habitat preference and riparian vegetations were registered for each site. In the present investigations a total of ten species belonging to three orders and four families were recorded, of which the cyprinids were the most dominant group at all the sites. According to Shannon Weaver diversity index the pool habitat support maximum fish diversity ('H' 0.164-0.292). [Life Science Journal. 2010;7(1):9 – 14] (ISSN: 1097 – 8135).

**Keywords:** Classification, cyprinids, fish diversity, substrate, preference

### Introduction:

The highly complex functional and structural elements of running water are largely based on the system-inherent, dynamic genesis and development of those systems. Because of the very intricate interpretations with surrounding environments, running waters are among the most distinctive landscape elements. Especially in alluvial river floodplain systems, the high spatio-temporal heterogeneity and, therefore, the great diversity of complex habitat and ecotones in successional stages, represent key features. The diverse environment support species-rich fish communities that contribute to the overall high biodiversity of rivers/streams ecosystems (Schiemer & Waidbacher, 1992, Ward & Stanford, 1995a).

Importance of habitat is a major concern to fishery biologist. A common use of fish habitat indicates the physical and chemical characteristics of the environment, excluding biological attributed. Habitats for fish is place or for migratory fishes, a set place in which a fish population or fish assemblage can find the physical and chemical features needed for life, such as suitable water quality, migration routes, spawning grounds, feeding sites, resting sites and shelter from enemies and adverse weather. Habitat features have been identified as major determinants in the distribution and abundance of fishes from earlier times (Shelford, 1911) and later individual fish species as well as entire assemblage were studied for the patterns of North America ( Smart and Gee, 1979; Baker and Ross, 1981). Fish species diversity is correlated with habitat

complexity (Gorman & Karr, 1978; Schlosser, 1982) depth, flow and substrate types. The influence of these habitats attributes on the structure and function of fish assemblage in the streams has been studied in detailed at different latitudes ( Mathew and Hill, 1980; Leveque, 1997). Extensive studies on freshwater fishes in India are available, but most of them are concern with taxonomy (Datta Munshi & Srivastava, 1988; Menon, 1992, Jayaram, 1999). Studies on fish assemblage structure and their requirements in Indian streams are lacking, though few initiatives started in the 1980's in south India (Arunachalam et al., 1988; 1997a), SriLanka streams (Moyle and Senanayake, 1984; Wickramanayake, 1990);Western Himalaya (Johal et al.,2002 and Kumaon Himalaya (Negi et al., 2007). The present study aims to describe the habitat structure, and its availability to fish assemblage, as well as habitat use and habitat suitability preference in seven streams of Kumaon Himalayas of Uttarakhand State, India.

Study area: Kumaon Himalaya lying the latitudes 280 44' and 300 49' and longitude 780 45' and 810 1' E is situated at the disjunction of Nepal, Tibet and India in the state of Uttarakhand. A natural water divide separates it from Tibet, the Kali river defines its eastern border with Nepal , High transverse mountain spurs , separate it from Chamoli and Pauri district of Garhwal and the southern limit of the Tarai belt demarcates its southern boundary. Geographically Kumaon has the four longitudinal physiographic subdivisions namely the outer Himalaya with Tarai and Bhabar belt and Shivalik ranges, the lesser

Himalayas and the Trans-Himalaya Tethys domain of Bhotland. Seven study sites were selected for the present study. These sites varied in altitude from 800msl to 1098msl and varied geomorphologic characters, substrate and ecological conditions.

### Material and methods:

The parameters like water source, channel materials, dominant habitat type and stream type were taken into consideration for the Kumaon Himalayan streams. The geographic location i.e. longitude, latitude and altitude were determined with the help of Magellan Trailblazer XL GPS system. The habitat type and substrate material were classified after Armantrout (1999). The stream under report were classified following the works of Rosgen (1996).

**Stream classification:** This classification is based on morphological arrangement of stream characters like entrenchment ratio, width/depth ratio and channel material in the various landforms at level 1 and 2. This is only broad level delineation of stream types. Entrenchment ratio has been considered primary criteria for the present stream classification. Whereas, water shed features, channel features, sediment sources, riparian vegetations and large wood debris were estimated on the spot by stream reach characterization field data sheet. Water temperature, air temperature and water velocity were measure on the spot as per standard methods APHA (1998)

**Fish collection:** The fishes were caught at each sites with the help of cast net, gill net, drag nets, scoop nets. Samples were carried out for ten times in each habitat on a fixed day every month from Jan. 2007 to December, 2008. The represented specimens were identified upto species level in the laboratory using standard references of Day (1878) and Jayaram (1999).

### Results:

**Fish diversity:** A total of ten species belonging to three orders namely cypriniformes, Mastacembelid, and perciformes were recorded during the present investigation Table 1. Of these cypriniformes comprises the dominant group represented by 8 species belonging to 7 genera. *Tor putitora*, *Garra gotyla gotyla*, *Barilius bendelisis* were the most abundant fishes in all the study sites. Higher species richness were recorded from Kosi, Saigad and Suyal streams respectively with an altitude range of 1027 to 1398msl and lower values were recorded from the altitude range 860 to 1120msl. This is chiefly because of the severity of anthropogenic activities in the form of extraction of boulders, cobbles from streams habitat in lower altitude leading to decrease in fish assemblage whereas, at higher altitude have greater species richness.

**Habitat preference:** In total 345 cyprinids fishes were recorded in pool, pool edges, run and edges of riffles. The cascade was least preferred habitat by majority of fishes. The maximum fish diversity was reported in pool habitat  $H' 0.845$  followed by run  $H' 0.764$  and riffle  $H' 0.196$  at Kosi stream Table 2. In Saigad stream, it was  $H' 0.760$  in pool,  $H' 0.590$  in run,  $H' 0.244$  in riffle, In Suyal stream it was  $H' 0.464$  in pool,  $H' 0.461$  in run and  $H' 0.292$  in riffle, in Busal stream, it was  $H' 0.423$  in pool and  $H' 0.292$  in run, in Garur ganga stream it was  $H' 0.457$  in pool,  $H' 0.386$  in run and  $H' 0.210$  in riffle, in Gagas stream, it was  $H' 0.581$  in pool,  $H' 0.196$  in run and  $H' 0.275$  in riffle, whereas, it was  $H' 0.594$  in pool,  $H' 0.454$  in run and  $H' 0.164$  in riffle at Gomti stream. In Garur ganga stream, *Tor putitora*, *Barilius bendelisis* and *Schizothorax* preferred deep and shallow pools, while, was found in the shallow pools with low velocity, whereas, *Garra gotyla gotyla* preferred shallow pool with low to medium velocity. In Gomti stream, *Tor putitora* and *Barilius bendelisis* and *Barilius barla* preferred shallow pools with medium velocity.

**Fish species richness vs altitude:** At level 1, the altitude had been considered as primary criteria for differentiating the streams. High correlation coefficient was observed between altitude  $r = 0.71$ . The high altitude site  $>1000m$ , had higher FSR (5-10) as compared to lower altitude site  $<1000m$ . i.e. Gomti stream which had lower FSR (5). This is because of anthropogenic activities occurring in lower altitude as compared to higher altitude. The relative abundance also inverse relation with altitude. At level 2, the streams were further delineated according to the source of water glacial or spring fed. In the present study streams under report were spring fed and had fish species richness (3-10 and  $H' 0.778-1.694$ ).

**Stream substrate:** In Kosi stream, the dominant stream substrate were big boulders, small boulders, and cobbles in Saigad stream, small boulders and cobbles, in the Suyal stream, bed rock, big boulders edge and small boulders were prevalent. In Garur ganga streams, big boulders, bed rock edge and gravel, in the Gagas streams, small stream. Small boulders, cobbles and pebbles, and in Gomti stream, substrate was dominated by big boulders, small boulders and cobbles Table 3. The streams having cobbles as dominant bed materials along with small boulders lead to formation of a more variable types of habitat leading to the greater species richness (5-10).

**Stream classification:** There was a great variation in channel width almost all selected streams. The minimum channel width was recorded as (6.3m) at Busal stream and maximum as (37.53m) at Gomti stream. Maximum depth was recorded at Suyal (0.76m) and minimum at Busal stream (0.25m). The depth width ratio was recorded maximum 63.46 at Kosi stream and minimum 16.93 at Garur ganga stream Table 3. In the present study, entrenchment ratio was considered as primary criteria for

the classification of streams. On the basis of entrenchment ratio all the streams has been classified as type ‘B’ streams with entrenchment ratio range from 1.46- 2.31. The width/depth ratio was very high in the streams of Kosi, Saigad, Gomti, whereas, rest of streams have moderate width/depth ratio.

Stream channel features: The channel features were found to be unstable at Kosi, Saigad, Garur Ganga and Gomti streams, whereas, they were moderately stable in Busal and Gagag streams. The proportion of stream reach morphology type was dominated by riffle, deep pools and runs at Kosi; shallow pools and run at Saigad and Busal;

deep pools, run and riffle at Suyal and riffle , run and cascade at Garur ganga; riffle, run and shallow pools at Gagag; run and riffles at Saryu and riffles and pools at Gomti streams. The local hydrological alterations in the form of channelization of water flow were more prominent in Kosi, Busal, Garur ganga and Saryu streams, leading to the formation of large side pools at different pockets of the streams reaches, which were responsible for sedimentation in the streams. The riparian vegetation was fragmentary with herbs, shrubs and trees at Kosi, Suyal Gagag and Gomti streams. Aquatic vegetation was mainly dominated by attach algae in most of the study sites. All the streams under reports were reported alkaline in nature.

Table 1. Fish species recorded from streams of Kumaun Himalaya of Uttarakhand State, India

|                           | Kosi | Saigad | Suyal | Busal | Garurganga | Gagas | Gomti |
|---------------------------|------|--------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|
| Order: Cypriniformes      |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Family: Cyprinidae        |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Genus: Tor                |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Tor putitora              | ++   | +      | +     | -     | +          | +     | +     |
| Genus: Barilius           |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Barilius bendelisis       | ++   | ++     | ++    | ++    | ++         | ++    | ++    |
| Barilius barila           | +    | +      | +     | -     | -          | -     | +     |
| Genus: Puntius            |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Puntius conchonius        | +    | +      | -     | -     | -          | -     | -     |
| Genus: Garra              |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Garra gotyla gotyla       | ++   | ++     | ++    | ++    | ++         | ++    | ++    |
| Genus: Chrosochelus       |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Chrosochelus latius       | +    | -      | -     | -     | -          | +     | -     |
| Genus: Schizothorax       |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Schizothorax richardsonii | +    | +      | +     | -     | +          | +     | +     |
| Genus: Nemachelius        |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Nemachelius montanus      | +    | +      | +     | -     | -          | +     | -     |
| Order: Mastacembeliformes |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Family: Mastacembelidae   |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Genus: Mastacembelus      |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Mastacembelus armatus     | +    | +      | +     | +     | +          | +     | -     |
| Order: Ophiocephaliformes |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Family: Ophiocephalidae   |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Genus: Channa             |      |        |       |       |            |       |       |
| Channa punctatus          | +    | +      | -     | -     | -          | -     | -     |

++: Dominant; +Abundant; -: Not recorded

Table 2. Physical characteristic and channel morphology in the streams of Kumaun Hamalaya of Uttrakhand State, India.

| Name   | Stream   | Stream | Entren- | Habitat     | Substrate    | Longitude    | latitude     | Altitude | Water    | Riparian                      |
|--------|----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|
| Of     | Width(m) | Depth  | chment  |             |              |              |              |          | velocity | Vegetation                    |
| Stream |          | (m)    | ratio   |             |              |              |              |          |          |                               |
| Kosi   | 16.5     | 0.26   | 2.12    | Deep pools, | Big boulders | 79030°22.9"E | 29046°55.3"N | 1381     | 0.9      | Fragmentary, trees and shrub, |

|                |      |      |      | Riffles,<br>Runs                      |  |              |              |      |      | Minimum encroachment<br>in stream                                       |
|----------------|------|------|------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|------|------|---|
| Saigad         | 15.0 | 0.30 | 1.6  | Shallow<br>pool<br>Runs               | Cobbles,<br>Pebbles,<br>Sand                           | 79036°03.2"E | 29046°51.6"N | 1398 | 0.43 | Trees, shrubs, grasses,<br>Moderate encroachment                        |
| Suyal          | 19.5 | 0.76 | 1.74 | Deep<br>pool,<br>Cascade,<br>Riffles  | Dominant<br>bed rock,<br>Large<br>boulders,<br>Cobbles | 79036°44.9"E | 29033°21.5"N | 1027 | 0.22 | Shrub dominant,<br>Fragmentary,<br>Minimum encroachment                 |
| Busal          | 6.3  | 0.25 | 2.03 | Runs,<br>Pools                        | Cobbles,<br>Pebbles                                    | 79036°59.9"E | 29053°47.0"N | 1122 | 0.39 | Trees, shrubs, continuous,<br>moderate encroachment                     |
| Garur<br>ganga | 8.3  | 0.49 | 2.31 | Riffles,<br>Cascade,<br>Pool          | Big<br>boulders,<br>Bed rock<br>edge,<br>gravels       | 79037°01.9"E | 29053°49.2"N | 1120 | 0.70 | Shrubs, grasses dominant,<br>Fragmentary                                |
| Gagas          | 7.8  | 0.36 | 2.05 | Riffles,<br>Runs,<br>Shallow<br>Pools | Cobbles,<br>Small<br>boulders                          | 79027°28.2"E | 29041°32.9"N | 1061 | 0.51 | Tress, shrubs, grasses,<br>Fragmentary<br>Minimum encroachment          |
| Gomti          | 37.5 | 0.70 | 1.46 | Runs,<br>Riffles,<br>pools            | Big and<br>small<br>boulders                           | 79046°10.9"E | 29050°11.1"N | 860  | 0.58 | Trees, shrubs dominant,<br>grasses, continuous,<br>minimum encroachment |

Table 3. Fish species diversity indices (Shannon and Weaver species Diversity) ( $H'$ ), relative abundance and species richness in the streams of Kumaun Himalaya of Uttarakhand State, India

| Streams     | Pool  | Riffle | Run   | Relative Abundance | Species Richness |
|-------------|-------|--------|-------|--------------------|------------------|
| Kosi        | 0.845 | 0.196  | 0.764 | 11.62              | 10               |
| Saigad      | 0.760 | 0.244  | 0.590 | 12.50              | 9                |
| Suyal       | 0.464 | 0.292  | 0.461 | 13.20              | 7                |
| Busal       | 0.423 | --     | 0.292 | 4.91               | 3                |
| Garur Ganga | 0.457 | 0.210  | 0.386 | 8.33               | 5                |
| Gagas       | 0.581 | 0.275  | 0.196 | 9.80               | 5                |
| Gomti       | 0.594 | 0.164  | 0.454 | 8.62               | 5                |

## Discussion

From the above observations it is clear that water depth and water velocity are the two major factors for the distribution of fish species in the different habitats. Similar observations were made by Gorman and Karr (1978); Moyle and Vondracek (1985); Arunachalam (2000); Johal et al. (2002) and Negi et al. (2007). Harvey and Stewart (1991) reported that minnows survive longer in pools. The large numbers of small fishes become increasingly restricted to stream margins, because the mid stream reaches are fast or too deep or both (Bains et al., 1988). Most of the fishes in the small streams are habitat generalists (Horowitz, 1978). Other studies have also indicated a substantial overlap in the habitat utilizations in the cyprinid fishes communities. (Barker and Ross, 1981; Schlosser, 1987 a,b). The studies on the Western Ghats fishes assemblage structure (Arunachalam, 2000) and other parts of the world (Finger, 1982; Schlosser, 1982; Bains et al. 1988 and Schlosser 1987a) also reported that the diverse group of small fishes are found to be primarily restricted to habitat that are shallow in depth and slow in current velocity and are concentrated along the stream margin in pools and riffles. Scot and Hall (1997) have stated that fish assemblage as indicator of environmental degradation in Maryland coastal plain streams. The relationship between habitat diversity and fish communities has been analyzed by Gorman and Karr (1978) in temperate area in which they include the diversity of current, depth and substrate, which determines the riverian fish communities. Several studies have supported this generalization for fish communities (Werner and Hall, 1976; Schlosser and Toth, 1984; Bains et al. 1988; Aadland, 1993; Mathew et al. 1994; Arunachalam, 2000). Physical gradients from unstable shallow to deep, stable pool areas with stream fishes are common in temperate latitudes (Sheldon, (1968). Arunachalam, (2000) reported that non cyprinids such as Balitorids occur mostly in pool edges and cyprinids in big pools with varied habitat heterogeneity. Similar results were observed during the recent investigations having the diverse group of small fish species is restricted primarily to habitat which are shallow in depth and slow in water current velocity, which are the areas along stream margins in riffles and pools. In the Kumaun region of Western Himalayas, small *Puntius* spp are confined to shallow low flow area and juveniles of big sized *Tor putitora* and *Schizothorax* used the shallow areas with the speed velocity of riffles and riffles-pool transition especially in Sual stream. Stream assemblages dominated by short lived, rapidly maturing water column fishes generally show greater variability corresponding to environmental fluctuations, such as documented by Grossman et al (1982) and Ross et al (1987).

## Acknowledgements:

The authors are thankful to University Grants Commission, New Delhi for financial assistance under UGC-SAP NO. F.3-9/2004 (SAP-11).

## References

- Aadland LP. Stream habitat type: Their fish assemblages and relationship to flow. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 1993; 13: 790-06.
- APHA. Standard method for the examination of water and wastewater. 20th edition. Am. Public Health Assoc. Washington D.C. 1998.
- Armantrout NB. Glossary of aquatic habitat inventory technology. American Fisheries Society. 1999; 150 pp.
- Arunachalam, M. Assemblage structure of stream fishes in the Western Ghats (India). *Hydrobiologia*. 2000; 430: 1-31.
- Arunachalam M, Johnson JA, Sankarnarayanan A Fish diversity in rivers of Northern Karnataka. *Int. J. Ecol. Envir. Sci.* 1997a; 23: 327-33.
- Arunachalam MKC, Madhusoodanan Nair, Vijverberg J, Kortmulder K. Food and feeding habitat usage of cyprinid fish assemblage in stream pools of a south river. *Re., Limnological Institute of the Royal Academy of Science, The Netherland*; 1998; 89pp.
- Baker JA. Ross ST. Spatial and temporal resource utilization by south eastern cyprinids. *Copeia* 1981; 178-89.
- Bain MB Finn JT Booke HE. Stream flow regulation and fish community structure. *Ecology* 1988; 69:382-392.
- Datta Munshi JS, Srivatsava MS. Natural history of fishes and systematic of freshwater fishes in India. Narendra publishing Co. Delhi, India 1988; 421pp.
- Finger TR. Fish community habitat relations in central New York stream. *J. Freshwat. Ecol.* 1982; 1: 343-52.
- Gorman OT, Karr JR. Habitat structure and stream fish communities. *Ecology* 1978; 59:507-15.
- Grossman GD, Moyle PB, Whittaker JO Jr. Stochasticity in structural and functional characteristics of an Indian stream fish assemblage a test of community theory. *Am. Nat.* 1982; 120:423-53.
- Harvey BC, Stewart AJ. Fish size and habitat depth relationship in a headwater stream. *Oecologia* 1991; 87:336-342.

- Horowitz RJ. Temporal variability patterns and the distributional patterns of stream fish. *Ecological monogr.* 1978; 48:307-21.
- Jayaram KC. The freshwater fishes of the Indian region. Narendra Publishing House. Delhi 1999; pp 551.
- Johal MS, Tandon KK, Tyor AK and Rawal YK. 2002. Fish diversity in different habitats in the streams of lower, middle Western Himalayas. *Pol. J. Ecol.* 50(1):45-56.
- Leveque C. Biodiversity dynamics and conservation, the freshwater fish tropical Africa. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997; pp 438.
- Menon AGK. Conservation of freshwater fishes of Peninsular India. Final report submitted to Minist. Envir. Forest. (unpublished) 1992;137pp.
- Mathew WJ, Hill LG. Habitat partitioning of fish community of a southwestern river. *Southwest. Nat.* 1980; 25:51-66.
- Methew WJ, Harvey BC, Power ME. Spatial and temporal pattern in the fish assemblages of individual pools in a mid western stream (USA). *Envir. Biol. Fishes* 1994; 39:381-97.
- Moyle PB, Senanayake FR. Resource partitioning among the fishes of rainforest streams in Sri Lanka. *J. Zool. Soc. Lond.* 1984; 202: 195-24.
- Moyle PB, Vondracek B1. Persistence and structure of the fish assemblage in a small California stream. *Ecology* 1985; 65: 1-13.
- Negi RK, Joshi BD, Negi Tarana, Chand P. A study on stream morphology of some selected streams hill streams of district Nainital with special reference to its biotic communities. *Proceedings of National Seminar on Limnology at Jiapur, India.* 2007;
- Rosgen D.. Applied river morphology. Wild land Hydrology, Colorado, U.S.A. (Reprint edition) 1996
- Ross ST, Baker JA, Clark KE. Microhabitat partitioning of south eastern streams fishes: temporal and spatial predictability. In: Methew WJ Heins WJ (ed) *Community and Evolution Ecology of North American stream fishes.* University of Oklahoma 1987; 42-51.
- Schiemer F, Waidacher H. Strategies of conservation of a Danubian fish fauna. In: Boon, P.J.P. Carlow & G.E. Petts ed. *River conservation and management.* John Wiley & Son. 1992 ; 363-82.
- Schlösser IJ. Fish community structure and function along two habitat gradients in headwater stream *Ecol. Monogr.* 1982; 52: 395-14.
- Sheldon AL. Species diversity and longitudinal succession in stream fishes. *Ecology* 1968; 9:193-98
- Shelford VE. Ecological succession: stream fishes and the methods of physiographic analysis. *Bio.Bull.* 1911; 21: 9-35.
- Smart HJ, Gee JH. Co-existence and resource partitioning in two species of darters (Percidae), *Etheostoma nigrum* and *Percina maculate*. *Can.jk. Zool.* 1979; 57: 2061-71.
- Schlösser IJ. A conceptual framework for fish communities in small warm water streams ed. Matthews, WJ, Heins, DC In: *Community and Evolutionary Ecology of North American Stream Fishes.* University of Oklahoma Press, Norman. 1987b; pp 17-24.
- Schlösser IJ, Toth LA. Niche relationships and population ecology of rainbow (*Etheostoma caeruleum*) and fantail (*E. flabellare*) darters in a temporally variable environment. *Oikos* 1984; 42:229-38.
- Scot MC, Hall LW jr. Fish assemblages as indicators of environmental degradation in Maryland coastal plain streams. *Trans. Am. Fish Soc.* 1997; 126:349-60.
- Shannon CE, Weaver W. *The Mathematical Theory of Communication.* Urbana 243III. University of Illinois Press 1949
- Ward JB, Stanford JA. Ecological: connectivity in alluvial rivers ecosystem and its distribution by flow regulation. *Regul.Riv.Res. Manage.* 1995b; 11: 105-19.
- Ward JB, Stanford JA. The serial discontinuity concept: Extending the models of flood lai rivers. *Regul. Riv. Res. Manage.*, 1995a; 10:159-68.
- Werner EE, Hall JD. Niche shifts in sunfish: experimental evidence and significance *Science* 1976; 191:404-5.
- Wikramanake ED. Ecomorphology and biogeography of a tropical stream fish assemblage: evolution of assemblage structure. *Ecology* 1990; 1756-64.